

COUNTY COUNCIL OF ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY, MARYLAND

Minutes of

Legislative Session 2021, Legislative Day No. 22

November 15, 2021 - 7:00 P.M.

The meeting was called to order by Chair Lacey at 7:00 P.M. and opened with the Invocation given by Pastor Maurice Cornwell from Uplift Through The Word Ministries, Pasadena, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance led by Mr. Volke. The meeting was held in the County Council Chambers, Arundel Center, Annapolis, Maryland. There were approximately 30 persons in the audience.

The following members of the County Council were present:

Sarah F. Lacey	First District
Allison Pickard	Second District
Nathan Volke	Third District
Andrew C. Pruski	Fourth District
Amanda Fiedler	Fifth District
Lisa D.B. Rodvien	Sixth District
Jessica Haire	Seventh District

The County Auditor's Office was represented by the County Auditor, Michelle Bohlayer. Linda Schuett, Legislative Counsel, was also present.

ETHICS STATEMENT

Laura Corby, Administrative Officer, read aloud the Ethics Statement.

INVITATION TO AUDIENCE

The Administrative Officer stated there was one submission of written public testimony received for Invitation to Audience, which was posted to the website and is part of the official record.

The following persons spoke on Invitation to Audience:

Kurt Svendsen, Severna Park
David Dull, Severna Park
Joe Larsen, Hanover
Julie Johnson, Annapolis
David Morsberger, Davidsonville

There was no one else present who wished to speak, and the Invitation to Audience was concluded.

ITEMS NOT APPEARING ON AGENDA

Ms. Lacey withdrew Bill No. 88-21 on behalf of the Administration.

PRELIMINARY MOTION

On motion of Ms. Pickard, seconded by Mr. Pruski, the Council voted that the partial reading of any bill, resolution, minutes, or amendment constitutes the reading of the whole.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

On motion of Ms. Pickard, seconded by Mr. Pruski, the minutes of the meeting of November 1, 2021 were approved as presented.

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

BILL NO. 100-21 – AN ORDINANCE concerning: Pensions – Reemployment – Reduction of Benefits – FOR the purpose of limiting the exemption from pension benefit reduction to the first 1,500 hours worked for certain reemployment situations; and generally relating to pensions.

By Ms. Lacey, Chair

(by request of the County Executive)

BILL NO. 101-21 – AN ORDINANCE concerning: Approval of private disposition of County-owned property located in Millersville, Maryland – FOR the purpose of approving the terms and conditions under which the County may convey certain County-owned property to the Board of Education of Anne Arundel County (“BOE”).

By Ms. Lacey, Chair

(by request of the County Executive)

BILL NO. 102-21 – AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Requirements for Conditional Uses – Adult Independent Dwelling Units – Setbacks – FOR the purpose of clarifying that structures in an adult independent dwelling facility be located a certain distance from all lot lines; requiring that parking areas for adult independent dwelling units be located a certain distance from residential lot lines; and generally relating to zoning.

Introduced by Mr. Volke

BILL NO. 103-21 – AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Commercial Districts – Gunsmiths and Ammunition Sales Facilities – FOR the purpose of allowing gunsmiths and ammunition sales facilities as a permitted use in C3 zoning districts; and generally relating to zoning.

Introduced by Ms. Fiedler and Mr. Volke

BILL NO. 104-21 – AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – School Bus Facilities – FOR the purpose of adding a definition of “school bus facility”; allowing school bus facilities as a permitted use in certain commercial and industrial zoning districts; allowing school bus facilities as a conditional use in certain commercial and small business zoning districts; adding the conditional use requirements for school bus facilities; and generally relating to zoning.

Introduced by Ms. Fiedler

INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS

RESOLUTION NO. 59-21 – RESOLUTION appointing a member to the Anne Arundel Ethics Commission
By Ms. Lacey, Chair
(by request of the County Executive)
and Mr. Volke

The Chair stated Resolution No. 59-21 will be heard at the end of the meeting.

PUBLIC HEARINGS AND CALL OF BILLS FOR FINAL READING AND/OR VOTE

BILL NO. 85-21 (As Amended)

The Chair called Bill No. 85-21, as amended, An Ordinance concerning: Subdivision and Development – Adequate Public Facilities – Public Schools – For the purpose of modifying the provisions for an exemption from requirements for adequate public facilities for schools; restoring provisions relating to the school utilization chart as they existed before the sunset of Bill No. 15-18; adding the option of donating land to the Board of Education for future construction of school facilities to pass the test for adequate school facilities; making certain technical changes; providing for the termination of this Ordinance; and generally relating to subdivision and development; and the Administrative Officer read the title.

Peter Baron, Director, Government Affairs, was accompanied by Lynn Miller, Assistant Planning and Zoning Officer, and Kelly Kenney, Senior Assistant County Attorney, Office of Law.

Mr. Baron explained the purpose and goal of the bill.

The Chair opened the public hearing on Bill No. 85-21, as amended.

The Administrative Officer stated there were no submissions of public testimony received for Bill No. 85-21, as amended.

There was no one present who wished to speak and the hearing was concluded.

The Chair called Bill No. 85-21, as amended, An Ordinance concerning: Subdivision and Development – Adequate Public Facilities – Public Schools; and the Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Amendment No. 5

The Chair called for Amendment No. 5 and the Administrative Officer read the description.

This amendment adds in a requirement for passing school APF that was part of Bill 15-18.

Mr. Baron explained the amendment.

Ms. Haire asked if the sentence was in the current code.

Ms. Kenney explained the reason it was not.

On motion of Ms. Pickard, seconded by Ms. Rodvien, Amendment No. 5 was adopted by the following roll call vote:

Aye – Ms. Fiedler, Ms. Rodvien, Ms. Haire, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, Mr. Pruski,
Ms. Lacey
Nay – None

The Chair stated that Bill No. 85-21, as amended, will be heard on December 6, 2021.

BILL NO. 89-21

The Chair called for the public hearing on Bill No. 89-21, An Ordinance concerning: Current Expense Budget – Supplementary Appropriations – For the purpose of making supplementary appropriations from unanticipated revenues to certain offices, departments, institutions, boards, commissions or other agencies in the general fund and to certain special funds of the County government for the current fiscal year; and generally relating to making supplementary appropriations of funds to the current expense budget for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2022; and the Administrative Officer read the title.

Peter Baron, Director, Government Affairs, was accompanied by Steven Theroux, Budget Office, Billie Penley, Chief Financial Officer, Health Department, and Kelly Kenney, Senior Assistant County Attorney, Office of Law.

Mr. Baron explained the bill.

Mr. Volke asked what the \$4.8 billion would be spent on.

Ms. Penley responded.

Mr. Volke asked if the staff already existed in the department.

Ms. Penley agreed.

Ms. Fielder asked for clarification of the language in the Auditor's report and about the vaccination of children.

Ms. Penley answered.

Mr. Volke asked how the staff was being paid currently.

Ms. Penley responded.

Ms. Haire asked if any of the money would go to County surveillance testing.

Ms. Penley stated the money goes toward contact tracing.

There was discussion regarding the fund's uses.

The Chair called for the public hearing on Bill No. 89-21.

The Administrative Officer stated there were no submissions of public testimony received for Bill No. 89-21.

The following person spoke on Bill No. 89-21:

David Dull, Severna Park

There was no one else present who wished to speak and the hearing was concluded.

The Chair called Bill No. 89-21, An Ordinance concerning: Current Expense Budget – Supplementary Appropriations; and the Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Mr. Volke asked where else a student could be vaccinated besides through the County.

Ms. Penley shared where that was possible.

Ms. Fiedler asked for highlights from the CDC crisis cooperative agreement.

Ms. Penley shared the list.

Mr. Baron stated the agreements were provided to the Council.

Ms. Haire asked when the documents were given.

Mr. Theroux answered.

Ms. Lacey commended the smooth operation of the Health Department.

There was continued discussion regarding the use of the grant.

Bill No. 89-21 was passed by the following roll call vote:

Aye – Ms. Rodvien, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Pruski, Ms. Lacey

Nay – Ms. Fiedler, Ms. Haire, Mr. Volke

BILL NO. 90-21

The Chair called for the public hearing on Bill No. 90-21, An Ordinance concerning: Personnel – Positions in the Classified Service – Department of Public Works and Police Department – Position Control – Office of Central Services and Department of Public Works – For the purpose of modifying minimum qualifications for certain positions in the classified service; adding certain positions in the classified service; providing for the pay grade, work week, and minimum qualifications applicable to positions added to the classified service; decreasing certain

positions in the classified service; increasing certain positions in the classified service; and generally relating to personnel; and the Administrative Officer read the title.

Peter Baron, Director, Government Affairs, was accompanied by Nancy Noonan, Deputy Central Service Officer, Karen Henry, Department of Public Works, Chris Klein, Superintendent, Department of Detention Facilities, Jackie Atkinson, Personnel, Pearlene Collins, Personnel, and Kelly Kenney, Senior Assistant County Attorney.

Mr. Baron explained the bill.

Ms. Rodvien asked what motivated the amending of job qualifications.

Mr. Baron explained.

The Chair opened the public hearing on Bill No. 90-21.

The Administrative Officer stated there were no submissions of public testimony received for Bill No. 90-21.

There was no one present who wished to speak and the hearing was concluded.

The Chair called Bill No. 90-21, An Ordinance concerning: Personnel – Positions in the Classified Service – Department of Public Works and Police Department – Position Control – Office of Central Services and Department of Public Works; and the Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Bill No. 90-21 was passed by the following roll call vote:

Aye – Ms. Fiedler, Ms. Rodvien, Ms. Haire, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, Mr. Pruski,
Ms. Lacey
Nay – None

BILL NO. 91-21

The Chair called for the public hearing on Bill No. 91-21, An Ordinance concerning: Approval of private disposition of County-owned property consisting of approximately 37.50 +/- acres, known as 1127 Bragers Road in Odenton, Maryland – For the purpose of approving the terms and conditions under which the County may convey certain County-owned property to the Board of Education of Anne Arundel County (“BOE”); and the Administrative Officer read the title.

Peter Baron, Director, Government Affairs, was accompanied by Alex Szachnowicz, Chief Operating Officer, AACPS, and Kelly Kenney, Senior Assistant County Attorney, Office of Law.

Mr. Baron explained the bill.

The Chair opened the public hearing on Bill No. 91-21.

The Administrative Officer stated there were no submissions of public testimony received for Bill No. 91-21.

The following person spoke on Bill No. 91-21:

Julie Johnson, Annapolis

There was no one else present who wished to testify and the hearing was concluded.

The Chair called Bill No. 91-21, An Ordinance concerning: Approval of private disposition of County-owned property consisting of approximately 37.50 +/- acres, known as 1127 Bragers Road in Odenton, Maryland; and the Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Mr. Volke asked what the current policy of afterhours use of the school properties was.

Mr. Szachnowicz answered.

Mr. Volke asked if there were any changes to the policy in the past years.

Mr. Szachnowicz explained the changes.

Mr. Pruski stated what the property was for and asked if there was discussion about accelerating the project.

Mr. Szachnowicz responded.

Mr. Volke expressed concern about how the Board of Education was handling the use of the property.

Mr. Szachnowicz spoke on the Board's policy.

Ms. Pickard thanked the Board for school fields.

Bill No. 91-21 was passed by the following roll call vote:

Aye – Ms. Fiedler, Ms. Rodvien, Ms. Haire, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, Mr. Pruski,

Ms. Lacey

Nay – None

BILL NO. 92-21

The Chair called for the public hearing on Bill No. 92-21, An Emergency Ordinance concerning: Budget – Grants Special Revenue Fund – Limitation on Conditional Appropriation – For the purpose of limiting a conditional appropriation to the Grants Special Revenue Fund; making this an emergency ordinance; and generally relating to finance, taxation, and budget; and the Administrative Officer read the title.

Ms. Fiedler, Sponsor, explained the bill.

Peter Baron, Director, Government Affairs, was accompanied by Chris Trumbauer, Budget Officer, Steven Theroux, Budget Office, Karin McQuade, Controller, Office of Finance, Michael Beard, Office of Finance, and Kelly Kenney, Senior Assistant County Attorney, Office of Law.

Mr. Trumbauer reminded the Council of the Budget's process for grant funds.

Mr. Volke asked about the accountability or requirements that the Administration would approve and why the bill would be a problem.

Mr. Trumbauer explained the needed changes for the bill.

Ms. Fielder stated the amendment would address the concerns.

The Chair opened the public hearing on Bill No. 92-21.

The Administrative Officer stated there were no submissions of public testimony received for Bill No. 92-21.

The following persons spoke on Bill No. 92-21:

Dawn Pulliam, Crofton
Kurt Svendsen, Severna Park
Amy Leahy, Severna Park
David Dull, Severna Park

There was no one else present who wished to testify and the hearing was concluded.

The Chair called Bill No. 92-21, An Emergency Ordinance concerning: Budget – Grants Special Revenue Fund – Limitation on Conditional Appropriation; and the Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Amendment No. 1

The Chair called for Amendment No. 1 and the Administrative Officer read the description.

This amendment removes a general limitation on certain appropriations to the Grants Special Revenue Fund and instead adds a condition that the County Council approve appropriations of more than \$500,000 to the Grants Special Revenue Fund funded by Fiscal Recovery Funds; adds an approval process for the County Council that may be triggered by 3 or more Councilmembers; and adds a sunset provision.

Ms. Fiedler, Sponsor, explained the amendment.

Mr. Trumbauer shared that the Administration already gives notification for any appropriations over \$500,000 and that the Administration agrees with the amendment.

Ms. Fiedler expressed her desire for the Council to have a vote during the approval process.

Ms. Rodvien voiced her concern regarding if the Council declined to approve appropriations.

Ms. Fiedler explained the amendment in detail and what would happen if the Council declines the appropriation.

Mr. Trumbauer gave his view of the amendment.

Mr. Volke explained how extra money in the budget was allocated without the Council's approval.

Ms. Pickard asked what would happen to the money if appropriations were not approved.

Mr. Beard explained.

Ms. Lacey expressed concern about the changes that will happen over the next couple of years and her view of the amendment.

There was further discussion on the amendment.

On motion of Ms. Haire, seconded by Ms. Fiedler, Amendment No. 1 was adopted by the following roll call vote:

Aye – Ms. Fiedler, Ms. Haire, Mr. Volke, Ms. Lacey
Nay – Ms. Rodvien, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Pruski

Bill No. 92-21 was defeated by the following roll call vote:

Aye – Ms. Fiedler, Ms. Haire, Mr. Volke, Ms. Lacey
Nay – Ms. Rodvien, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Pruski

BILL NO. 93-21

The Chair called for the public hearing on Bill No. 93-21, An Ordinance concerning: Subdivision and Development – Adequate School Facilities – Residential Development in Transit-Oriented Overlay Development Policy Areas – For the purpose of exempting residential development located in transit-oriented overlay development policy areas from the adequate school facilities test under certain conditions; adding the conditions for the exemption from the test for adequate school facilities; and generally relating to subdivision and development; and the Administrative Officer read the title.

Ms. Lacey, Sponsor, explained the bill.

Peter Baron, Director, Government Affairs, was accompanied by Lynn Miller, Assistant Planning and Zoning Officer, Raghavenderrao Badami, Inspections and Permits, and Kelly Kenney, Senior Assistant County Attorney, Office of Law.

Mr. Baron expressed the Administration's agreement of the bill.

Ms. Haire asked about one-bedroom/studio dwellings that generate children under the school's formula.

Ms. Miller stated that AAC public school generates the data.

Ms. Fiedler asked about the reviews of the formula.

Ms. Miller answered.

Ms. Rodvien expressed her concerns about matching the type of housing needed and asked for information indicating where the need was greatest.

Mr. Baron replied.

Ms. Lacey expounded on the lack of information.

Ms. Rodvien shared her concern of excluding larger family groups.

Ms. Lacey responded.

The Chair opened the public hearing on Bill No. 93-21.

The Administrative Officer stated there was one submission of public testimony received for Bill No. 93-21, which was posted to the website and is part of the official record.

The following persons spoke on Bill No. 93-21:

Mark Thompson, Laurel
Phil Dales, Annapolis
David Dull, Severna Park
Julie Johnson, Annapolis

There was no one else present who wished to testify and the hearing was concluded.

The Chair called Bill No. 93-21, An Ordinance concerning: Subdivision and Development – Adequate School Facilities – Residential Development in Transit-Oriented Overlay Development Policy Areas; and the Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Ms. Pickard expressed her concerns about the bill.

Ms. Rodvien shared her view of the need for affordable housing.

Ms. Lacey shared that affordability needed to be looked at separately.

Ms. Rodvien moved to hold the bill until December 6. There was no second and the motion failed.

Bill No. 93-21 was passed by the following roll call vote:

Aye – Ms. Fiedler, Ms. Rodvien, Ms. Haire, Mr. Volke, Mr. Pruski, Ms. Lacey

Nay – Ms. Pickard

BILL NO. 94-21

The Chair called for the public hearing on Bill No. 94-21, An Ordinance concerning: Subdivision and Development and Zoning – Glen Burnie Sustainable Community Overlay Area – Transit-Oriented Overlay Development Policy Areas – For the purpose of amending the conditions for an exemption from the test for adequate school facilities for residential redevelopment projects within the Glen Burnie Sustainable Community Overlay Area; amending the definition of “redevelopment” to include lots located in transit-oriented overlay development policy areas; and generally relating to subdivision and development and zoning; and the Administrative Officer read the title.

Ms. Pickard, Sponsor, explained the bill.

Peter Baron, Director, Government Affairs, was accompanied by Lori Rhodes, Deputy Chief Administrative Officer, Planning and Zoning, Lynn Miller, Assistant Planning and Zoning Officer, Raghavenderrao Badami, Inspections and Permits, and Kelly Kenney, Senior Assistant County Attorney, Office of Law.

Mr. Baron stated this bill is about redevelopment.

Ms. Haire asked how many children two bedroom facilities would generate through the tests.

Ms. Fiedler explained that the bill will not generate overcrowding for schools.

Mr. Szachnowicz agreed that the number would be minimal.

The Chair opened the public hearing on Bill No. 94-21.

The Administrative Officer stated there were no submissions of public testimony received for Bill No. 94-21.

The following person spoke on Bill No. 94-21:

David Dull, Severna Park

There was no one else present who wished to testify and the hearing was concluded.

The Chair called Bill No. 94-21, An Ordinance concerning: Subdivision and Development and Zoning – Glen Burnie Sustainable Community Overlay Area – Transit-Oriented Overlay Development Policy Areas; and the Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Ms. Pickard reiterated that the bill does not engage residential development, only vacant residences.

Ms. Lacey asked about the definition of redevelopment in the bill.

Ms. Pickard clarified the specific areas to be included in the bill.

Ms. Kenney stated that the bill would apply only in the Glen Burnie Overlay area.

Ms. Fiedler asked if there was a dramatic difference between this bill and Bill No. 93-21.

Mr. Baron stated this bill covers a smaller area.

Amendment No. 1

The Chair called for Amendment No. 1 and the Administrative Officer read the description.

This amendment changes the terminology used in one of the conditions for exemption from the test for adequate public schools in the Glen Burnie Sustainable Community Overlay Area to reference “residential uses” instead of “multifamily dwellings”.

Ms. Pickard explained the amendment.

The Administration supports.

Ms. Fiedler asked for clarification of mix-used developments.

Ms. Pickard answered.

On motion of Ms. Rodvien, seconded by Ms. Pickard, Amendment No. 1 was adopted by the following roll call vote:

Aye – Ms. Fiedler, Ms. Rodvien, Ms. Haire, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Pruski

Nay – Mr. Volke, Ms. Lacey

The Chair stated that Bill No. 94-21, as amended, will be heard on December 6, 2021.

BILL NO. 95-21

The Chair called for the public hearing on Bill No. 95-21, An Ordinance concerning: Construction and Property Maintenance Codes Supplement – International Building Codes Supplement – Exterior Wall Glass and Glazing – Nonresidential Construction – For the purpose of defining “threat factor”; requiring that glass installed on the exterior walls of nonresidential construction comply with certain bird threat factors; providing for the application of this ordinance; and generally relating to the construction and property maintenance codes; and the Administrative Officer read the title.

Ms. Rodvien, Sponsor, explained the bill and gave examples of buildings.

Peter Baron, Director, Government Affairs, was accompanied by Andrew McCarra, Inspections and Permits, and Kelly Kenney, Senior Assistant County Attorney, Office of Law.

The Administration supports.

Ms. Haire asked about the grandfathering clause.

Ms. Rodvien answered.

Ms. Kenney agreed that it was only for new constructions.

Mr. McCarra explained there was no permit needed to add new windows.

Mr. Pruski asked for any local studies and about updating the permit process.

Mr. Baron stated there were no local studies.

Mr. McCarra was not sure why the Code was not updated.

Mr. Pruski asked about using other materials.

Ms. Fiedler asked how a new structure would meet the requirement of windows.

Mr. McCarra explained when the windows would be inspected.

Ms. Fiedler asked if etching or decals would be allowed.

Mr. McCarra confirmed.

Ms. Fiedler asked about the details of the decals.

Ms. Kenney explained there is no regulation for them.

Ms. Haire asked about the threat factor.

Ms. Rodvien explained.

Ms. Pickard asked if the example building chose to change the windows out, or if they were mandated to.

Ms. Rodvien stated they chose to change the windows out and gave other building examples.

The Chair opened the public hearing on Bill No. 95-21.

The Administrative Officer stated there were ten submissions of public testimony received for Bill No. 93-21, which were posted to the website and are part of the official record.

The following persons spoke on Bill No. 95-21:

Jack Turner, Annapolis
Ginger Woolridge, Annapolis
Mark Southerland, Columbia
Lani Hummel, Annapolis
Julie Johnson, Annapolis

There was no one else present who wished to testify and the hearing was concluded.

The Chair called Bill No. 95-21, An Ordinance concerning: Construction and Property Maintenance Codes Supplement – International Building Codes Supplement – Exterior Wall Glass and Glazing – Nonresidential Construction; and the Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Bill No. 95-21 was defeated by the following roll call vote:

Aye – Ms. Rodvien, Ms. Lacey
Nay – Ms. Fiedler, Ms. Haire, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, Mr. Pruski

CALL OF RESOLUTIONS FOR FINAL READING AND VOTE

RESOLUTION NO. 58-21

The Chair called Resolution No. 58-21, Resolution supporting the Anne Arundel County Board of Education's Fiscal Year 2023 Public School Construction Capital Improvement Program request for submission to the Interagency Commission on School Construction; and the Administrative Officer read the title.

Peter Baron, Director, Government Affairs, was accompanied by Alex Szachnowicz, Chief Operating Officer, AACPS, Naomi McAllister, Budget Office, and Kelly Kenney, Senior Assistant County Attorney, Office of Law.

Mr. Baron explained the resolution.

The Chair called for the public hearing on Resolution No. 54-21.

The Administrative Officer stated there were no submissions of public testimony received for Resolution No. 58-21.

There was no one present who wished to speak and the hearing was concluded.

The Chair called Resolution No. 54-21, Resolution supporting the Anne Arundel County Board of Education's Fiscal Year 2023 Public School Construction Capital Improvement Program request for submission to the Interagency Commission on School Construction; and the Administrative Officer read the title.

Ms. Pickard asked about the Crofton Middle Class addition.

Mr. Szachnowicz stated the proposal was for four classroom additions and spoke on the program.

Resolution No. 58-21 was adopted by the following roll call vote:

Aye – Ms. Fiedler, Ms. Rodvien, Ms. Haire, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, Mr. Pruski,
Ms. Lacey
Nay – None

RESOLUTION NO. 59-21

The Chair called Resolution No. 59-21, Resolution appointing a member to the Anne Arundel Ethics Commission; and the Administrative Officer read the title.

Mr. Baron explained the resolution.

The Chair called Resolution No. 59-21, Resolution appointing a member to the Anne Arundel Ethics Commission; and the Administrative Officer read the title.

Resolution No. 59-21 was adopted by the following roll call vote:

Aye – Ms. Fiedler, Ms. Rodvien, Ms. Haire, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, Mr. Pruski,
Ms. Lacey
Nay – None

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, on motion of Mr. Volke, seconded by Ms. Pickard, the meeting adjourned at 10:13 P.M.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Anna Macaulay

By Anna Macaulay

/s/ Laura Corby

For Laura Corby
Administrative Officer