

COUNTY COUNCIL OF ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY, MARYLAND
Minutes of
Legislative Session 2022, Legislative Day No. 14
July 5, 2022 - 7:00 P.M.

The meeting was called to order at 7:00 P.M. by Ms. Rodvien. The Invocation was followed by the Pledge of Allegiance, both led by Ms. Lacey. The meeting was held in the County Council Chambers, Arundel Center, Annapolis, Maryland. There were approximately 20 persons in the audience.

The following members of the County Council were present:

Sarah F. Lacey	First District
Allison Pickard	Second District
Nathan Volke	Third District
Andrew C. Pruski	Fourth District
Amanda Fiedler	Fifth District
Lisa D.B. Rodvien	Sixth District
Jessica Haire	Seventh District

The County Auditor's Office was represented by Michelle Bohlayer.

ETHICS STATEMENT

Laura Corby, Administrative Officer, read aloud the Ethics Statement.

INVITATION TO AUDIENCE

The Chair opened Invitation to Audience.

The Administrative Officer stated there were no submissions of public testimony received for Invitation to Audience portion of the meeting.

There was no one present who wished to speak, and the Invitation to Audience was concluded.

ITEMS NOT APPEARING ON AGENDA

Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, and Mr. Pruski all asked to be added as a co-sponsor to Bill No. 57-22.

Mr. Pruski and Ms. Lacey asked that Resolution No. 20-22 be withdrawn.

RESOLUTION NO. 25-22

The Chair suggested Resolution No. 25-22 be addressed first. On motion of Ms. Lacey, seconded by Mr. Pruski, the motion to introduce and vote on Res. No. 25-22 was passed by the following roll call:

Aye – Ms. Haire, Ms. Lacey, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, Mr. Pruski, Ms. Fiedler, and Ms. Rodvien

Nay – None

The Chair then called for Resolution No. 25-22, a Resolution appointing Legislative Counsel to the County Council, to be read. The Administrative Officer read the entire resolution for the record.

Ms. Pickard thanked all those who applied and interviewed for the position.

Mr. Pruski welcomed the new Legislative Counsel.

Mr. Volke and Ms. Fiedler also shared their appreciation for the pool of applicants, and congratulated the new Legislative Counsel.

Resolution No. 25-22 was adopted by the following roll call:

Aye – Ms. Haire, Ms. Lacey, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, Mr. Pruski, Ms. Fiedler, and Ms. Rodvien

Nay – None

PRELIMINARY MOTION

On motion of Ms. Lacey, seconded by Ms. Pickard, the Council voted that the partial reading of any bill, resolution, minutes, or amendment constitutes the reading of the whole.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

On motion of Mr. Pruski, seconded by Mr. Volke, the minutes of June 21, 2022 were unanimously approved.

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

BILL NO. 71-22 – AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Critical Area Overlay – Growth

Allocation FOR the purpose of modifying the critical area growth allocation process; removing the growth allocation application acceptance schedule; modifying the criteria for rescission of growth allocation; making the effective date of this Ordinance contingent on the approval of the Maryland Critical Area Commission; and generally relating to zoning.

Introduced by Ms. Rodvien, Chair

(by request of the County Executive)

BILL NO. 72-22 – AN ORDINANCE concerning: Bay Ridge Shore Erosion Control District FOR the purpose of authorizing a certain shore erosion control project in the Bay Ridge Shore Erosion Control District.

Introduced by Ms. Rodvien, Chair

(by request of the County Executive)

BILL NO. 73-22 – AN ORDINANCE concerning: Subdivision and Development and Zoning – Cluster Development FOR the purpose of defining “cluster development”; requiring cluster development to include landscaped screening and buffer areas; and generally relating subdivision and development and zoning.
Introduced by Ms. Fiedler

BILL NO. 74-22 – AN ORDINANCE concerning: General Provisions – Flags on County Property FOR the purpose of allowing only certain flags to be flown on County flagpoles or displayed in or on County buildings; and generally relating to general provisions.
Introduced by Mr. Volke

BILL NO. 75-22 – AN EMERGENCY ORDINANCE concerning: Licenses and Registrations – Amusements – Licensing of Coin-Operated Amusement Devices FOR the purpose of allowing a Class E license for coin-operated amusement devices to be issued to a Class I licensee; making this Ordinance an emergency measure; and generally relating to licenses and registrations.
Introduced by Ms. Fiedler

INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS

RESOLUTION NO. 26-22 – RESOLUTION approving estimates of the annual costs of providing health insurance benefits and the employer subsidies used to determine the rates for certain participants under the County Employee and Retiree Health Benefits Program.
Introduced by Ms. Rodvien, Chair
(by request of the County Executive)

RESOLUTION NO. 27-22 – RESOLUTION approving the terms and conditions of the acquisition of real properties in Pasadena, Maryland from Dynasplint Holdings, LLC, utilizing funds from the Advance Land Acquisition Capital Project.
Introduced by Ms. Rodvien, Chair
(by request of the County Executive)

RESOLUTION NO. 28-22 – RESOLUTION endorsing financial assistance from the Maryland Economic Development Assistance Fund to Catalent, Inc.
Introduced by Ms. Rodvien, Chair
(by request of the County Executive)

RESOLUTION NO. 29-22 – RESOLUTION confirming nominations to the Board of Trustees of The Public Library Association of Annapolis and Anne Arundel County, Incorporated.
Introduced by Ms. Rodvien, Chair
(by request of the County Executive)

RESOLUTION NO. 30-22 – RESOLUTION proposing an amendment to the Charter of Anne Arundel County to make the language of the Charter gender neutral.
Introduced by Mr. Pruski and Ms. Lacey

RESOLUTION NO. 31-22 – RESOLUTION in support of women’s right to safe, comprehensive reproductive health care and in opposition to the overturning of *Roe v. Wade* by the Supreme Court’s decision in *Dobbs v. Jackson Women’s Health Organization*.
Introduced by Ms. Rodvien, Chair

MOTION TO CHANGE THE ORDER

Ms. Rodvien requested that Bill No. 58-22, Resolution No. 17-22, and Resolution No. 31-22 be heard out of order.

Ms. Haire shared a concern with a motion to change the order.

Ms. Lacey asked that any debate on the motion be disallowed.

On motion of Ms. Lacey, seconded by Mr. Pruski, the motion to hear and vote on Bill No. 58-22, Resolution No. 17-22, and Resolution No. 31-22 out of order was passed by the following roll call:

Aye – Ms. Lacey, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, Mr. Pruski, and Ms. Fiedler
Nay – Ms. Haire

PUBLIC HEARINGS AND CALL OF BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS FOR FINAL READING AND/OR VOTE

BILL NO. 58-22 (Amendments Proposed)

The Chair called for Bill No. 58-22, an Ordinance concerning: the issuance, sale and delivery of Anne Arundel County, Maryland general obligation bonds and bond anticipation notes FOR the purpose of authorizing the issuance by Anne Arundel County, Maryland (the “County”) of bond anticipation notes in an amount to be outstanding at any time not in excess of Six Hundred Million Dollars (\$600,000,000) and bonds in an amount not exceeding One Billion Seven Million Five Hundred Thirty Nine Thousand One Hundred Thirteen Dollars (\$1,007,539,113) in order to finance in whole or in part the construction of capital projects set forth in the capital budget of the County for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2023, or in such capital budgets for prior fiscal years, or usable portions thereof; authorizing the issuance by the County of refunding bonds to refund some or all of the outstanding bond issues of the County listed on Exhibit II attached hereto and incorporated herein in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed 120% of the aggregate principal amount of the outstanding bonds to be refunded, subject to the requirement that debt service savings shall be achieved in connection with any such refunding; authorizing the County to borrow money and incur indebtedness otherwise authorized to be borrowed and incurred hereunder in the form of bonds or bond anticipation notes by obtaining a loan or loans from the Maryland Water Quality Financing Administration pursuant to and in accordance with Sections 9-1601 through 9-1622, inclusive, of the Environment Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland (2014 Replacement Volume and 2021 Supplement) for the public purpose of financing a portion of the costs of acquiring, constructing and equipping certain wastewater facilities and water supply systems; providing for the execution and delivery by the County of a loan agreement and bond to evidence any such loan; reaffirming and clarifying the guides and standards relating to the borrowing of money to finance such capital projects heretofore adopted; listing the capital projects

to be financed in whole or in part from the proceeds of sale of the bonds hereby authorized, or usable portions thereof, estimated costs and probable useful lives thereof; showing compliance with the limitations on the power of the County to incur indebtedness; providing for essential flexibility in the financing of such capital projects and the issuance of such bonds by authorizing such bond anticipation notes to be repaid from the proceeds of the sale of such bonds; prescribing the procedure for the issuance and sale of such bond anticipation notes and bonds; empowering the County Executive of the County (the "County Executive"), or the Chief Administrative Officer of the County (the "Chief Administrative Officer") if authorized by the County Executive, subject to such guides and standards, to determine the time and method of sale of such bond anticipation notes and refunding bonds, which sale may be a private (negotiated) sale or a public sale, and the time, place, and procedure for the public sale of such bonds other than refunding bonds; empowering the County Executive, or the Chief Administrative Officer if authorized by the County Executive, subject to such guides and standards, to determine the forms of such bonds and to determine the forms of such bond anticipation notes; empowering the County Executive, or the Chief Administrative Officer if authorized by the County Executive, to provide for or determine the private (negotiated) sale of any loan agreement or bond to the Maryland Water Quality Financing Administration, the form or forms thereof and other details with respect thereto and to the sales thereof; providing that such bond anticipation notes may be issued as notes in the nature of commercial paper and, in such event, authorizing the County Executive, or the Chief Administrative Officer if authorized by the County Executive, to determine various matters and to take various actions in connection with such issuance; providing that such bonds and bond anticipation notes may be issued as variable rate demand or similar obligations and, in such event, authorizing the County Executive, or the Chief Administrative Officer if authorized by the County Executive, to determine various matters and to take various actions in connection with such issuance; covenanting to issue, upon its full faith and credit, the bonds in anticipation of the sale of which any bond anticipation notes are issued when, and as soon as, the reason for deferring the issuance thereof no longer exists, to pay the principal of and interest on (to the extent such is not otherwise paid) such notes from the proceeds of such bonds and that, if the County shall be unable to issue and sell its bonds in an amount sufficient to pay the principal of and interest on any notes issued, then to appropriate sufficient revenues in each fiscal year following the issuance of such bond anticipation notes to pay the maturing principal thereof and the interest thereon to the extent not otherwise paid; covenanting to appropriate sufficient revenues in each fiscal year following the issuance of such bonds to pay the maturing principal thereof and the interest thereon and to meet such appropriation either by revenues derived from self-liquidating projects or from the proceeds of ad valorem taxes, or a combination of the foregoing; pledging the full faith and credit of the County, to the payment of the bonds and bond anticipation notes issued hereunder and the interest thereon, when due; providing that the pledge of the taxing power to secure such bonds and bond anticipation notes shall be subject to the limitation imposed by Section 710(d) of The Anne Arundel County Charter, except in the case where refunding bonds are issued to refund bonds secured by the pledge of the full faith and credit and unlimited taxing power of the County; covenanting that the proceeds of such bonds and bond anticipation notes, or any money which may be deemed to be proceeds, will not be used in a manner to cause such bonds to be arbitrage bonds; canceling, rescinding, and repealing authority to issue certain bonds only to the extent such authority has not been previously exercised under Bill No. 57-21, as amended, and ratifying, confirming and validating the previous authorization, issuance, sale and delivery of bonds and bond anticipation notes pursuant to applicable authority; ratifying and authorizing the issuance of Shore Erosion Control Construction Loans pursuant to and in accordance with Sections 8-1001 to 8-1008, inclusive, of the Natural Resources Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland (2012

Replacement Volume and 2021 Supplement); and generally providing for the consolidation and authorization of a borrowing program for the County, and matters generally related thereto.

The Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Pete Baron, Director, Government Affairs, was accompanied by Karin McQuade and Jessica Papaleonti, Office of Finance, Bill Henn, outside bond counsel, and Lori Blair Klasmeier, Office of Law.

Mr. Baron explained the purpose of Bill No. 58-22.

The Chair called for the public hearing on Bill No. 58-22.

The Administrative Officer stated there were no submissions of public testimony received ahead of time for Bill No. 58-22.

There was no one present who wished to speak and the hearing was concluded. The Chair called for the title of Bill No. 58-22 to be read and the Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Amendment No. 1

This amendment decreases the amount of bond authority; and corrects certain amounts in the bill to conform to the final capital budget.

Mr. Baron explained the purpose of Amendment No. 1.

On motion of Ms. Pickard, seconded by Mr. Pruski, Amendment No. 1 was adopted by the following roll call:

Aye – Ms. Haire, Ms. Lacey, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, Mr. Pruski, Ms. Fiedler, and Ms. Rodvien

Nay – None

Amendment No. 2

This amendment conforms the proposed bill to State legislation, effective July 1, 2022, that changes the name of Maryland Water Quality Financing Administration to Maryland Water Infrastructure Financing Administration.

Mr. Baron explained the purpose of Amendment No. 2.

On motion of Ms. Pickard, seconded by Mr. Pruski, Amendment No. 2 was adopted by the following roll call:

Aye – Ms. Haire, Ms. Lacey, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, Mr. Pruski, Ms. Fiedler, and Ms. Rodvien

Nay – None

Amendment No. 3

This amendment clarifies the reference to Debt Management Policy.

Mr. Baron explained the purpose of Amendment No. 3.

On motion of Ms. Pickard, seconded by Mr. Pruski, Amendment No. 2 was adopted by the following roll call:

Aye – Ms. Haire, Ms. Lacey, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, Mr. Pruski, Ms. Fiedler, and Ms. Rodvien

Nay – None

The Chair stated that for Bill No. 58-22, as amended, will be heard on July 18, 2022.

RESOLUTION NO. 17-22

The Chair called for Resolution No. 17-22, a Resolution adopting the Debt Management Policy for Anne Arundel County, Maryland.

The Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Pete Baron, Director, Government Affairs, was accompanied by Karin McQuade and Jessica Papaleonti, Office of Finance, Chris Trumbauer, Budget Office, Lori Blair Klasmeier, Office of Law, and Bill Henn, outside bond counsel.

Mr. Baron explained the purpose of Resolution No. 17-22.

Ms. Fiedler asked a question about the Resolution.

Mr. Trumbauer responded and explained the background behind Resolution No. 17-22.

Ms. Haire asked a follow up question.

Mr. Trumbauer replied.

Ms. Lacey directed a question to Bill Henn.

Mr. Henn responded to Ms. Lacey.

Mr. Volke directed a question to Karin McQuade.

Ms. McQuade replied to Mr. Volke.

Ms. Haire asked a clarifying question and shared her concern with the Resolution.

Ms. McQuade responded to Ms. Haire.

Mr. Trumbauer followed up with more information.

The Chair called for the public hearing on Resolution No. 17-22.

There was no one present who wished to speak and the hearing was concluded. The Chair called for the title of Resolution No. 17-22 to be read and the Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Resolution No. 17-22 was adopted by the following roll call:

Aye – Ms. Fiedler, Ms. Haire, Ms. Lacey, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, Mr. Pruski, and Ms. Rodvien

Nay – None

RESOLUTION NO. 31-22

The Chair called for Resolution No. 31-22, a Resolution in support of women’s right to safe, comprehensive reproductive health care and in opposition to the overturning of *Roe v. Wade* by the Supreme Court’s decision in *Dobbs v. Jackson Women’s Health Organization*.

The Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Ms. Rodvien read Resolution No. 31-22 in its entirety.

Mr. Volke asked a question about Resolution No. 31-22.

Ms. Haire asked a technical question.

Ms. Rodvien responded.

Ms. Fiedler asked a question directed at the Office of Law.

Ms. Blair-Klasmeier responded to Ms. Fiedler.

There was continued discussion among the entire Council.

The Chair offered the Administration an opportunity to speak on Resolution No. 31-22.

Mr. Baron came forward and conveyed thanks on behalf of the Administration for Resolution No. 31-22.

Ms. Fiedler shared a personal experience with her healthcare.

Several Councilmembers offered their views on Resolution No. 31-22 prior the roll call.

Resolution No. 31-22 was adopted by the following roll call:

Aye – Ms. Lacey, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Pruski, and Ms. Rodvien
Nay – Ms. Haire and Mr. Volke
Abstain – Ms. Fiedler

BILL NO. 57-22 (As Amended) (Amendments Proposed)

The Chair called for Bill No. 57-22, an Ordinance concerning: Zoning – Nurseries with Landscaping and Plant Sales FOR the purpose of amending the definition of “nurseries with landscaping and plant sales”; adding a conditional use requirement for “nurseries with landscaping and plant sales” to allow the storage and display of certain materials on a portion of the lot; making the effective date of certain parts of this Ordinance contingent on the approval of the Maryland Critical Area Commission; and generally relating to zoning.

The Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Pete Baron, Director, and Lori Rhodes, Government Affairs, was accompanied by Lynn Miller, Office of Planning and Zoning, and Kelly Kenney, Office of Law.

Ms. Haire, Sponsor, explained the history behind Bill No. 57-22.

Mr. Baron thanked the Council for their continued work on Bill No. 57-22.

The Chair called for the public hearing on Bill No. 57-22.

The Administrative Officer stated there were 3 submissions of public testimony received ahead of time for Bill No. 57-22.

There was no one present who wished to speak and the hearing was concluded. The Chair called for the title of Bill No. 57-22 to be read and the Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Amendment No. 4

This amendment allows accessory retail or wholesale uses associated with “nurseries with landscaping and plant sales” on any portion of the lot.

Ms. Haire explained the purpose of Amendment No. 4 and thanked the Office of Planning and Zoning.

Mr. Baron further explained the intent of Amendment No. 4.

Ms. Rhodes added further explanation and shared the Administration’s support.

Ms. Pickard asked a question about the stakeholders

On motion of Ms. Haire, seconded by Ms. Fiedler, Amendment No. 4 was adopted by the following roll call:

Aye – Ms. Fiedler, Ms. Haire, Ms. Lacey, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, Mr. Pruski, and Ms. Rodvien

Nay – None

Amendment No. 5

This amendment amends the purpose paragraph to reference a prior change made in the body of the bill; and corrects a typographical error referencing the proper Code section.

Ms. Haire explained the purpose of Amendment No. 5.

Ms. Lacey asked to be added as a Sponsor to Bill No. 57-22.

On motion of Ms. Haire, seconded by Ms. Fiedler, Amendment No. 5 was adopted by the following roll call:

Aye – Ms. Fiedler, Ms. Haire, Ms. Lacey, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, Mr. Pruski, and Ms. Rodvien

Nay – None

The Chair stated that Bill 57-22, as amended, would be heard on July 18, 2022.

BILL NO. 59-22

The Chair called for Bill No. 59-22, an Ordinance concerning: Planning and Development – Master Plan for Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation FOR the purpose of repealing the Anne Arundel County 2017 Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan; adopting the Anne Arundel County 2022 Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan; and generally relating to the master plan for land preservation, parks, and recreation.

The Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Pete Baron, Director, Government Affairs, was accompanied by Jessica Leys, Erica Jackson Matthews, and Bruce Bruchey, Recreation and Parks, and Christine Neiderer, Office of Law.

Mr. Baron explained the purpose of Bill No. 59-22.

Ms. Leys thanked the Council for their support and continued to discuss the importance of Bill No. 59-22.

The Chair called for the public hearing on Bill No. 59-22, and the following persons spoke:

Michael Lofton, Harwood

The Administrative Officer stated there was 1 submission of public testimony received ahead of time for Bill No. 59-22.

There was no one else present who wished to speak and the hearing was concluded. The Chair called for the title of Bill No. 59-22 to be read and the Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Ms. Fiedler asked a follow up question related to the testimony given.

Ms. Matthews responded to Ms. Fiedler.

Ms. Haire asked a follow up question.

Ms. Leys replied to Ms. Haire and deferred to Mr. Bruchey.

Mr. Bruchey responded to Ms. Haire's question.

Ms. Haire asked a follow up question and Mr. Buchey responded.

Ms. Lacey asked the panel a clarifying question.

Ms. Matthews responded.

Ms. Haire asked a question related to testimony that was received.

Ms. Matthews responded to Ms. Haire and they had continued conversation.

Ms. Lacey followed up with clarifying question in relation to the testimony that was received.

Ms. Matthews responded.

Ms. Lacey asked if the Administration was willing to hold Bill No. 59-22.

Mr. Baron explained that the Administration wanted to see Bill No. 59-22 move forward.

Ms. Pickard thanked Parks and Recreation for their continued work on Bill No. 59-22.

Bill No. 59-22 was passed by the following roll call:

Aye – Ms. Fiedler, Ms. Haire, Ms. Lacey, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, Mr. Pruski, and Ms. Rodvien

Nay – None

BILL NO. 60-22

The Chair called for Bill No. 60-22, an Ordinance concerning: Branches of Government – Orphans' Court – Compensation FOR the purpose of increasing the salary of the Orphans' Court Judges; providing for the effective date of the salary increases; and generally relating to branches of government.

The Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Pete Baron, Director, Government Affairs, was accompanied by Anne Budowski, Office of Personnel, and Lori Blair Klasmeier, Office of Law.

Mr. Baron explained the purpose of Bill No. 60-22.

Ms. Rodvien thanked the Administration for proposing Bill No. 60-22.

Mr. Baron clarified when the bill would take effect, if passed.

Mr. Volke asked a question.

Mr. Baron responded to Mr. Volke.

Mr. Volke spoke on his personal experience working with the Orphans Court in Maryland.

Mr. Baron replied.

Ms. Rodvien asked Mr. Baron to explain the Orphans Court.

Mr. Baron replied.

Mr. Volke added onto Mr. Baron's statement.

Ms. Blair-Klasmeier responded.

The Administrative Officer shared an online resource from the State about the Orphan's Court.

The Chair called for the public hearing on Bill No. 60-22.

The Administrative Officer stated there were no submissions of public testimony received ahead of time for Bill No. 60-22.

There was no one present who wished to speak and the hearing was concluded. The Chair called for the title of Bill No. 60-22 to be read and the Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Ms. Haire spoke on Bill No. 60-22, and asked a follow up question.

Mr. Baron responded and Ms. Haire.

Ms. Rodvien followed up with another question.

Mr. Volke and Mr. Baron responded.

Bill No. 60-22 was passed by the following roll call:

Aye – Ms. Lacey, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Pruski, and Ms. Rodvien
Nay – Ms. Haire, Mr. Volke, and Ms. Fiedler

BILL NO. 61-22

The Chair called for Bill No. 61-22, an Ordinance concerning: Pensions – Deferred Retirement Option Program – Fire Service Retirement Plan FOR the purpose of modifying the eligibility for the sixth year of participation in DROP for certain participants in the Fire Service Retirement Plan; and generally relating to pensions.

The Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Pete Baron, Director, Government Affairs, was accompanied by Anne Budowski and Kelly Lovett, Office of Personnel, and Lori Blair Klasmeier, Office of Law.

Mr. Baron explained the purpose of Bill No. 61-22.

Ms. Lacey asked a question.

Ms. Budowski responded to Ms. Lacey.

The Chair called for the public hearing on Bill No. 61-22.

The Administrative Officer stated there were no submissions of public testimony received ahead of time for Bill No. 61-22.

There was no one present who wished to speak and the hearing was concluded. The Chair called for the title of Bill No. 61-22 to be read and the Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Bill No. 61-22 was passed by the following roll call:

Aye – Ms. Fiedler, Ms. Haire, Ms. Lacey, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, Mr. Pruski, and Ms. Rodvien

Nay – None

BILL NO. 62-22

The Chair called for Bill No. 62-22, an Ordinance concerning: Crimes, Civil Offenses, and Fines – Littering – Civil Fines FOR the purpose of modifying the civil fines for littering; and generally related to crimes, civil offenses, and fines.

The Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Pete Baron, Director, Government Affairs, was accompanied by Major Ross Passman, Anne Arundel County Police, and Lori Blair Klasmeier, Office of Law.

The Chair called for the public hearing of Bill No. 62-22.

Mr. Pruski, Sponsor, explained the purpose of Bill No. 62-22 and continued onto describe his personal experience.

Ms. Pickard asked to be added as a Co-Sponsor for Bill No. 62-22, and expressed her support for tackling the litter problem.

Mr. Volke, Co-Sponsor, shared his personal experience.

Ms. Rodvien asked how littering fines are enforced and Major Passman responded.

Ms. Lacey asked about enforcement relating to geographical locations.

Ms. Blair-Klasmeier responded.

The Chair called for the public hearing of Bill No. 62-22.

There was no one present who wished to speak and the hearing was concluded. The Chair called for the title of Bill No. 62-22 to be read and the Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Bill No. 62-22 was adopted by the following roll call vote:

Aye – Ms. Fiedler, Ms. Haire, Ms. Lacey, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, Mr. Pruski, and Ms. Rodvien

Nay – None

BILL NO. 63-22 (Amendment Proposed)

The Chair called for Bill No. 63-22, an Ordinance concerning: Zoning – Bulk Regulations – Adult Independent Dwelling Units FOR the purpose of establishing maximum density allowed in R1, R2, and R5 residential districts for adult independent dwelling units served by public sewer and located within a two-mile radius of County libraries and community centers; and generally relating to zoning.

The Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Pete Baron, Director, and Lori Rhodes, Government Affairs, was accompanied by Lynn Miller, Office of Planning and Zoning, and Kelly Kenney and Lori Blair Klasmeier, Office of Law.

Ms. Lacey, Sponsor, explained the purpose of Bill No. 63-22.

Mr. Baron expressed the Administration's support for Bill No. 63-22.

Ms. Pickard expressed support for Bill No. 63-22.

The Chair called for the public hearing on Bill No. 63-22, as amended.

The Administrative Officer stated there were 3 submissions of public testimony received ahead of time for Bill No. 63-22.

The following persons spoke on Bill No. 63-22:

Helene Raven, Annapolis
Eileen Tally, Annapolis

There was no one else present who wished to speak and the hearing was concluded. The Chair called for the title of Bill No. 63-22, as amended, to be read and the Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Amendment No. 1

This amendment makes a correction to the purpose paragraph.

Mr. Baron shared the Administration's support of Amendment No. 1.

On motion of Ms. Lacey, seconded by Ms. Rodvien, Amendment No. 1 was adopted by the following roll call:

Aye – Ms. Haire, Ms. Lacey, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, Mr. Pruski, Ms. Fiedler, and Ms. Rodvien

Nay – None

Ms. Haire asked a question about testimony that was submitted.

Ms. Lacey responded to Ms. Haire and passed the question to Ms. Rhodes.

Ms. Fiedler asked a qualifying question.

Ms. Rhodes responded to Ms. Fiedler.

There was continued discussion about the impact of Bill No. 63-22 and the amendment to the bill.

The Chair stated that Bill No. 63-22, as amended, would be heard on July 18, 2022.

RESOLUTION NO. 18-22

The Chair called for Resolution No. 18-22, a Resolution proposing an amendment to the Charter of Anne Arundel County to allow a Councilmember to serve up to three consecutive terms of office.

The Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Lori Blair-Klasmeier, Office of Law, was present.

Mr. Volke, Sponsor, spoke about the history of Resolution No. 18-22.

Mr. Pruski discussed possible implications of the Resolution.

Resolution No. 18-22 was adopted by the following roll call:

Aye – Ms. Haire, Ms. Lacey, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, Ms. Fidler, and Ms. Rodvien

Nay – Mr. Pruski

RESOLUTION NO. 19-22

The Chair called for Resolution No. 19-22, a Resolution proposing an amendment to the Charter of Anne Arundel County to require that the compensation paid to each member of the County Council be in accordance with recommendations of the Salary Standard Commission that are approved by ordinance of the County Council.

The Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Lori Blair-Klasmeier from the Office of Law was present.

Mr. Pruski explained the history of Res. No. 19-22.

Mr. Volke asked a direct question to the Office of Law and Ms. Blair-Klasmeier responded.

Ms. Haire shared her concerns and motioned for Resolution No. 19-22 to be held, and Ms. Fiedler seconded the motion.

There was discussion between the Administrative Officer, Ms. Blair-Klasmeier, and Ms. Haire.

Ms. Haire and Ms. Fiedler withdrew their motion.

The Chair called for the public hearing on Resolution No. 19-22.

The Administrative Officer stated there were no submissions of public testimony received ahead of time for Resolution No. 19-22.

There was no one present who wished to speak and the hearing was concluded. The Chair called for the title of Resolution No. 19-22 to be read and the Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Mr. Volke questioned terminology used in the resolution.

Ms. Lacey shared her concerns.

On motion of Mr. Volke, seconded by Ms. Fiedler, the vote on Resolution No. 19-22 was postponed until the end of the meeting:

Aye – Ms. Haire, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, Mr. Pruski, Ms. Fiedler, and Ms. Rodvien
Nay – Ms. Lacey

RESOLUTION NO. 21-22

The Chair called for Resolution No. 21-22, a Resolution proposing an amendment to the Charter of Anne Arundel County to remove the requirement that copies of bills and notices of public hearings be posted on a bulletin board and to require that electronic copies of bills and notice of any public hearing be published to the County Council website and printed copies be made available to the public and press.

The Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Lori Blair-Klasmeier from the Office of Law was present.

Mr. Pruski, Sponsor, explained the purpose of the Resolution.

The Chair called for the public hearing for Resolution No. 21-22.

The Administrative Officer stated there were no submissions of public testimony received ahead of time for Resolution No. 21-22.

There was no one present who wished to speak and the hearing was concluded. The Chair called for the title of Resolution No. 21-22, as amended, to be read and the Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Resolution No. 21-22 was adopted by the following vote:

Aye – Ms. Haire, Ms. Lacey, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, Mr. Pruski, Ms. Fiedler, and Ms. Rodvien
Nay – None

RESOLUTION NO. 22-22

The Chair called for Resolution No. 22-22, a Resolution proposing an amendment to the Charter of Anne Arundel County to clarify the differences between the two types of emergency ordinances allowed under the Charter and the legislative procedure that applies to each.

The Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Lori Blair-Klasmeier from the Office of Law was present.

Mr. Pruski, Sponsor, explained the purpose of Resolution No. 22-22.

The Chair called for the public hearing for Resolution No. 22-22.

The Administrative Officer stated there were no submissions of public testimony received ahead of time for Resolution No. 22-22.

There was no one present who wished to speak and the hearing was concluded. The Chair called for the title of Resolution No. 22-22, as amended, to be read and the Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Resolution No. 22-22 was adopted by the following vote:

Aye – Ms. Haire, Ms. Lacey, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, Mr. Pruski, Ms. Fiedler, and Ms. Rodvien

Nay – None

RESOLUTION NO. 23-22

The Chair called for Resolution No. 23-22, a Resolution proposing an amendment to the Charter of Anne Arundel County to allow the County Council to assign additional functions, duties, and personnel to the County Auditor that are related to the finances and financial affairs of the County.

The Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Lori Blair-Klasmeier from the Office of Law was present.

Mr. Pruski explained the history of Resolution No. 23-22.

The Chair called for the public hearing for Resolution No. 23-22.

The Administrative Officer stated there were no submissions of public testimony received ahead of time for Resolution No. 23-22.

There was no one present who wished to speak and the hearing was concluded. The Chair called for the title of Resolution No. 23-22, as amended, to be read and the Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Resolution No. 23-22 was adopted by the following vote:

Aye – Ms. Haire, Ms. Lacey, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, Mr. Pruski, Ms. Fiedler, and Ms. Rodvien

Nay – None

RESOLUTION NO. 24-22

The Chair called for Resolution No. 24-22, a Resolution proposing an amendment to the Charter of Anne Arundel County to change the name of the Charter Revision Commission to the Redistricting and Charter Revision Commission and to amend the date by which a decennial Redistricting and Charter Revision Commission must be appointed by the County Council.

The Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Lori Blair-Klasmeier from the Office of Law was present.

Mr. Pruski explained the history and purpose of Resolution No. 24-22.

The Chair called for the public hearing for Resolution No. 24-22.

The Administrative Officer stated there were no submissions of public testimony received ahead of time for Resolution No. 24-22.

Mr. Baron expressed support on behalf of the Administration.

There was no one present who wished to speak and the hearing was concluded. The Chair called for the title of Resolution No. 24-22, as amended, to be read and the Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Resolution No. 24-22 was adopted by the following roll call:

Aye – Ms. Haire, Ms. Lacey, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, Mr. Pruski, Ms. Fiedler, and Ms. Rodvien

Nay – None

RESOLUTION NO. 19-22 (Continued) (Amendment Proposed)

Amendment No. 1

This amendment alters language so that the compensation paid to each member of the County Council is considered based on recommendations of the Salary Standard Commission.

Mr. Volke explained Amendment No. 1.

On motion of Mr. Volke, seconded by Ms. Fiedler, Amendment No. 1 was adopted by the following roll call:

Aye – Ms. Haire, Ms. Lacey, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, Mr. Pruski, Ms. Fiedler, and Ms. Rodvien

Nay – None

Resolution 19-22, as amended, was adopted by the following roll call:

Aye – Ms. Haire, Ms. Lacey, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, Mr. Pruski, Ms. Fiedler, and Ms. Rodvien

Nay – None

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, on motion of Mr. Volke, seconded by Ms. Pickard, the meeting adjourned at 10:11 P.M.

Respectfully submitted,

By Ann Aluise

For Laura Corby
 Administrative Office