

AGENDA
COUNTY COUNCIL OF ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY, MARYLAND
Virtual County Council Meeting
Legislative Session 2021, Legislative Day No. 1
January 4, 2021 - 6:00 P.M.

- A. Invocation (Rodvien)
- B. Pledge of Allegiance
- C. Open Meetings Statement
- D. Ethics Statement
- E. Invitation to Audience
- F. Announcement of Items not Appearing on Agenda
- G. Preliminary Motion
- H. Approval of Minutes

December 21, 2020 – Legislative Day No. 34

- I. Introduction of Bills

BILL NO. 1-21 – AN ORDINANCE concerning: Purchasing – Value of Purchases Requiring Full Competitive Procurement Process – FOR the purpose of increasing the value of capital and non-capital purchases and contracts requiring a full competitive procurement process from \$50,000 to \$100,000; increasing the minimum value of an expedited procurement from \$50,000 to \$100,000; and generally relating to purchasing.

by Ms. Lacey, Chair

(by request of the County Executive)

BILL NO. 2-21 – AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Bird Sanctuaries – FOR the purpose of defining “bird sanctuary”; allowing bird sanctuaries as a conditional use in RA and RLD residential zoning districts; adding the conditional use requirements for bird sanctuaries; and generally relating to zoning.

by Ms. Haire

BILL NO. 3-21 – AN ORDINANCE concerning: General Provisions – Civil Emergencies – FOR the purpose of prohibiting the County from enforcing conditions imposed in connection with the proclamation of an emergency that are more restrictive than conditions imposed by the State in connection with a proclamation of emergency unless authorized by ordinance adopted by the affirmative vote of five members of the County Council; and generally relating to civil emergencies.

by Ms. Fiedler

BILL NO. 4-21 – AN EMERGENCY ORDINANCE concerning: Proclamation of Civil Emergency in Anne Arundel County – Termination – FOR the purpose of terminating the extension of the proclamation of a civil emergency established by Bill No. 24-20; and making this Ordinance an emergency measure.

by Mr. Volke

J. Introduction of Resolutions

RESOLUTION NO. 1-21 – RESOLUTION approving the continued service of Anne Budowski as Acting Personnel Officer
by Ms. Lacey, Chair
(by request of the County Executive)

RESOLUTION NO. 2-21 – RESOLUTION appointing a member to the Anne Arundel County Ethics Commission
by Ms. Lacey, Chair
(by request of the County Executive)

RESOLUTION NO. 3-21 – RESOLUTION approving the appointment of a Severn River Commission member
by Ms. Lacey, Chair
(by request of the County Executive)

RESOLUTION NO. 4-21 – RESOLUTION approving Anne Arundel County’s 2021 Financial Assurance Plan for compliance with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination Phase I Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Permit
by Ms. Lacey, Chair
(by request of the County Executive)

K. Public Hearings and Call of Bills for Final Reading and Vote

BILL NO. 105-20 – AN ORDINANCE concerning: Finance, Taxation, and Budget – Real Property Taxes – Tax Credits – Disabled Veterans and Their Surviving Spouses – FOR the purpose of defining certain terms; establishing a real property tax credit for disabled veterans and their surviving spouses; establishing eligibility criteria for the credit; providing for the calculation and duration of the credit; establishing a deadline for filing for the credit and the form of application for the credit; providing for the termination of the credit; and generally relating to finance, taxation, and budget.
by Ms. Haire, Ms. Fiedler, and Mr. Volke

BILL NO. 106-20 – AN ORDINANCE concerning: Purchasing – Procurement – Transitioning the County’s Vehicle Fleet to Hybrid and Zero-emission Vehicles – FOR the purpose of transitioning to County vehicles that are hybrid or zero-emission vehicles in certain circumstances; defining “hybrid vehicle” and “zero-emission vehicle”; establishing certain deadlines to complete the transition; establishing a reporting requirement; requiring a long-term plan by a certain date; and generally relating to purchasing.
by Ms. Rodvien and Ms. Lacey

L. Other Business

M. Adjourn

WATCH OR LISTEN TO THE COUNTY COUNCIL MEETING

The County Council Meeting can be watched on local cable channels or via Arundel TV Live! To find a list of channels or to access Arundel TV Live, visit www.aacounty.org/services-and-programs/government-television.

You may listen only to the January 4, 2021 meeting by dialing any one of the following telephone numbers and entering the appropriate ID and passcode when prompted.

Dial any one of the following telephone numbers:

+1 301 715 8592
+1 470 381 2552
+1 470 250 9358
+1 669 900 6833
+1 669 219 2599
888 475 4499 (Toll Free)
833 548 0276 (Toll Free)

Enter the ID and passcode for the meeting:

County Council Meeting

Monday, January 4, 2021 at 6:00 PM

ID: 899 6922 9519
Passcode: 57713454



**ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY
OFFICE OF THE COUNTY AUDITOR**

To: Members of the County Council

From: Susan L. Smith, County Auditor

Date: December 30, 2020

Subject: Legislation to be heard on January 4, 2021: Bill Nos. 105-20 and 106-20.

Bill No. 105-20: Finance, Taxation, and Budget – Real Property Taxes – Tax Credits – Disabled Veterans and Their Surviving Spouses

This bill establishes a real property tax credit for disabled veterans that have been honorably discharged and declared by the U.S. Department of Veterans' Affairs to have a permanent service connected disability of 50% to 99% as authorized by Md. Code Ann. Tax-Property Article § 9-265. This bill also establishes a real property tax credit for the surviving spouse defined as the spouse of a deceased disabled veteran receiving the tax credit at the time of death who has not remarried. The tax credits for the disabled veteran and the surviving spouse are each for a maximum of five years.

To receive the tax credit, the disabled veteran or the surviving spouse must own the dwelling house (defined as real property that is the legal residence of a disabled veteran and is occupied by two or fewer families), have a federal adjusted gross income in the preceding tax year of less than \$100,000, and complete the application and provide the required support by the deadline of April 1st. If the disabled veteran has a disability rating of at least 50% but not more than 74%, the tax credit for the disabled veteran and the surviving spouse is 25% of the County real property tax. If the disabled veteran has a disability rating of at least 75% but not more than 99%, the tax credit for the disabled veteran and the surviving spouse is 50% of the County real property tax.

Md. Code Ann. Tax-Property Article § 7-208 provides a real property tax exemption for disabled veterans that have been declared by the U.S. Department of Veterans' Affairs to have a permanent 100% service connected disability and their surviving spouses or surviving spouses of those that died in the line of duty, under certain circumstances. For fiscal year 2021 (FY2021), there were 1,657 veterans with a permanent 100% service connected disability and 86 surviving spouses in Anne Arundel County that were exempt from real property taxes for properties with an assessed value totaling approximately \$719 million with a County real property tax exemption of approximately \$4 million to \$7 million. Anne Arundel County Code § 4-2-319 provides a real property tax credit for retired veterans of 15% of the County property tax if they own a dwelling with an assessed value of \$500,000 or less and submit an application and supporting documentation to the Controller by April 1st. The tax credit for retired veterans is for a maximum of five years. During FY2021, the County issued tax credits to retired veterans for 289 parcels totaling \$100,711.

We noted one other Maryland county that offers a real property tax credit for disabled veterans with a disability rating less than 100%. Specifically, Washington County provides a real property tax

credit for disabled veterans with a disability rating of 1% to 99% with a real property tax credit based on their disability rating. For example, a disabled veteran with a 60% disability rating receives a 60% real property tax credit. The U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs estimates that Washington County has approximately 9,700 veterans. According to Washington County, during FY2021, it issued 238 tax credits for disabled veterans for approximately \$310,000.

According to the Maryland Department of Veterans Affairs, there are currently approximately 50,000 veterans in Anne Arundel County. The U.S. Department of Veteran Affairs has not updated its statistics on the number of disabled veterans by disability rating ranges and counties since fiscal year 2014. As of September 30, 2014, there were approximately 55,000 veterans in Anne Arundel County, of which 5,077 had a disability rating between 50 to 99% per the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs. Thus, extrapolating the current population of veterans with the 2014 disability percentages, we estimate that there are currently approximately 4,600 disabled veterans in Anne Arundel County with a disability rating of 50 to 99%. The average County property tax for a homeowner occupied property outside of the City of Annapolis is \$3,050 and the average County property tax for a homeowner occupied property inside of the City of Annapolis is \$1,992.

We cannot readily determine the amount of the tax credit that would be awarded under this bill. While we can estimate that there are approximately 4,600 disabled veterans with a disability rating ranging from 50 to 99% in Anne Arundel County and we know the average County property tax, we cannot determine how many of those disabled veterans meet the other requirements, including the number that own a dwelling as opposed to renting, and the number that have a federal adjusted gross income limit of less than \$100,000. We also cannot determine the number of surviving spouses that would meet the requirements for this tax credit in future years. However, due to the County's general practice of maximizing the tax cap, this credit would not impact the property tax revenue collected by the County, but rather would shift the tax to the remaining tax base.

The Office of Finance anticipates needing one additional Accountant I and one additional Customer Service Representative to review and process the tax credit applications; to review the support for the income requirements, ownership of the dwelling, and veterans' disability; and to request additional support and answer citizen questions. An Accountant I position has a pay grade of NR-15 with an annual salary ranging from \$50,453 to \$85,737. A Customer Service Representative has a pay grade of OS-7 with an annual salary ranging from \$35,360 to \$58,032. The annual salary, FICA, and benefits for these two positions based on current costs would range from \$100,200 to \$233,300. The top range estimate for benefits includes the cost of participating in the Employee's Retirement Pension Plan at the current pension rate of 22.65% of salaries.

Bill No. 106-20: Purchasing – Procurement – Transition the County's Vehicle Fleet to Hybrid and Zero-Emission Vehicles

This bill requires the County to transition its passenger vehicles, multi-purpose passenger vehicles, and trucks with a load capacity of $\frac{3}{4}$ ton or less and a gross vehicle weight of 10,000 pounds or less to hybrid or zero-emission vehicles during a specified time period as long as the purchasing agent determines that the vehicles meet the financial, operational, and technological needs of the using agencies. Specifically, County-owned or leased vehicles that require replacement and newly added vehicles are required to be replaced with hybrid or zero-emission vehicles as of the effective date of this bill, and replaced with only zero-emission vehicles starting in fiscal year 2035. If the purchasing agent determines that hybrid or zero-emission vehicles do not meet the financial, operational, or technological needs of the using agencies, the purchasing agent is required to purchase or lease vehicles with the lowest emissions that do meet the financial, operational, and technological needs of the using agencies.

Additionally, this bill requires the purchasing agent to submit a report annually to the County Executive and the County Council of all such procurements made during the preceding fiscal year. Further, this bill requires the Office of Central Services to complete a plan, by the end of FY2025, that outlines the long-term infrastructure, charging, and maintenance needs, as well as any staff, training, equipment, or contracted resources necessary to meet the requirements.

This bill defines hybrid vehicle as a vehicle using two different forms of power, such as an electric motor and an internal combustion engine or an electric motor with a battery and fuel cells for energy storage. This bill defines zero-emission vehicle as the meaning stated in Md. Code Ann. Transportation Article § 23-206.4, which is defined as any vehicle that is determined by the Secretary of the Maryland Department of Environment to be of a type that does not produce any tailpipe or evaporative emissions and has not been altered from the manufacturer's original specifications.

Replacement Costs:

The majority of County vehicles are replaced with the County's Garage Vehicle Replacement Fund. The County's policy is to replace vehicles every five years, however, Police Department vehicles have been replaced every three to five years. The current vehicle list for the Garage Vehicle Replacement Fund includes 1,641 vehicles, of which 207 are exempt from this legislation because they do not meet the definition (e.g., trucks greater than $\frac{3}{4}$ ton), leaving 1,434 vehicles impacted. The majority of these vehicles are used by the Police Department (793 vehicles), followed by the Department of Public Works (254 vehicles), Department of Inspections and Permits (84 vehicles), and the Fire Department (82 vehicles). The vehicle fleet includes sports utility vehicles (857), pick-up trucks (280), mid-size vehicles (147), compact vehicles (87), and vans (63). There are also vehicles purchased directly in a department's budget, but these tend to be unusual vehicles, such as specialty trucks used by the Department of Public Works that would not be subject to the requirements of this bill.

To determine the estimated increase in replacement costs for replacing the County's current vehicles with hybrid vehicles, we obtained the base-level costs of hybrid vehicle models available for each vehicle class and compared these costs to recent average County purchase prices for internal combustion vehicles for the same class and sizes. For certain vehicle types (e.g., $\frac{1}{2}$ ton and $\frac{3}{4}$ ton pick-up trucks, vans), there is not a current hybrid vehicle option at the moment so pricing is not available. We found pricing for hybrid vehicle replacement options for 980 of the 1,434 County vehicles. For these vehicles, we determined that the hybrid vehicle options with the lowest manufactured suggested retail prices (MSRPs) were on average 122% of the current average prices paid by the County for the base price of a similar vehicle class and size, for an additional cost of approximately \$3.64 million. Based on this average price increase, we estimated that the additional replacement cost for the remaining 454 vehicles, would result in an additional cost of approximately \$2.95 million, for total additional replacement costs totaling approximately \$6.59 million. This additional cost would be spread over the replacement period of three to five years. We should note that the hybrid vehicle options with the lowest MSRPs ranged from 107% to 150% of the current average prices paid by the County depending on the vehicle class and size. Further, the hybrid vehicles with the highest MSRPs were on average 158% higher than County's average current prices. However, with competitive bidding and multiple vehicle purchases we expect that the options with the lowest MSRPs is closer to what the County would ultimately pay.

To determine the estimated increase in replacement costs for replacing the County's current vehicles with zero-emission vehicles, we obtained the base-level costs of electric vehicle models available for each vehicle class and compared these costs to recent average County purchase prices for internal combustion vehicles for the same class and sizes. For certain vehicle types (e.g., public safety sports utility vehicles, $\frac{1}{2}$ ton and $\frac{3}{4}$ ton pick-up trucks), there is not a current electric vehicle option with pricing

available. We found pricing for electric vehicle replacement options for 359 of the 1,434 County vehicles. For these vehicles, we determined that the electric vehicle options with the lowest MSRPs were on average 188% of the current average prices paid by the County for the base price of a similar vehicle class and size, for an additional cost of approximately \$5.9 million. Based on the average price increase, we estimated that the additional replacement cost for the remaining 1,075 vehicles, would result in an additional cost of approximately \$35.4 million, for total additional replacement costs totaling approximately \$41.3 million. This additional cost would be spread over the replacement period of three to five years. We should note that the electric vehicle options with the lowest MSRPs ranged from 161% to 207% of the current average prices paid by the County depending on the vehicle class and size. Further, the electric vehicle options with the highest MSRPs were on average 240% of the County's average current prices. While competitive bidding and multiple vehicle purchases should help keep costs down, electric vehicles vary in the driving ranges between charges and the rate the vehicle can be charged which will also need to be factored into the vehicle selected by the County.

We would also anticipate the difference in replacement costs will be reduced over time as more hybrid and zero-emission vehicle options become available.

Fuel Costs:

During FY2020, the County spent approximately \$3.1 million on unleaded fuel with an average cost of \$2.38 per gallon. According to the U.S. Department of Energy, in 2014, hybrid vehicles fuel costs were approximately 70% of non-hybrid vehicle fuel costs. According to carsdirect.com, currently hybrid vehicles receive approximately 25% to 33% greater fuel economy compared to vehicles powered solely by gasoline. Based on this assumption and the County's FY2020 fuel costs, replacing the County's vehicles with hybrid vehicles would save the County approximately \$770,000 to \$1,017,000 in annual fuel costs.

According to the U.S. Department of Energy, the cost of fueling a zero-emissions vehicle using electricity is approximately \$1.18 per eGallon in the State of Maryland (using statistics updated through 11/28/20). Based on the FY2020 fuel consumption, replacing the County's vehicles with electric vehicles would save the County approximately \$1,685,000 in annual fuel costs.

Maintenance Costs:

According to the American Automobile Association (AAA), the average cost of maintenance, repair, and tires for 6 vehicle classes of internal combustion powered vehicles utilized by the County range from 8.53 to 9.6 cents per mile, with an average of 8.98 cents per mile. According to AAA, the average cost of maintenance, repair, and tires for hybrid vehicles and electric vehicles is 7.7 cents per mile and 6.6 cents per mile, respectively. One of the primary reasons for the reduction in maintenance costs is due to minimal scheduled maintenance needs for electrical systems since they use fewer fluids (e.g. oil, transmission fluids) than their counterparts. Batteries in electric vehicles are designed for extended life, but they will eventually wear out and cost more to replace. However, many manufacturers are offering extended warranties on batteries as an incentive for investing in hybrid vehicles or electric vehicles.

According to the costs captured by the Office of Central Services' work order system, during FY2020 the County spent approximately \$2.4 million on maintenance, repairs, and tires for the current County fleet. Based on the AAA's average costs, we estimate that if the County's fleet was hybrid vehicles or electric vehicles, the County would reduce these maintenance costs by approximately \$335,000 or \$646,000, respectively.

Infrastructure:

Generally, hybrid vehicles are not plugged in to charge the battery. Instead, the battery is charged through regenerative braking and by the internal combustion engine. The battery provides additional power which allows for a smaller engine. Thus, charging stations are not necessary for hybrid vehicles.

However, electric vehicles are required to be plugged in regularly to be recharged. Level 2 chargers typically found in public places like grocery stores and parking garages require a 240V circuit, similar to a clothes dryer. The charging rate depends on the vehicle and the maximum current available. A typical Level 2 charger can take 8 hours or more for a full charge, adding approximately 25-30 miles of range per hour. DC Fast Charging stations can typically add 50 to 90 miles in 30 minutes depending on the station's power capacity and the vehicle model. As the batteries reach full capacity the time it takes to add charge extends. Additionally, some vehicle models are not able to use rapid chargers. Thus, the County will need to consider the potential downtime for the availability of the vehicles while they are charging and the impact on the employee's needs. For example, can the vehicle be charged overnight while the employee is not at work or at a DC Fast Charging station during the day while the employee is at the office and not in need of the vehicle? Does the employee need to bring the vehicle home, and if so, are there options for paying the employee to charge the vehicle at home. There also may be possible BGE rebates or grants for a portion of the costs for the installation of charging stations, but these rebates or grants might have certain stipulations (e.g., require transformers to be already in place).

The cost of the infrastructure will depend on the number and location and types of the charging stations, whether the charging station location already has transformers in place or if these will need to be added. Other variables include whether the County has a current location to place the chargers, or if that location will need to be purchased, as well as the extent of any potential availability of a BGE rebate. As an example, the Annapolis Library recently put in two Level 2 chargers with two ports each (four parking spaces with chargers) plus one DC Fast Charging space, with existing available transformers at a cost of approximately \$124,000 for the equipment, utility, contractor, and network costs.

A study will need to be completed to determine the department needs, the types of vehicles to be purchased, the charging systems required for those vehicles and employee needs, the best locations for the charging systems, and the available County property for the infrastructure before a reasonable estimate of the costs can be determined.

Other Costs:

The Central Garage anticipates training eight mechanics on electric/hybrid vehicle maintenance for a total cost of approximately \$40,000. Additionally, the Central Garage anticipates needing approximately \$8,000 for tools and safety related items for each garage location, for a total cost of \$24,000. According to the Office of Central Services, it is able to prepare the annual purchasing report and the infrastructure plan with its existing resources.



Susan L. Smith, CPA, CFE
County Auditor

COUNTY COUNCIL OF ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY, MARYLAND
Minutes of
Virtual Legislative Session 2020, Legislative Day No. 34
December 21, 2020 – 6:00 P.M.

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Sarah Lacey at 6:00 P.M. The Reverend Matthew Hanisian, St. Martin's-in-the-Field Episcopal Church, Severna Park, gave the Invocation. Ms. Fiedler led the Pledge of Allegiance. The meeting was held remotely via Zoom Webinar, beginning with roll call of all present. The Auditor's Office was represented by the County Auditor, Susan Smith.

The following members of the County Council were present:

Sarah F. Lacey	First District
Allison Pickard	Second District
Nathan Volke	Third District
Andrew C. Pruski	Fourth District
Amanda Fiedler	Fifth District
Lisa D.B. Rodvien	Sixth District
Jessica Haire	Seventh District

OPEN MEETINGS ACT

JoAnne Gray, Administrative Officer, read a statement from the County Attorney regarding the Open Meetings Act. She stated the Md. Open Meetings Act, a state law, requires public meetings to be open to the public and to be "held in places reasonably accessible to individuals who would like to attend these meetings." In addition, provisions of the County Charter and the rules of the County Council require meetings to be open to the public and held at the County seat, meaning Annapolis.

The virtual format of this meeting of the County Council is due to the COVID-19 emergency and is necessary in light of the serious health risks associated with public gatherings, as well as the various Executive Orders from the Governor and the County Executive limiting public gatherings. While a virtual meeting of this type was not envisioned by the Open Meetings Act, steps have been taken to ensure that this virtual meeting includes alternate accessibility features that the Open Meetings Act Compliance Board and the Courts have reviewed and approved, such as having a call-in phone number that allows anyone with a telephone to call and listen to the meeting, broadcasting the meeting with video and audio on cable TV and on the Web, allowing written public comments to the legislation be filed with the Clerk and considered by the Council, and now allowing the public to call in or appear via zoom and testify live. The County Office of Law has opined that the public access provided by this technology makes this virtual meeting reasonably accessible to the public, as required by the OMA.

The Office of Law has also reviewed whether, with these technological features in place, it is still necessary to suspend Council Rules 3-105 and 3-106 that require public participation and reasonable seating for the public and the media. The conclusion is that it is not necessary to suspend these rules, for the same reason they have concluded that the measures implemented by the Council for virtual meetings satisfy the reasonable accessibility requirement under the OMA.

The virtual format of the meetings allows public participation and media access, as required by the Rules, and also allows unlimited participation that is not subject to seat capacity limits in the chambers or in the building. For these reasons, they have concluded that the requirements of Rules 3-105 and 3-106 are being satisfied and therefore it is not necessary to waive these rules.

Council has also asked whether it is necessary to suspend Rule 3-102 and 3-103, which require the meeting to be held at the County seat. In 2013, at the request of the Council, the OOL opined that a councilmember who was appearing at a meeting via a live video monitor would satisfy the venue requirement through virtual presence. It is noted that the Administrative Officer to the Council controls the hearing and is present in the Arundel Center during meetings, and the virtual meeting is hosted by the Assistant Admin Officer from a location in Annapolis. Those factors support the OOL's conclusion that the meetings are being held at the County seat and therefore it is not necessary to suspend Rules 3-102 and 3-103.

ETHICS STATEMENT

JoAnne Gray, Administrative Officer, stated that under certain circumstances, members of the public may qualify as lobbyists when they testify before the County Council. If so, the law requires that certain information be filed with the Ethics Commission. The Chairman of the Ethics Commission has asked that those who wish to testify in any form review the General Information on Lobbying sheet located on the Ethics Web Site under Forms and Publications. If there are any questions about lobbying requirements, please contact the Ethics Commission at 410-222-4413.

INVITATION TO AUDIENCE

The Administrative Officer stated there no submissions of written public testimony received on Invitation to Audience.

The following person spoke:

Steven Waddy, Annapolis, spoke about the COVID-19 Pandemic.

There was no one else present who wished to speak.

ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA

There were no items not on the agenda.

PRELIMINARY MOTION

On motion of Ms. Pickard, seconded by Ms. Haire, the Council voted that the partial reading of any bill, resolution, minutes, or amendment constitutes the reading of the whole.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Mr. Volke stated that there was one correction for the minutes of December 7, 2020. He stated that on page four of the minutes, regarding the discussion of Resolution No. 54-20, he asked if a background in "public relations" was necessary, and it was transcribed as "human relations".

He said that it needed to be corrected in two places. The Administrative Officer stated that the correction of the minutes is on record and the official copy of the minutes can be considered corrected.

On motion of Ms. Pickard, seconded by Mr. Pruski, the corrected minutes of December 7, 2020, and December 15, 2020, were approved as presented.

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

BILL NO. 109-20 – AN EMERGENCY ORDINANCE concerning: Licenses and Registration – Fees for the Registration or Renewal of Short-term Residential Rentals – FOR the purpose of reducing the fee for the registration or renewal of a short-term residential rental; providing for the termination of this Ordinance; making this Ordinance an emergency measure; and generally relating to licenses and registrations.
by Ms. Rodvien and Mr. Pruski

PUBLIC HEARINGS AND CALL OF BILLS FOR FINAL READING AND/OR VOTE

BILL NO. 86-20 (As Amended)

The Chairman called for the public hearing on Bill No. 86-20, as amended, An Ordinance concerning: Subdivision and Development – Subdivision – Site Development – Plan Review Timelines and Requirements – For the purpose of amending the time periods for certain development applications re-submittals; amending the applicability of Site Development Plans and providing for exemptions; amending the contents required in a preliminary plan subdivision and development applications; amending the process for delivering comments to developers throughout the site development approval process; requiring that certain reports and meeting summaries be sent by email within a certain time period; allowing notices of sketch and final plan approvals to be emailed to certain parties; amending the scope and applicability of the Site Development Plan requirements; amending the contents of the Site Development Plan application; requiring reservation of land for public facilities during the Site Development Plan process; extending the time requirements for duration of approval for adequate public facility testing for certain subdivisions, preliminary plans or site development plans; and generally relating to subdivision and development; and the Administrative Officer read the title.

Pete Baron, Director, Government Affairs, was accompanied by Lori Rhodes, Deputy Chief Administrative Officer, Land Use, Matt Johnston, Environmental Policy Director, Steve Kaii-Ziegler, Director, and Courtney Wilson, Lori Allen and Kelly Krinetz, Office of Planning & Zoning, and Kinley Bray, Office of Law.

There were no further discussions on Bill No. 86-20, as amended.

The Administrative Officer stated there were no submissions of written public testimony received on Bill No. 86-20, as amended.

There was no one present who wished to speak, and the hearing was concluded.

The Chairman called Bill No.86-20, An Ordinance concerning: Subdivision and Development – Subdivision – Site Development – Plan Review Timelines and Requirements; and the Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Bill No. 86-20, as amended, was defeated by the following roll call vote:

Aye – Ms. Lacey

Nay – Ms. Fiedler, Ms. Rodvien, Ms. Haire, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, Mr. Pruski

BILL NO. 93-20 (As Amended)

The Chairman called for the public hearing on Bill No. 93-20, as amended, An Ordinance concerning: Public Ethics – Definitions – For the purpose of adding a definition of “usual and customary constituent services”; and generally relating to public ethics; and the Administrative Officer read the title.

Pete Baron, Pete Baron, Director, Government Affairs, was accompanied by Michael Botsaris, Executive Director, Ethics Commission, and Lori Blaire Klasmeier, Legislative Deputy County Attorney, Office of Law.

Ms. Lacey, Sponsor, asked Mr. Botsaris to address the status of Bill No. 93-20, as amended.

Mr. Botsaris stated that Bill No. 93-20, as amended, was reviewed by the Ethics Commission last week and approved as written. The Bill will be submitted to the State Ethics Commission for their consideration as soon as it is approved by the Council.

Ms. Pickard thanked Ms. Lacey, the Ethics Commission, and the Office of Law for working on this Bill and getting it to where it is today.

The Administrative Officer stated there were no submissions of written public testimony received on Bill No. 93-20, as amended.

There was no one present who wished to speak, and the hearing was concluded.

The Chairman called Bill No. 93-20, as amended, An Ordinance concerning: Public Ethics – Definitions; and the Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Bill No. 93-20, as amended, was passed by the following roll call vote:

Aye – Ms. Fiedler, Ms. Rodvien, Ms. Haire, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, Mr. Pruski,
Ms. Lacey

Nay – None

BILL NO. 94-20 (As Amended)

The Chairman called for the public hearing on Bill No. 94-20, as amended, An Ordinance concerning: Public Safety – Public Nuisances – Prohibition – For the purpose of defining “public nuisance”; prohibiting a property from being a public nuisance; providing for the enforcement of

provisions prohibiting a public nuisance under certain circumstances; providing for sanctions for violating the prohibitions against a public nuisance under certain circumstances; and generally relating to public safety; and the Administrative Officer read the title.

Mr. Pruski, Sponsor, thanked the Law Office for responding to the questions that were previously raised.

Pete Baron, Director, Government Affairs, was accompanied by Major Katie Goodwin, Anne Arundel County Police, and Kelly Kenney, Senior Assistant County Attorney, Office of Law.

Mr. Baron stated that the Administration supported Bill No. 94-20.

Ms. Pickard thanked Mr. Pruski and the Administration for the hard work on this long process to get this bill to this point. She stated that this is an important public safety initiative and she looks forward to supporting it.

Ms. Haire stated that she was looking at the Maryland Real Property Article 14-125.1 where she found that there seemed to be some overlap in what this bill allows and the State already allows. She asked Ms. Kenney to clarify this.

Ms. Kenney stated that there is a section of the Real Property Article that allows the County Attorney, the City of Annapolis Attorney, and the State's Attorney to take action for certain classifications of crimes such as substance and local code violations.

Ms. Haire asked Major Goodwin if she believes this bill would be a useful process in addition to what is already in place for the police and the County as a whole.

Major Goodwin responded affirmatively.

The Administrative Officer stated there were no submissions of written public testimony received on Bill No. 94-20, as amended.

The following person spoke on Bill No. 94-20, as amended:

Julie Hummer, Laurel

There was no one else present who wished to speak, and the hearing was concluded.

The Chairman called Bill No. 94-20, as amended, An Ordinance concerning: Public Safety – Public Nuisances – Prohibition; and the Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Mr. Volke stated he appreciated Mr. Pruski's work on this bill and commended him on it. However, because of some of his concerns regarding Bill No. 94-20, he would not be voting in support of it.

Bill No. 94-20, as amended, was passed by the following roll call vote:

Aye – Ms. Fiedler, Ms. Rodvien, Ms. Haire, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Pruski, Ms. Lacey
Nay – Mr. Volke

BILL NO. 97-20 (As Amended)

The Chairman called for the public hearing on Bill No. 97-20, as amended, An Ordinance concerning: Boards, Commissions, and Similar Bodies – Veterans Affairs Commission – For the purpose of clarifying the name of the Veterans Affairs Commission; establishing the purpose of the Veterans Affairs Commission; modifying the composition, terms of members, and meeting procedures of the Veterans Affairs Commission; amending the powers and duties of the Veterans Affairs Commission; modifying the due date of the annual report; making technical corrections; and generally relating to boards, commissions, and similar bodies; and the Administrative Officer read the title.

Pete Baron, Director, Government Affairs, was accompanied by Kaley Schultze, Boards and Commissions Coordinator and Legislative Assistant, Office of County Executive, Pete Smith, Military and Veteran Affairs, and Christine Neiderer, Assistant County Attorney, Office of Law.

Mr. Baron stated that Bill No. 97-20, as amended, has been discussed and amended and referred to Ms. Schultze.

Ms. Schultze reiterated Mr. Baron's statement.

The Administrative Officer stated there were three submissions of written public testimony received on Bill No. 97-20, as amended, which was shared with the Council and posted on the County Council website.

The following person spoke on Bill No. 97-20, as amended.

Dan Tootle, Severna Park

The Chairman called Bill No. 97-20, as amended, An Ordinance concerning: Boards, Commissions, and Similar Bodies – Veterans Affairs Commission; and the Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Mr. Volke asked the Administration if there was anything that would preclude the Veterans Affairs Commission from helping active duty military families. He said that it is his understanding there is not, but wanted clarification.

Ms. Schultze stated that the Veterans Affairs Commission can reach out to active duty military families. She said that for membership purposes, the Administration would just like to have four military veterans. She referred to Pete Smith.

Mr. Smith thanked Mr. Tootle for his service to his Country and to the County in helping with putting together the pieces of this legislation for the Veterans Affairs Commission. Mr. Smith said that the Veterans Commission was originally focused on veterans, those that have served and are now in a civilian capacity and living within the County. The intent is to still make that the

primary purpose of the Commission so they did not lose focus, but that does not prevent the Commission from working with active duty military families.

There was further discussion regarding the purpose of the Veterans Affairs Commission among the Council.

Ms. Rodvien stated that her husband is an army veteran and although she is a military spouse, she said she is able to vote without it being a conflict.

Bill No. 97-20, as amended, was passed by the following roll call vote:

Aye – Ms. Fiedler, Ms. Rodvien, Ms. Haire, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, Mr. Pruski,
Ms. Lacey
Nay – None

BILL NO. 100-20

The Chairman called for the public hearing on Bill No. 100-20, An Ordinance concerning: Current Expense Budget – Supplementary Appropriations – Capital Budget and Program – Fund Transfer – For the purpose of making supplementary appropriations from unanticipated revenues to certain offices, departments, institutions, boards, commissions or other agencies in certain special funds of the County for the current fiscal year; amending the Capital Budget by transferring certain appropriations of funds between the Road Resurfacing, B & A Ranger Station Rehab, and Downs Park Amphitheater capital projects; and generally relating to making supplementary appropriations of funds to the current expense budget and transferring funds between projects in the Capital Budget for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2021; and the Administrative Officer read the title.

Pete Baron, Director, Government Affairs, was accompanied by Naomi Carrigan, and Steven Theroux, Management Analysts, Budget Office, Karin McQuade, Controller, Finance Office, Chief Trisha Wolford, Fire Department, David Braun, Engineering Manager, Inspection & Permits, Department of Public Works, Erica Jackson, Rec & Parks, and Lori Blair Klasmeier, Legislative Deputy County Attorney, Office of Law.

Mr. Baron stated that he needed to correct a comment that he made at the work session. He said that Bill No. 100-20 does not require five votes, it only requires four. Section 711-B is the provision of the Charter that governs intra-projects capital transfers. He apologized for incorrectly referencing Section 716 which is for new capital projects which does require five votes.

Mr. Baron stated that the County has a Three Hundred Thirty Thousand Dollar (\$330,000) grant from the Center for Tech and Civic Life to reimburse for the cost of planning and operationalizing safe and secure election administration in Anne Arundel County. These costs will be moved from the Board of Elections to the General Fund, to the Grant Fund. He said that Two Million, Four Hundred Thousand, Dollars (\$2,400,000) is for the SAFER grant. This appropriation represents the grant fund to move 50 firefighters to the SAFER grant. Two Million Eight Hundred Seventy Five Thousand (\$2,875,000) is for the Health Department for the State Opioid Response.

Mr. Baron stated that on the capital side, Bill No. 100-20 addresses an increase in costs associated with the Downs Park Amphitheater capital project in the Rec & Parks class. This additional cost is offset by a de-appropriation in two capital projects, road resurfacing and the B&A ranger station. This de-appropriation is a result of savings realized on B&A ranger station and the agreement with the Bureau of Highways to delay a small portion of their program after July 1, 2020. This amount will be added to the FY22 requests for that item. There is no fiscal impact on prior year approved and fiscal year capital budget and program.

Ms. Haire stated that she is trying to understand the SAFER grant portion of this and why we weren't going to have it and now we are. It is a significant net increase totaling five million dollars (\$5,000,000) based on this back and forth. She said that any additional guidance on this would be appreciated.

Chief Wolford stated that originally the SAFER grant was accepted and then they tabled it. Then COVID hit and they were trying to figure everything out financially what was the best thing for the County and the Department. When they realized that they could financially stabilize and take the grant it made more sense rather than delay again. It's a three year grant and they would have lost out on it if they had delayed.

Ms. Haire asked the Administration if the five million dollars was going to be an increase in this coming year's FY22 budget in May or is that something we will see in year four, essentially from the SAFER grant?

Mr. Theroux stated that to break down the auditor's letter related to the SAFER grant, they are anticipating about a three million nine hundred dollars (\$3,900,000) increase compared to where they are right now in the budget for the current year FY21. There is going to be an increase in FY22 as well. Obviously, they have to staff to a higher operational head count than if they did not do SAFER and the Chief can go through those details as well. Essentially they are elevating the minimum of staffing for the Fire Department to operate. So they have to have more people on the ground. Additionally, in the current year they have to run a recruit class that was not budgeted for this current year.

Ms. Haire asked the Budget Office to help her understand since we are halfway through the fiscal year how we are doing on revenues. She expressed her concern as the FY21 budget ran a large structural deficit. She said that she understands the need for additional firefighters but just wants to make sure that from a fiscal standpoint that we are not overextending.

Mr. Theroux stated that one of the determining factors, as the Chief alluded to, we were just getting into the pandemic when that budget for FY21 was billed. As FY20 is coming to a close, they are about ready to certify how they actually ended the year a little better than anticipated. Not to say that the economy is going great, but there is more money than anticipated. That is why they are doing the SAFER grant. The official numbers won't be available for another few weeks.

Mr. Volke stated that he has gotten some information from the schools that if the State funding formulas hold at the State level, the County could be looking at a sizeable deficit in terms of revenue on the school side. That is obviously dependent on what happens with the General Assembly, and whether they make any changes to the funding formulas. Because of the decrease

in enrollment, it looks like Anne Arundel could be down a significant amount of money. He asked if that had been factored into all of this when talking about things looking better.

Mr. Theroux stated that right now, they are assuming that the State would have to take action to cut the School Board's budget. Things look better as to how fiscal year 2020 was closed. That could potentially provide one time revenue if that were to be the case and if the Administration would want to move forward.

Mr. Volke asked Mr. Theroux what happens if the enrollment drops, and what does this mean for the maintenance of effort requirement.

Mr. Trumbauer stated that if the school system enrollment numbers go down, our maintenance of effort requirement also goes down, because the maintenance of effort is per pupil. So there are less students in the overall amount that has to be funded. He stated that he does not believe this would have a negative impact on the County's ability to fund other things.

There was further discussion between the Council and the Administration regarding the budget, the current contingency fund availability, and the County's ability to fund any possible overages.

The Administrative Officer stated there were no submissions of written public testimony received on Bill No. 100-20.

There was no one present who wished to speak, and the hearing was concluded.

The Chairman called Bill No. 100-20, An Ordinance concerning: Current Expense Budget – Supplementary Appropriations – Capital Budget and Program – Fund Transfer; and the Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Bill No. 100-20 was passed by the following roll call vote:

Aye – Ms. Fiedler, Ms. Rodvien, Ms. Haire, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, Mr., Pruski,
Ms. Lacey
Nay – None

BILL NO. 101-20

The Chairman called for the public hearing on Bill No. 101-20, An Ordinance concerning: Personnel – Exempt Service – Director, Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion – For the purpose of adding a certain position to the exempt service; providing for the pay, pension, and financial disclosure requirements applicable to the position added to the exempt service; modifying the number and classification of positions approved for the Office of the County Executive in the Annual Budget and Appropriation Ordinance; providing for the applicability of this Ordinance; and generally relating to personnel; and the Administrative Officer read the title.

Pete Baron, Director, Government Affairs, was accompanied by Dr. Kai Boggess-de-Bruin, Chief of Staff, Jackie Atkinson, Assistant Personnel Officer, and Lori Blair Klasmeier, Legislative Deputy County Attorney, Office of Law.

Mr. Baron stated that Bill No. 101-20 creates and adds one new position in the exempt service, the Director of Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion. Anne Arundel County is committed to providing opportunities, allocating resources and services to diversity, equity and inclusion initiatives. The County does not tolerate racism or discrimination within the workplace or by or towards its employees. It promotes acceptance of differences of others within our workforce and community. Collectively, we strive to learn about diversity, educate County employees, members of the County Council, committees, boards, volunteers, and maintain an unwavering resolve to identify and eliminate barriers to become the best place for all. This new position created by the bill is assigned to the County Executive Exempt Pay Schedule as a grade E-07 position. The Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion position will be a cabinet level post which will ensure the success and effectiveness of our equity, diversity, and inclusion initiatives. Mr. Baron referred to Dr. Kai to address the details of the position.

Dr. Kai stated that this position, if approved, will be the Director overseeing the County government equity, diversity, and inclusion programming and initiatives. The role will be responsible for creating an inventory of all current initiatives and for conducting an assessment for how well departments are doing in each of these areas, and implementing a strategic plan to move government operations by ensuring our staff patterns are diverse, safe, and inclusive work environments. The function of this role will oversee the Civil Rights and Equal Opportunity Employment, Americans with Disabilities Act, Human Relations Act, English Proficiency, LGBTQA, and others.

Dr. Kai went on to explain further details on the responsibilities of the position of Director, Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion.

Ms. Pickard stated that she was excited that the County is taking this step towards addressing this important issue, and is looking forward to supporting the effort.

Ms. Fiedler stated that the Council has never approved a new position before the budget process. She asked the Administration why this was happening before the fiscal process.

Mr. Baron stated that this is one position and it is going to take time to find and hire the right person. He said that the funding for this position would probably be in the third quarter. Mr. Baron said that the Administration would like to get started now. He stated that when the Administration was forming the budget this past year, they were in the midst of the pandemic and they carried through. He said that he believes the funding for this position would come in the form of a separate bill and asked Ms. Klasmeier for clarification.

Ms. Klasmeier stated that the purpose of this bill is to create the job classification. The actual funding for the position may not come until the budget session or before if the position can be filled.

Mr. Volke stated that in May when we were in the middle of the pandemic, Mr. Baron said that it wasn't the time to take on a new position. He asked Mr. Baron why now is the right time.

Mr. Baron stated that what he meant to say is that the Administration was focused on responding to the pandemic at that time and was not able to focus on a new policy initiative. He

said that he did not mean to blame it on the pandemic and apologized if he did. He said he was going to refer to Dr. Kai.

Ms. Klasmeier stated that she wanted to point out that once this classification is created, the position could be filled before July 1, 2021.

Dr. Kai stated that one of the things that they have learned through this pandemic is that disparities are real and understanding how the pandemic has impacted different populations is critically important for the County to provide the best services possible. It is also important to not only listen to our communities, but to dig in and understand what the biases are and understand what structural issues are in place that make it difficult to effectively respond to under-represented communities. In the middle of the pandemic, when it first started back in the spring, the Administration was busy trying to set up programs and do the initial response to the pandemic. She stated that now there has been time to learn and digest where some things done may have been improved had this position been in place during that time.

Mr. Volke thanked Dr. Kai for that explanation. He said that he pulled up a Capital Gazette article from 2017, where a previous administration hired a Compliance Officer, Ms. Dennis, and he believes she is still in that position as an Equal Opportunity Officer. He asked Dr. Kai to clarify if Ms. Dennis was still in that position.

Dr. Kai answered affirmatively.

Mr. Volke said that in the article, the previous County Executive described the position that Ms. Dennis was occupying, as being created to establish and manage an internal diversity training program for all County employees that would become standard for all employees. It was necessary to do that because it was a “crucial tool” to build trust and understanding amongst every employee who served. He asked the Administration that, since this position is similar to the one that is currently before the Council, then what the need is for creating this new position.

Dr. Kai stated that the work is different. The work of the EEO Compliance Officer is related to the EEO compliance and supporting the Human Relations Commission. This new position will be working with department heads and their staff to look at policies and procedures. That might have been the intention when that position was created. But perhaps, once they got into the realities of the work, they realized that it was more than one person could handle due to the size of our County. Having one person training 4,000+ staff is a big task, let alone to do ongoing training, any type of assessment, or any other technical assistance, or policy change. Dr Kai said that she feels the focus should be making sure that we have the position available to do compliance.

There were a few other comments and questions from the Council regarding the proposed position of the Director, Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion.

RECESS

On motion of Ms. Pickard, seconded by Mr. Pruski, the Council voted to recess at 7:34 P.M.

RECONVENE

The Council reconvened at 7:45 P.M. with all Councilmembers present.

The Administrative Officer stated there were nine submissions of written public testimony received on Bill No. 101-20, which was shared with the Council and posted on the County Council website.

The following persons spoke on Bill No. 101-20:

Timothy Stern (Anne Arundel Connecting Together)
Steven Waddy (NAACP)
Mary Dadone (Human Relations Commission)

There was no one else present who wished to speak, and the hearing was concluded.

The Chairman called Bill No. 101-20, An Ordinance concerning: Personnel – Exempt Service – Director, Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion; and the Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Bill No. 101-20 was passed by the following roll call vote:

Aye – Ms. Fiedler, Ms. Rodvien, Ms. Haire, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, Mr. Pruski,
Ms. Lacey
Nay – None

BILL NO. 102-20

The Chairman called for the public hearing on Bill No. 102-20, An Ordinance concerning: Personnel – Positions in the Classified Service – For the purpose of decreasing certain positions in the classified service and increasing certain positions in the classified service; and generally relating to personnel; and the Administrative Officer read the title.

Pete Baron, Director, Government Affairs, was accompanied by Jackie Atkinson, Assistant Personnel Officer, Michael Borgese, Acting Superintendent, Detention Center, Christine Romans, Officer, Central Services, and Lori Blair Klasmeier, Legislative Deputy County Attorney, Office of Law.

Mr. Baron stated that Bill No. 102-20 is the reclassification of six vacant positions. The bill deletes one vacant GIS Specialist I and adds one Program Manager in the Office of Central Services.

The bill also deletes four vacant Detention Officers and one Booking Officer, and adds four Detention Sergeants and one Management Assistant I position in the Department of Detention Facilities.

Ms. Haire asked the Administration to explain all of the switches.

Ms. Romans explained the reasoning for deleting one GIS Specialist and adding one Program Manager in the Office of Central Services.

Mr. Borgese explained the reasoning for deleting the Detention Officers and one Booking Officer, and adding four Detention Sergeants and one Management Assistant I.

The Administrative Officer stated there were no submissions of written public testimony received on Bill No. 102-20.

There was no one present who wished to speak, and the hearing was concluded.

The Chairman called Bill No. 102-20, An Ordinance concerning: Personnel – Positions in the Classified Service; and the Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Bill No. 102-20 was passed by the following roll call vote:

Aye – Ms. Fiedler, Ms. Rodvien, Ms. Haire, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, Mr., Pruski,
Ms. Lacey
Nay – None

BILL NO. 103-20

The Chairman called for the public hearing on Bill No. 103-20, An Ordinance concerning: Payment in Lieu of Taxes – Brock Bridge Landing, Jessup, Maryland – For the purpose of approving exemptions from County real property taxes for a certain property located in Jessup, Maryland; authorizing the County Executive to enter into a certain agreement for payment of a negotiated amount in lieu of County real property taxes for a certain property located in Jessup, Maryland; and providing for the time and terms under which the tax exemptions will take effect; and the Administrative Officer read the title.

Pete Baron, Director, Government Affairs was accompanied by Kathy Koch, Arundel Community Development Services, Hujia Hasim, Budget Office, Karin McQuade, Controller, Office of Finance and Kelly Kenney, Senior Assistant County Attorney, Office of Law.

Mr. Baron stated that Bill No. 103-20 requests the Council's approval to for a payment in lieu of taxes for a new affordable rental community to be built in the Jessup area referred to as Brock Bridge Landing. Six two-story townhome structures will contain 38 rental units. This project is being financed through a combination of low income housing tax credits, State rental housing production funds and County home funds, allowing the developer to provide units affordable to households at 60 percent and below the median income. In order to leverage the funds available from the private first mortgage to make this project feasible, the developer is requesting a reduction in property taxes by approximately 50 percent. By lowering the annual operating costs for this project, the developer is able to maximize the private funds from the first lender, making the project financially feasible. Bill No. 103-20 approves an agreement with the developer that in exchange for lowering the property taxes, the developer agrees to provide 38 affordable units for the next 40 years.

Ms. Lacey thanked the Administration for this bill and stated her support.

Ms. Pickard also thanked the Administration for this bill for affordable housing.

Mr. Volke said that like Bill No. 67-19, he philosophically cannot justify this subsidy as a PILOT, and stated his reasons for not supporting Bill No. 103-20.

The Administrative Officer stated there were no submissions of written public testimony received on Bill No. 103-20.

The following person spoke on Bill No. 103-20:

Zebulin Culver (Woda Cooper Development, Inc.)

There was no one else present who wished to speak, and the hearing was concluded.

The Chairman called Bill No. 103-20, An Ordinance concerning: Payment in Lieu of Taxes – Brock Bridge Landing, Jessup, Maryland; and the Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Bill No. 103-20 was passed by the following roll call vote:

Aye – Ms. Fiedler, Ms. Rodvien, Ms. Haire, Ms. Pickard, Mr., Pruski, Ms. Lacey

Nay – Mr. Volke

BILL NO. 104-20

The Chairman called for the public hearing on Bill No. 104-20, An Ordinance concerning: Zoning – Cosmetic Facial Hair Salons – For the purpose of allowing cosmetic facial hair salons as an auxiliary use in W1 and W2 industrial districts; allowing cosmetic facial hair salons as a permitted use in mixed use districts; allowing cosmetic facial hair salons as a permitted use in small business zoning districts; allowing cosmetic facial salons as a home occupation; and generally relating to zoning; and the Administrative Officer read the title.

Ms. Pickard, Co-Sponsor, stated that Bill No. 104-20 allows cosmetic facial hair salons as an auxiliary use in the W1 and W2 Industrial districts, and as a permitted use in mixed-use zoning district and small business zoning districts. It also allows cosmetic facial hair salons as a home occupation, which would be required to comply with home occupation requirements in the Anne Arundel County Code. Ms. Pickard stated that both she and Mr. Volke were contacted by a constituent that is looking to do this service out of her home business.

The Administration did not oppose the bill.

The Administrative Officer stated there were no submissions of written public testimony received on Bill No. 104-20.

There was no one present who wished to speak, and the hearing was concluded.

The Chairman called Bill No. 104-20, An Ordinance concerning: Zoning – Cosmetic Facial Hair Salons; and the Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Bill No. 104-20 was passed by the following roll call vote:

Aye – Ms. Fiedler, Ms. Rodvien, Ms. Haire, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, Mr. Pruski,
Ms. Lacey

Nay – None

BILL NO. 107-20

The Chairman called for the public hearing on Bill No. 107-20, An Emergency Ordinance concerning: Current Expense Budget – Fund Transfer and Supplementary Appropriations – For the purpose of transferring appropriations of funds between certain offices, departments, institutions, boards, commissions or other agencies in the general fund; making supplementary appropriations from unanticipated revenues to certain offices, departments, institutions, boards, commissions or other agencies in the general fund and to certain special funds of the County government for the current fiscal year; making this Ordinance an emergency measure; and generally relating to transferring appropriations of funds and making supplementary appropriations of funds to the current expense budget for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2021; and the Administrative Officer read the title.

Pete Baron, Director, Government Affairs, was accompanied by Chris Trumbauer, Acting Budget Officer, and Steven Theroux, Management Analyst, Budget, Karin McQuade, Controller, Office of Finance, Crystal McGill-Belk, Transportation Administrator, Transportation, Pam Brown, Executive Director, Partnership for Children, Youth, and Families, Ann Colt and Jessica Daigle, State's Attorney Office, Kathy Koch, Executive Director, Arundel Community Development Services, Kirkland Murray, President and CEO, Anne Arundel Workforce Development Corp., and Lori Blair Klasmeier, Deputy County Attorney, Office of Law.

Mr. Baron stated that Bill No. 107-20 transfers a general fund appropriation from the Chief Administrative Officer's (CAO) contingency account to the Arundel Community Development Services (ACDS) to make supplementary appropriations from unanticipated grants and special fund revenues. There is a transfer of Four Million Two Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$4,200,000) from the general fund appropriation to support eviction prevention and food related programs. There is also an appropriation of about Two Million Dollars (\$2,000,000) in the grant special revenue fund received from sources not anticipated in the budget for the Office of Transportation and the State's Attorney Office. Neither requires a County match. There is an appropriation of Three Million, Three Hundred Sixteen Dollars (\$3,316,000) in the Community Development Fund, and Ninety Five Thousand (\$95,000) in the Partnership for Children, Youth, and Families Special Fund. None of the grants require a County match.

Mr. Baron stated the Administration would be bringing forth two amendments. The first amendment aligns the appropriations to the amounts of the grant awards, and the second amendment will add an additional Two Million Dollars (\$2,000,000) to the Humanitarian Assistance Program run by the Anne Arundel Workforce Development Corporation, and will assist and provide direct aid to workers affected by the pandemic and the associated impacts of it.

The Administrative Officer stated there were no submissions of written public testimony received on Bill No. 107-20.

There was no one present who wished to speak, and the hearing was concluded.

The Chairman called Bill No. 107-20, An Emergency Ordinance concerning: Current Expense Budget – Fund Transfer and Supplementary Appropriations; and the Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Mr. Volke stated that what he has heard is that there is a commitment from ACDS that the money received for the eviction relief program goes directly to the landlord. He asked the Administration if that is the way the program works.

Ms. Brown answered affirmatively. She stated that it is not just a commitment, it is a policy. The money must go directly to the landlord or utility company.

Mr. Volke stated that the Auditor's blue letter indicated that there was not an agreement between ACDS and the County on program requirements and asked Ms. Koch what that meant.

Ms. Koch stated that the agreement between the County and ACDS has not been signed yet as the appropriations are yet not available. These will become available once this legislation passes.

Mr. Trumbauer stated that there is not a finalized agreement yet because the appropriations have not been distributed yet. He said that what was stated in the blue letter will happen when the appropriations become available.

There was continued discussion between Mr. Volke and the Administration on the appropriations.

Amendment No. 1

On page 2 of the proposed bill, in line 28, strike "\$2,065,700" and substitute "\$2,065,634"; in line 32, strike "\$50,100" and substitute "\$50,044"; and in line 33, strike "\$3,800" and substitute "\$3,790".

On page 3 of the bill, in line 5, strike "\$95,300" and substitute "\$95,250"; in line 10, strike "\$75,100" and substitute "\$71,500"; and in line 11, strike "\$20,200" and substitute "\$23,750".

Mr. Baron stated that this amendment corrects the grant to the actual dollars rather than the rounded amount.

Ms. Smith stated that in her blue letter, for the partnership for youth, and family, the amount of \$95,245 was the notice for the intended award. The actual award will be come in at \$95,250.

On motion of Ms. Rodvien, seconded by Mr. Pruski, Amendment No. 1 was adopted by the following roll call vote:

Aye – Ms. Fiedler, Ms. Rodvien, Ms. Haire, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, Mr. Pruski,
Ms. Lacey
Nay – None

Amendment No. 2

On page 2 of the proposed bill, in line 15, strike “\$4,200,000” and substitute “\$6,200,000”; and after line 23, insert:

“Workforce Development Corp.
Grants, Contributions & Other \$2,000,000”.

Mr. Baron stated that this amendment will add an additional Two Million Dollars (\$2,000,000) from the Contingency Fund to the Workforce Development for the Humanitarian Assistance Program which provides direct aid to residents that need it now.

Ms. Haire stated that if this amendment passes, the Contingency will come down by \$900,000 and asked if this was a correct statement.

Mr. Theroux stated that it was not. It would bring it under \$3,000,000.

Mr. Volke asked if this was to continue the “gift and giveaway program” that the County Executive was in the newspaper for this weekend.

Mr. Baron answered affirmatively.

Mr. Volke asked Mr. Baron if the Administration keeps track of who has gotten the gift cards and are they eligible to receive a second gift card with the newest round.

Mr. Baron referred to Mr. Murray.

Mr. Murray stated that those who have received a gift card right now would be eligible to receive another gift card.

Mr. Volke asked the Administration about the program requirements, if there is any checking on whether or not those receiving gift cards are County residents, and if the Administration keeps track of those who have received help or gift cards.

Mr. Murray answered the questions affirmatively.

Mr. Volke asked if the Administration would object to an amendment that would require an individual show proof of citizenship.

Mr. Baron stated that the Administration would be opposed to that amendment.

On motion of Ms. Rodvien, seconded by Mr. Pruski, Amendment No. 2 was adopted by the following roll call vote:

Aye – Ms. Fiedler, Ms. Rodvien, Ms. Haire, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, Mr. Pruski,
Ms. Lacey
Nay – None

Bill No. 107-20, as amended, was passed by the following roll call vote:

Aye – Ms. Fiedler, Ms. Rodvien, Ms. Haire, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Volke, Mr. Pruski,
Ms. Lacey
Nay – None

BILL NO. 108-20

The Chairman called for the public hearing on Bill No. 108-20, An Ordinance concerning: Third-Party Food Delivery Service Fees – For the purpose of limiting third-party food delivery service fees; and making this Ordinance an emergency measure; and the Administrative Officer read the title.

Mr. Baron was accompanied by Greg Swain, County Attorney, Office of Law.

Mr. Baron stated that Bill No. 108-20 will limit the fees a third-party delivery service can charge our local restaurants to fifteen percent (15%). Examples of third-party delivery services are apps like Grub Hub, Door Dash, or Uber Eats which are the biggest players in the area. The County Executive brings this bill before the Council after conversations with restaurant owners who have raised the concern of excessive fees charged by these third-party delivery apps.

Mr. Baron stated this concept is not unique to Anne Arundel County. Recently, similar legislation has been passed in Washington State, Pennsylvania, Colorado, and D.C. This legislation is modelled off D.C. He said that Baltimore City recently introduced a bill that imposes the same fifteen percent (15%) cap. If the Council approves this bill, it will be enforced until the State of Emergency is lifted. Mr. Baron stated that the problem the Administration is tackling is that there are about four services that control about ninety-five percent (95%) of the market for these third-party delivery apps. Often fees are charged at thirty percent (30%) for independent restaurants, and those restaurants have limited bargaining power, especially now. The Administration asked for the Council's support for this legislation.

Mr. Volke asked Mr. Baron if the reason for this emergency legislation is because the County Executive has shut down the restaurant industry by eliminating indoor dining.

Mr. Baron stated that this pandemic has hurt the restaurant industry greatly. The emergency nature of this legislation is the fact that many people are not going out to eat in restaurants, whether or not they are in a jurisdiction with County restrictions or the restrictions on capacity that Governor Hogan has imposed. This is a real impact on this industry. They need to provide some additional assistance to the restaurant industry. The concept came to the Administration through the restaurant industry.

Ms. Haire stated that the restaurants she has spoken to said there are services that they use for \$6.99 a month. She said she is trying to understand the scope of the problem in terms of the restaurants they have heard complain about this and the fees being paid that the Administration believes are exorbitant. Ms. Hair stated that she is very hesitant to insert this level of regulation into business entities who are doing private contracting where there are multiple entities there is opportunity for competition.

Mr. Baron stated that the Administration doesn't have a study done that he is aware of. He said that the service that charges of \$6.99 a month would not be affected by this bill. Mr. Baron said that this emergency legislation is a result of the pandemic and will be voided when the State of Emergency is lifted.

There was lengthy discussion between the Council and the Administration regarding the fifteen percent (15%) capped fee on third-party delivery apps, its impact, and the authority of the County to legislate this issue.

RECESS

On motion of Ms. Rodvien, seconded by Ms. Haire, the Council voted to recess at 9:17 P.M.

RECONVENE

The Council reconvened at 9:30 P.M. with all Councilmembers present, except for Ms. Pickard, District 2.

Ms. Pickard joined at 9:31 P.M.

The Council continued with questions, comments, and concerns regarding the cap of fifteen percent (15%). Each Councilmember also expressed their reasons for either supporting Bill No. 108-20 or not.

There were no submissions of written testimony on Bill No. 108-20.

There was no one present who wished to speak, and the hearing was concluded.

The Chairman called Bill No. 108-20, An Emergency Ordinance concerning: Third-Party Food Delivery Service Fees; and the Administrative Officer read a portion of the title.

Bill No. 108-20 was defeated by the following roll call vote:

Aye – Ms. Rodvien, Ms. Pickard, Mr. Pruski, Ms. Lacey
Nay – Ms. Fiedler, Ms. Haire, Mr. Volke

ADJORNMENT

There being no further business, on motion of Ms. Pickard, seconded by Ms. Haire, the meeting adjourned at 9:49 P.M.

The Chairman stated that the next Council meeting will be on Monday, January 4, 2021.

Respectfully submitted,

by Lee Longo

for JoAnne Gray
Administrative Officer

PROPOSED

COUNTY COUNCIL OF ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY, MARYLAND

Legislative Session 2021, Legislative Day No. 1

Bill No. 1-21

Introduced by Ms. Lacey, Chair
(by request of the County Executive)

By the County Council, January 4, 2021

Introduced and first read on January 4, 2021
Public Hearing set for February 1, 2021
Bill Expires April 9, 2021

By Order: JoAnne Gray, Administrative Officer

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ORDINANCE concerning: Purchasing – Value of Purchases Requiring Full
2 Competitive Procurement Process

3
4 FOR the purpose of increasing the value of capital and non-capital purchases and contracts
5 requiring a full competitive procurement process from \$50,000 to \$100,000; increasing
6 the minimum value of an expedited procurement from \$50,000 to \$100,000; and
7 generally relating to purchasing.

8
9 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments: §§ 8-2-104(a); 8-2-106; 8-2-109(a); and
10 8-2-114(f)
11 Anne Arundel County Code (2005, as amended)

12
13 SECTION 1. *Be it enacted by the County Council of Anne Arundel County, Maryland,*
14 That Sections of the Anne Arundel County Code (2005, as amended) read as follows:

ARTICLE 8. PURCHASING

TITLE 2. PROCUREMENT

8-2-104. Competitive sealed bidding.

21
22 (a) **Contracts over \$100,000.** Except as otherwise provided in § 8-2-102, contracts
23 estimated to be over **[[\$50,000]]** \$100,000 shall be awarded by competitive sealed bidding.

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS indicate new matter added to existing law.
[[Brackets]] indicate matter repealed from existing law.
Captions and taglines in **bold** in this bill are catchwords and are not law.

8-2-106. Small procurements.

All procurements initially estimated not to exceed ~~[\$50,000]~~ \$100,000 for a single purchase or for the lesser of each term or year of a contract shall be considered a small procurement and are not subject to the requirements of §§ 8-2-104 and 8-2-105. The Purchasing Agent, wherever practical when the amount exceeds \$5,000, shall attempt to secure at least three quotations on all small procurements. Oral quotations may be solicited and shall be confirmed in writing by the bidders or offerors in paper or electronic format. The Purchasing Agent shall include, in writing, consideration and comparison of prices from sellers on the Internet.

8-2-109. Expedited procurements.

(a) **Definition.** In this section, “expedited procurement” means a procurement involving an amount over ~~[\$50,000]~~ \$100,000 made with the advance written approval of the Purchasing Agent, approved by the Central Services Officer and the Chief Administrative Officer, in which prompt action best serves the public interest and the procurement is not an emergency but an expedited procurement process outweighs the benefits of either competitive sealed bidding under § 8-2-104 or competitive sealed proposals under § 8-2-105. An expedited procurement may include situations in which federal or State revenues to the County may be lost if rapid procurement actions are not taken or situations that would have an adverse impact on the economic welfare of the County or important economic development in the County.

8-2-114. Capital improvement contracts.

(f) **Cost exceeds \$100,000.** If the capital improvement project is to be constructed by contract and the estimated cost exceeds ~~[\$50,000]~~ \$100,000, competitive bids or proposals shall be secured and the contract shall be awarded in accordance with the provisions of § 8-2-104 or § 8-2-105, as applicable, except that an award of any capital improvement contract may not be made without the written approval of the Department of Public Works, the Controller, and one of the following: the County Executive, the Chief Administrative Officer, or the County Executive’s designee. All contracts for capital improvements shall be approved by the County Attorney as to form and legal sufficiency and, following approval, shall be executed on behalf of the County by the County Executive, the Chief Administrative Officer, or the County Executive’s designee.

SECTION 2. *And be it further enacted,* That this Ordinance shall take effect 45 days from the date it becomes law.

**ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY, MARYLAND
OFFICE OF THE BUDGET**

BILL NUMBER: 1-21

INTRO. DATE: January 4, 2021

FISCAL NOTE

**BILL: AN ORDINANCE CONCERNING: PURCHASING – VALUE OF
PURCHASES REQUIRING FULL COMPETITIVE PROCUREMENT
PROCESS**

SUMMARY OF LEGISLATION

The purpose of this legislation is to increase the value of capital and non-capital purchases and contracts requiring a full competitive procurement process from \$50,000 to \$100,000, and to increase the minimum value of an expedited procurement from \$50,000 to \$100,000.

FISCAL IMPACT

There is no estimated fiscal impact associated with this legislation. This legislation is anticipated to result in operational efficiencies for the Office of Central Services.



**Chris Trumbauer
Acting Budget Officer**

12/30/2020

Date

Prepared by: Hannah Dier

cc: Karin McQuade, Controller

PROPOSED

COUNTY COUNCIL OF ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY, MARYLAND

Legislative Session 2021, Legislative Day No. 1

Bill No. 2-21

Introduced by Ms. Haire

By the County Council, January 4, 2021

Introduced and first read on January 4, 2021
Public Hearing set for February 1, 2021
Bill Expires April 9, 2021

By Order: JoAnne Gray, Administrative Officer

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Bird Sanctuaries

2
3 FOR the purpose of defining “bird sanctuary”; allowing bird sanctuaries as a conditional
4 use in RA and RLD residential zoning districts; adding the conditional use
5 requirements for bird sanctuaries; and generally relating to zoning.

6
7 BY renumbering: § 18-1-101(20) through (162), respectively, to be 18-1-101(21) through
8 (163), respectively; and §§ 18-10-111 through 18-10-164, respectively, to be 18-10-
9 112 through 18-10-165, respectively
10 Anne Arundel County Code (2005, as amended) (and as amended by Bill Nos. 68-20,
11 69-20, 81-20, and 90-20)

12
13 BY adding: §§ 18-1-101(20); and 18-10-111
14 Anne Arundel County Code (2005, as amended) (and as amended by Bill Nos. 68-20,
15 69-20, 81-20, and 90-20)

16
17 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments: §18-4-106
18 Anne Arundel County Code (2005, as amended) (and as amended by Bill No. 68-20)

19
20 SECTION 1. *Be it enacted by the County Council of Anne Arundel County, Maryland,*
21 That §§ 18-1-101(20) through (162) and 18-10-111 through 18-10-164, respectively, of the
22 Anne Arundel County Code (2005, as amended) (and as amended by Bill Nos. 68-20, 69-
23 20, 81-20, and 90-20) are hereby renumbered to be §§ 18-1-101(21) through (163) and 18-
24 10-112 through 18-10-165, respectively.

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS indicate new matter added to existing law.
[[Brackets]] indicate matter repealed from existing law.
Captions and taglines in **bold** in this bill are catchwords and are not law.
Asterisks *** indicate existing Code provisions in a list or chart that remain unchanged.

SECTION 2. *And be it further enacted*, That Section(s) of the Anne Arundel County Code (2005, as amended) (and as amended by Bill Nos. 68-20, 69-20, 81-20, and 90-20) read as follows:

ARTICLE 18. ZONING

TITLE 1. DEFINITIONS

18-1-101. Definitions.

Unless defined in this article, the Natural Resources Article of the State Code, or COMAR, words defined elsewhere in this Code apply in this article. The following words have the meanings indicated:

(20) "BIRD SANCTUARY" MEANS A FACILITY WHERE BIRDS ARE KEPT AND GIVEN CARE.

TITLE 4. RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS

18-4-106. Permitted, conditional, and special exception uses.

The permitted, conditional, and special exception uses allowed in each of the residential districts are listed in the chart in this section using the following key: P = permitted use; C = conditional use; SE = special exception use. A blank means that the use is not allowed in the district. Except as provided otherwise in this article, uses and structures customarily accessory to the listed uses also are allowed, except that guest houses as accessory structures are prohibited and outside storage as an accessory use is limited to the lesser of 10% of the allowed lot coverage or 500 square feet.

Permitted, conditional, and special exception uses	RA	RLD	R1	R2	R5	R10	R15	R22

Bed and breakfast inns	SE		SE	SE	SE			
BIRD SANCTUARIES	C	C						

TITLE 10. REQUIREMENTS FOR CONDITIONAL USES

18-10-111. Bird sanctuaries.

A BIRD SANCTUARY SHALL COMPLY WITH ALL OF THE FOLLOWING REQUIREMENTS.

(1) THE FACILITY SHALL BE LOCATED ON A LOT OF AT LEAST THREE ACRES.

(2) BUILDINGS AND ENCLOSURES FOR THE HOUSING OR SHELTER OF BIRDS SHALL BE AT LEAST 100 FEET FROM ANY RESIDENTIALLY ZONED PROPERTY AND BIRDS MAY NOT BE HOUSED WITHIN THE 100-FOOT SETBACK.

1 (3) ANY DWELLING ON THE PROPERTY SHALL BE OCCUPIED BY AT LEAST ONE
2 PERSON INVOLVED IN THE OPERATION OF THE BIRD SANCTUARY.
3

4 (4) THE BIRD SANCTUARY SHALL BE OPERATED BY AN ENTITY EXEMPT FROM
5 TAXATION UNDER § 501(C)(3) OF THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE AND MAY NOT BE
6 OPERATED FOR COMMERCIAL PURPOSES.
7

8 (5) THE ENTITY OPERATING THE BIRD SANCTUARY SHALL BE ACCREDITED BY THE
9 AMERICAN SANCTUARY ASSOCIATION OR THE GLOBAL FEDERATION OF ANIMAL
10 SANCTUARIES.
11

12 SECTION 3. *And be it further enacted*, That this Ordinance shall take effect 45 days
13 from the date it becomes law.

COUNTY COUNCIL OF

ANNE
ARUNDEL
COUNTY

M A R Y L A N D

LEGISLATIVE SUMMARY*

To: All Councilmembers of the Anne Arundel County Council

From: Linda M. Schuett, Legislative Counsel

Date: January 4, 2021

Subject: Bill No. 2-21

Bill No. 2-21 adds bird sanctuaries as a conditional use in the RA and RLD zoning districts. The Bill defines the use as a facility where birds are kept and given care. *See 18-1-101(20).*

There are five conditions for the use:

- (1) The bird sanctuary must be located on a lot of at least three acres.
- (2) Buildings and enclosures used in connection with the use must be located at least 100 feet from residentially zoned property and birds may not be kept within the 100-foot setback.
- (3) At least one person involved in the bird sanctuary must occupy any dwelling on the property.
- (4) The bird sanctuary must be operated by a tax-exempt entity and it may not be operated for commercial purposes.
- (5) The entity which operates the bird sanctuary must be accredited by the American Sanctuary Association or by the Global Federation of Animal Sanctuaries.

See 18-10-111.

* This Legislative Summary provides a synopsis of the bill as introduced. It does not address subsequent amendments to the bill.

PROPOSED

COUNTY COUNCIL OF ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY, MARYLAND

Legislative Session 2021, Legislative Day No. 1

Bill No. 3-21

Introduced by Ms. Fiedler

By the County Council, January 4, 2021

Introduced and first read on January 4, 2021
Public Hearing set for February 1, 2021
Bill Expires on April 9, 2021

By Order: JoAnne Gray, Administrative Officer

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ORDINANCE concerning: General Provisions – Civil Emergencies

2
3 FOR the purpose of prohibiting the County from enforcing conditions imposed in
4 connection with the proclamation of an emergency that are more restrictive than
5 conditions imposed by the State in connection with a proclamation of emergency unless
6 authorized by ordinance adopted by the affirmative vote of five members of the County
7 Council; and generally relating to civil emergencies.

8
9 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments: § 1-6-104
10 Anne Arundel County Code (2005, as amended)

11
12 SECTION 1. *Be it enacted by the County Council of Anne Arundel County, Maryland,*
13 That Section(s) of the Anne Arundel County Code (2005, as amended) read as follows:

14 ARTICLE 1. GENERAL PROVISIONS

15 TITLE 6. CIVIL EMERGENCIES

16 1-6-104. Duration.

17
18
19 (A) **In general.** A proclamation of a civil emergency or an executive order may not be
20 effective for more than seven days unless authorized by ordinance enacted by the County
21 Council.
22

23
24 (B) **Prohibition against certain conditions.** IF THE GOVERNOR HAS ALSO
25 PROCLAIMED THE EXISTENCE OF AN EMERGENCY AND, IF THE GOVERNOR LIFTS OR
26

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS indicate new matter added to existing law.
[[Brackets]] indicate matter repealed from existing law.
Captions and taglines in **bold** in this bill are catchwords and are not law.

1 MODIFIES ANY CONDITION ADOPTED IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCLAMATION, THE
2 COUNTY EXECUTIVE, ACTING UNDER THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE'S EMERGENCY POWERS,
3 MAY NOT ADOPT ANY CONDITION THAT IS MORE RESTRICTIVE THAN ANY CONDITION
4 ADOPTED BY THE GOVERNOR, UNLESS AUTHORIZED BY ORDINANCE ENACTED BY THE
5 AFFIRMATIVE VOTE OF FIVE MEMBERS OF THE COUNTY COUNCIL.

6

7 SECTION 2. *And be it further enacted*, That this Ordinance shall take effect 45 days
8 from the date it becomes law.

PROPOSED

COUNTY COUNCIL OF ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY, MARYLAND

Legislative Session 2021, Legislative Day No. 1

Bill No. 4-21

Introduced by Mr. Volke

By the County Council, January 4, 2021

Introduced and first read on January 4, 2021
Public Hearing set for February 1, 2021
Bill Expires April 9, 2021

By Order: JoAnne Gray, Administrative Officer

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN EMERGENCY ORDINANCE concerning: Proclamation of Civil Emergency in Anne
2 Arundel County – Termination

3
4 FOR the purpose of terminating the extension of the proclamation of a civil emergency
5 established by Bill No. 24-20; and making this Ordinance an emergency measure.

6
7 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments: Bill No. 24-20, Section 1, Laws of Anne
8 Arundel County, 2020

9
10 WHEREAS, on March 5, 2020, Maryland Governor Lawrence J. Hogan, Jr.
11 proclaimed a state of emergency and a catastrophic health emergency for the entire
12 State of Maryland pursuant to Title 14 of the Public Safety Article of the State
13 Code, due to the impending threat and continuing impact of the contagious disease
14 known as COVID-19; and

15
16 WHEREAS, the Governor's proclamation has subsequently been renewed at
17 regular monthly intervals, and the state of emergency and catastrophic health
18 emergency still exist; and

19
20 WHEREAS, by Executive Order Number 16, dated March 13, 2020, the Anne
21 Arundel County Executive proclaimed a civil emergency in Anne Arundel County,
22 pursuant to Article I, Title 6 of the Anne Arundel County Code (2005, as amended);
23 and

24
25 WHEREAS, pursuant to § 1-6-104 of the County Code, a proclamation of a civil
26 emergency or an executive order issued pursuant to a civil emergency proclamation

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS indicate new matter added to existing law.
[[Brackets]] indicate matter deleted from existing law.
Captions and taglines in **bold** in this bill are catchwords and are not law.

1 may not be effective for more than seven days unless authorized by ordinance
2 enacted by the County Council; and
3

4 WHEREAS, pursuant to Bill No. 24-20, the County Council extended the
5 Proclamation of Civil Emergency by the County Executive through April 4, 2020,
6 or to the date the civil emergency proclamation for the State of Maryland is
7 approved to be renewed, extended, or terminated by the Governor of Maryland or
8 the Maryland General Assembly; and
9

10 WHEREAS, the County Council now wishes to set a date certain for the expiration
11 of the civil emergency proclaimed by the County Executive on March 13, 2020 and
12 extended by Bill No. 24-20; now, therefore
13

14 SECTION 1. *Be it enacted by the County Council of Anne Arundel County, Maryland,*
15 That Bill No. 24-20, Section 1, Laws of Anne Arundel County, 2020 is hereby amended to
16 read as follows:
17

18 **Bill No. 24-20**
19

20 SECTION 1. *Be it enacted by the County Council of Anne Arundel County,*
21 *Maryland,* That the March 13, 2020, civil emergency proclamation of the County
22 Executive, and all executive action taken pursuant thereto, is hereby extended
23 [[through the later of April 4, 2020, or the date the Governor's civil emergency
24 proclamation for the State of Maryland is renewed, extended, or terminated by the
25 Governor of Maryland or the Maryland General Assembly]] UNTIL THE
26 EXPIRATION OF 48 HOURS AFTER THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF BILL NO. 4-21, AFTER
27 WHICH IT SHALL TERMINATE AND BE OF NO FURTHER FORCE AND EFFECT.
28

29 SECTION 2. *And be it further enacted,* That this Ordinance is hereby declared to be an
30 emergency ordinance necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health,
31 safety, welfare, and property, and being passed by the affirmative vote of five members of
32 the County Council, the same shall take effect from the date it becomes law.

COUNTY COUNCIL OF ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY, MARYLAND

Legislative Session 2021, Legislative Day No. 1

Resolution No. 1-21

Introduced by Ms. Lacey, Chair
(by request of the County Executive)

By the County Council, January 4, 2021

1 RESOLUTION approving the continued service of Anne Budowski as Acting
2 Personnel Officer

3
4 WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 509(b) of the Charter, the County Executive
5 appointed Anne Budowski as Acting Personnel Officer, effective November 23,
6 2020; and

7
8 WHEREAS, as of November 23, 2020, Section 509(c) limited the service of acting
9 department heads to 60 days, subject to extension of an additional four months by
10 resolution of the County Council; and

11
12 WHEREAS, an amendment to Section 509(c) became effective on December 3,
13 2020, providing for two additional six-month extensions by resolution of the
14 County Council; and

15
16 WHEREAS, the County Executive desires that Anne Budowski continue to serve
17 in this acting capacity; now, therefore, be it

18
19 *Resolved by the County Council of Anne Arundel County, Maryland,* That the service
20 of Anne Budowski as Acting Personnel Officer, is hereby extended from January 22, 2021,
21 through July 22, 2021; and be it further

22
23 *Resolved,* That a copy of this Resolution be sent to County Executive Steuart Pittman;
24 and Anne Budowski, Acting Personnel Officer.

COUNTY COUNCIL OF ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY, MARYLAND

Legislative Session 2021, Legislative Day No. 1

Resolution No. 2-21

Introduced by Ms. Lacey, Chair
(by request of the County Executive)

By the County Council, January 4, 2021

1 RESOLUTION appointing a member to the Anne Arundel County Ethics Commission

2
3 WHEREAS, Section 1001 of the County Charter establishes the Anne Arundel
4 County Ethics Commission to consist of seven members who are appointed by the
5 County Executive with the approval of the County Council; and

6
7 WHEREAS, Section 1001 states that, of the seven members of the Ethics
8 Commission, no more than four members shall be members of the same political
9 party; and

10
11 WHEREAS, Section 1001 of the Charter provides that three of the seven members
12 shall be nominated by the County Council; and

13
14 WHEREAS, Section 1001 further states that the term of each member is four years
15 and that no member may serve more than two consecutive terms; and

16
17 WHEREAS, the term of a member of the Ethics Commission, Karl J. Appel
18 (Republican) who was appointed by the County Executive, expired on April 30,
19 2020; and

20
21 WHEREAS, the County Executive, subject to the approval of the County Council,
22 has appointed Robert J. Mansfield (Republican) to serve as a member of the Ethics
23 Commission for a first term expiring on April 30, 2024; and

24
25 WHEREAS, the County Council, after public hearing, has found Robert J.
26 Mansfield to be qualified under Section 1001 of the Charter to serve on the Ethics
27 Commission; now, therefore, be it

28
29 *Resolved by the County Council of Anne Arundel County, Maryland,* That it hereby
30 approves the appointment of Robert J. Mansfield to the Anne Arundel County Ethics
31 Commission to serve a first term expiring on April 30, 2024; and be it further

32
33 *Resolved,* That a copy of this Resolution be sent to Steuart Pittman, County Executive;
34 Michael Botsaris, Executive Director, Ethics Commission; Robert J. Mansfield, appointee;
35 and Kaley Schultze, Coordinator of Boards and Commissions and Legislative Assistant.

COUNTY COUNCIL OF ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY, MARYLAND

Legislative Session 2021, Legislative Day No. 1

Resolution No. 3-21

Introduced by Ms. Lacey, Chair
(by request of the County Executive)

By the County Council, January 4, 2021

1 RESOLUTION approving the appointment of a Severn River Commission member

2
3 WHEREAS, the County Council of Anne Arundel County established the Severn
4 River Commission in Resolution No. 130-84, and reestablished the Commission in
5 Resolution No. 47-89; and

6
7 WHEREAS, Resolution No. 47-89 provides that, subject to confirmation by the
8 County Council, the County Executive shall appoint seven voting members to the
9 Severn River Commission, at least five of whom are residents of the Severn River
10 watershed; and

11
12 WHEREAS, Resolution No. 47-89 further provides that each member of the Severn
13 River Commission shall serve a term of three years from the date of appointment;
14 and

15
16 WHEREAS, there are vacant positions on the Severn River Commission; and

17
18 WHEREAS, the County Executive has appointed Matthew Liptak, a resident of the
19 Severn River watershed, to fill a vacancy and serve on the Severn River
20 Commission for a three year term commencing on the date of appointment; and

21
22 WHEREAS, the County Council, after a public hearing, finds that Matthew Liptak
23 meets the eligibility requirements under Resolution No. 47-89, and is qualified to
24 serve on the Severn River Commission; now, therefore, be it

25
26 *Resolved by the County Council of Anne Arundel County, Maryland,* That it confirms
27 the appointment of Matthew Liptak, a resident of the Severn River Watershed, to serve on
28 the Severn River Commission for a term commencing on the date of appointment; and be
29 it further

30
31 *Resolved,* That copies of this Resolution be sent to County Executive Steuart Pittman;
32 Jim Burdick, Chair, Severn River Commission; Matthew Liptak, appointee; and Kaley
33 Schultze, Coordinator of Boards and Commissions and Legislative Assistant.

COUNTY COUNCIL OF ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY, MARYLAND

Legislative Session 2021, Legislative Day No. 1

Resolution No. 4-21

Introduced by Ms. Lacey, Chair
(by request of the County Executive)

By the County Council, January 4, 2021

1 RESOLUTION approving Anne Arundel County's 2021 Financial Assurance Plan for
2 compliance with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination Phase I Municipal Separate
3 Storm Sewer System Permit

4
5 WHEREAS, § 4-202.1(j)(1) of the Environment Article of the State Code requires
6 that every two years on the anniversary date of the issuance of a county's National
7 Pollutant Discharge Elimination Phase I Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System
8 Permit ("NPDES MS4 Permit"), a county shall file a financial assurance plan with
9 the Maryland Department of the Environment ("MDE") regarding compliance with
10 the county's NPDES MS4 Permit; and

11
12 WHEREAS, the anniversary date of the County's NPDES MS4 Permit is February
13 12, 2021; and

14
15 WHEREAS, § 4-202.1(j)(3) of the Environment Article of the State Code provides
16 that a county may not file a financial assurance plan with the MDE until the local
17 governing body of the county holds a public hearing on the financial assurance plan
18 and approves the financial assurance plan; and

19
20 WHEREAS, § 4-202.1(j)(1) of the Environment Article of the State Code requires
21 that a financial assurance plan shall clearly identify: (1) actions that will be required
22 by the county to meet the requirements of the NPDES MS4 Permit; (2) projected
23 annual and five-year costs for the county to meet the impervious surface restoration
24 plan requirements of its NPDES MS4 Permit; (3) projected annual and five-year
25 revenues or other funds that will be used to meet the costs for the county to meet
26 the impervious surface restoration plan requirements of its NPDES MS4 Permit;
27 (4) any sources of funds that will be utilized by the county to meet the requirements
28 of its NPDES MS4 Permit; and (5) specific actions and expenditures that the county
29 implemented in the previous fiscal years to meet its impervious surface restoration
30 plan requirements under its NPDES MS4 Permit; and

31
32 WHEREAS, § 4-202.1(j)(4)(iii) of the Environment Article of the State Code
33 provides that funding in the financial assurance plan is sufficient if it demonstrates
34 that the county has dedicated revenues, funds, or sources of funds to meet, for the
35 two-year period immediately following the filing date of the financial assurance
36 plan, 100% of the projected costs of compliance with the impervious surface
37 restoration plan requirements of the county under its NPDES MS4 Permit over that
38 two-year period; and

1 WHEREAS, Anne Arundel County's 2021 Financial Assurance Plan, which
2 addresses the requirements of § 4-202.1(j) of the Environment Article of the State
3 Code, has been prepared at the direction of and approved by the County Executive
4 and is attached hereto as Exhibit A, and a certified copy of the "Anne Arundel
5 County's 2021 Financial Assurance Plan" shall be permanently kept on file at the
6 Department of Public Works; now, therefore, be it

7
8 *Resolved by the County Council of Anne Arundel County, Maryland,* That Anne
9 Arundel County's 2021 Financial Assurance Plan, attached hereto as Exhibit A, is hereby
10 approved; and be it further

11
12 *Resolved,* That a copy of this Resolution be sent to County Executive Steuart Pittman;
13 and to Raymond P. Bahr, Deputy Program Manager, Sediment, Stormwater, and Dam
14 Safety Program, Maryland Department of the Environment.

Exhibit A

Anne Arundel County Financial Assurance Plan to Meet the Requirements of the NPDES Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Permit

December 22, 2020

Executive Summary

Introduction

Anne Arundel County's NPDES MS4 permit, issued February 12, 2014, requires that the County complete restoration efforts to achieve the equivalent of treating 20% of the impervious surfaces not previously restored to the maximum extent practicable. The County's baseline, which has been previously approved by MDE, identifies 29,311 acres with either no or partial management, requiring the equivalent of 5,862 acres to be restored to meet the 20% criteria by the end of the permit term in February 2019. Through the identification and inspection, during the current permit term, of stormwater practices installed prior to the inception of this permit, Anne Arundel County has revised its baseline to 24,981 acres with either no or partial management, requiring the County to treat 4,996 acres to meet the 20% threshold of restoration.

The submission of Anne Arundel County's Financial Assurance Plan (FAP), as well as the submission of the Bureau of Watershed Protection and Restoration (BWPR) annual report, is required for compliance with Maryland Environment Article §4-202.1. The attached FAP is submitted to show that the County has the financial means to achieve the permit requirements. This FAP addresses the activities completed by the County's BWPR from FY14 through FY20, and the planned and programmed activities from FY21 through FY25.

The completion and submission of the FAP is required every two years with this first submittal due on July 1, 2016. This, the third FAP, is to be submitted on or before the anniversary date of the County's NPDES MS4 Permit (February 12, 2021). This change was made by MDE to synchronize the reporting process. Going forward, the next FAP will be provided in 2 years, and is to be submitted on or before February 12, 2023.

According to the requirements for completing the attached FAP, all restoration activities completed by June 30, 2020 are classified as completed activities and their actual costs are reported. These activities include a variety of projects with a variety of funding sources as described below.

- CIP projects from Stormwater Runoff Controls and Water Quality Improvement classes that were completed in FY14 and FY15:
 - Several of these projects incurred costs prior to WPRP implementation, with construction completed in FY14 or FY15. These restoration projects were included in the NPDES MS4 reports for FY14 and FY15, and were funded by grants, general fund County bonds, and WPRF bonds, or a combination of these sources.

- CIP projects from the Watershed Protection and Restoration Program class that were completed in FY14 through FY20:
 - Some of these projects had design contracts that were initiated from within the Stormwater Runoff Controls and Water Quality Improvement classes, but were completed through the County's WPRP class of CIP projects. All restoration project contracts completed out of the WPRP class were funded by WPRF bonds, but any prior work associated with other CIP classes may also include grants and general fund County bonds as funding sources.
- Operating budget funded restoration projects include ongoing street sweeping, inlet cleaning, and septic pumping:
 - Street sweeping and inlet cleaning are funded out of the BWPR operating budget.

Other restoration actions include septic system connections to water reclamation facilities (WRFs), septic system upgrades to denitrification systems, and restoration projects completed by non-governmental organizations (NGO). Septic system upgrades to denitrification systems are funded through Bay Restoration Fund grants. Costs for septic system connections to the sanitary sewer system are managed through the County's Bureau of Utilities; WPRF funds are not expended for this activity. Funding for restoration projects completed by NGOs include both County WPRF funds made available through the ongoing BWPR grant program, and grant funding to the NGOs from other entities resulting in no cost to the County.

Projections are also made for programmed projects that will be completed by June 30, 2025 (end of FY25). Programmed projects not completed by June 30, 2020 are classified as either under construction, planned, or proposed. Projects considered "under construction" were in the construction phase as of the end of FY20, and are anticipated to be complete at the end of FY21. "Planned projects" are those activities where a design contract has been issued by June 30, 2020. "Proposed projects" include restoration activities that had been identified by County project managers but design work has not been initiated. Projections are also included for the ongoing operating activities and other restoration actions, as well as the addition of septic pumping performed by local contractors. Septic pumping can provide credit for the County and requires no general fund or WPRF fund expenditures.

Included in the FAP are cost and revenue information. Costs identified include the operating costs for the BWPR, debt service on WPRF bonds, and the County's BWPR grant program to fund restoration projects completed by non-governmental agencies for which the County takes equivalent impervious treatment credits. Actual costs are reported for FY14 through FY20, and budgeted costs are included for FY21-FY25. These budgeted costs were obtained from the County Budget Office's WPRF Affordability Model and Capital Budget Program.

Sources of Funds to Meet the MS4:

A variety of funding sources (revenue) are recognized in this FAP. WPRF revenues include actual stormwater fee revenue amounts for FY14 through FY20, and projected revenues for FY21-FY25. These revenue projections assume no stormwater fee increases over this time frame and include an adjustment for a 1% increase in ERU due to development.

Grant sources include State funded grants for CIP restoration projects (e.g., MD DNR Chesapeake Bay Trust Fund) as well as BRF grants. The County's BWPR program is actively

pursuing additional grant opportunities for future projects, and it is anticipated that the use of grant funds will allow additional CIP restoration projects, not currently included as part of this FAP, to occur.

The attached FAP indicates that approximately 5,112 acres, or 20.5%, of restoration was completed by the end of FY20, which is 7 months prior to the end of the current, administratively extended, NPDES MS4 permit term.

Projected Annual and 5-Year Costs and Revenues to Meet the MS4:

Total program projected restoration and MS4 compliance activity costs through FY25 are \$530 million, and \$611 million in revenue is expected, which demonstrates that the funding sources enumerated in the FAP are adequate to meet the permit requirements, treating approximately 9,631 impervious acres, which is estimated to be sufficient to cover the restoration requirements of the next, currently pending, MS4 permit.

MS4 Information	
Jurisdiction	Anne Arundel County
Contact Name	Erik Michelsen
Phone	410-222-7520
Address	2662 Riva Road
City	Annapolis
State	MD
Zip	21401
Email	pwmich20@aacounty.org
Impervious Acre Baseline (Untreated Acres)	24981.00
Permit Number	11-DP-3316 MD0068306
Reporting Year	2020

Check with MS4 Geodatabase:
Should match Permit Info table of Geodatabase.

Article 4-202.1(j)(1)(i)1: Actions that will be required of the county or municipality to meet the requirements of its National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
Phase I Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Permit.

Note: To identify all "actions" required under the MS4 permit, provide an executive summary of the jurisdiction's MS4 programs. See MDE's FAP Guidance. For proposed actions to meet the impervious surface restoration plan, fill in the table below.

Baseline: 24,981

REST BMP TYPE ¹	BMP CLASS	IMP ACRES	IMPL COST	% ISRP COMPLETE	IMPL STATUS ²	PROJECTED IMPL YR ³
Operational Programs ⁴						
VSS	A	0.55	\$36,808.00	0.0%	Complete	FY2014
VSS	A	14.04	\$167,914.00	0.1%	Complete	FY2015
VSS	A	122.68	\$305,065.00	0.5%	Complete	FY2016
VSS	A	239.81	\$437,013.00	1.0%	Complete	FY2017
VSS	A	144.32	\$437,004.00	0.6%	Complete	FY2018
VSS	A	191.72	\$401,932.00	0.8%	Complete	FY2019
VSS	A	156.6	\$395,345.00	0.6%	Complete	FY2020
VSS	A	168.9	\$397,016.00	0.7%	Planning	FY2021
VSS	A	168.9	\$408,927.00	0.7%	Proposed	FY2022
VSS	A	168.9	\$421,194.00	0.7%	Proposed	FY2023
VSS	A	168.9	\$433,830.00	0.7%	Proposed	FY2024
VSS	A	168.9	\$446,845.00	0.7%	Proposed	FY2025
CBC	A	0	\$474,499.00	0.0%	Complete	FY2016
CBC	A	96.48	\$616,197.00	0.4%	Complete	FY2017
CBC	A	43.13	\$625,231.00	0.2%	Complete	FY2018
CBC	A	115.46	\$548,278.00	0.5%	Complete	FY2019
CBC	A	53.24	\$524,962.00	0.2%	Complete	FY2020
CBC	A	69.8	\$586,194.00	0.3%	Planning	FY2021
CBC	A	69.8	\$603,780.00	0.3%	Proposed	FY2022
CBC	A	69.8	\$621,894.00	0.3%	Proposed	FY2023
CBC	A	69.8	\$640,550.00	0.3%	Proposed	FY2024
CBC	A	69.8	\$659,767.00	0.3%	Proposed	FY2025
SEPP	A	248.6	\$0.00	1.0%	Complete	FY2016
SEPP	A	282.5	\$0.00	1.1%	Complete	FY2017

SEPP	A	329.8	\$0.00	1.3%	Complete	FY2018
SEPP	A	387.3	\$0.00	1.6%	Complete	FY2019
SEPP	A	468.27	\$0.00	1.9%	Complete	FY2020
SEPP	A	286.97	\$0.00	1.1%	Planning	FY2021
SEPP	A	286.97	\$0.00	1.1%	Proposed	FY2022
SEPP	A	286.97	\$0.00	1.1%	Proposed	FY2023
SEPP	A	286.97	\$0.00	1.1%	Proposed	FY2024
SEPP	A	286.97	\$0.00	1.1%	Proposed	FY2025
Average Operations Next Two Years (FY2021-FY2022) ⁵		526	\$1,995,917	2.1%		
Average Operations Next Five Years (FY2021-FY2025) ⁵		526	\$5,219,997	2.1%		
Average Operations All Years ⁵		526	\$10,190,245	2.1%		
Capital Projects						
FBIO	S	4.24	\$145,170.00	0.017%	Complete	FY2012
FBIO	S	3.11	\$399,854.00	0.012%	Complete	FY2016
FBIO	S	0.41	\$295,503.00	0.002%	Complete	FY2017
FBIO	S	0.96	\$377,100.00	0.004%	Complete	FY2018
FBIO	S	0.52	\$181,434.26	0.002%	Complete	FY2019
FBIO	S	0.55	\$47,331.00	0.002%	Complete	FY2020
FPU	A	0.52	\$72,948.00	0.002%	Complete	FY2019
FPU	A	1.01	\$70,065.05	0.004%	Complete	FY2020
FSND	S	0.31	\$161,026.00	0.001%	Complete	FY2017
FSND	S	3.06	\$222,226.96	0.012%	Complete	FY2019
IBAS	S	27.83	\$1,813,223.00	0.111%	Complete	FY2017
IBAS	S	35.01	\$648,048.00	0.140%	Complete	FY2018
IBAS	S	7.21	\$732,673.00	0.029%	Complete	FY2020
IMPF	A	0.12	\$50,903.00	0.000%	Complete	FY2019
IMPF	A	0.04	\$14,689.01	0.000%	Complete	FY2020
IMPP	A	0.09	\$189,350.00	0.000%	Complete	FY2014
IMPP	A	0.02	\$799,889.00	0.000%	Complete	FY2020
ITRN	S	0.22	\$161,026.00	0.001%	Complete	FY2017
ITRN	S	5.05	\$633,991.90	0.020%	Complete	FY2018

ITRN	S	0.71	\$1,468.42	0.003%	Complete	FY2020
MRNG	E	0.19	\$23,387.00	0.001%	Complete	FY2015
MRNG	E	1.44	\$58,080.00	0.006%	Complete	FY2017
MSWB	E	0.61	\$45,000.00	0.002%	Complete	FY2017
MSWB	E	1.22	\$7,842.00	0.005%	Complete	FY2019
OTH	A	3.33	\$4,950.00	0.013%	Complete	FY2020
OUT	A	2	\$60,360.00	0.008%	Complete	FY2014
OUT	A	4.8	\$1,123,526.00	0.019%	Complete	FY2015
OUT	A	1.45	\$114,120.00	0.006%	Complete	FY2016
OUT	A	2.5	\$213,608.00	0.010%	Complete	FY2017
OUT	A	5.8	\$989,701.00	0.023%	Complete	FY2018
OUT	A	14.75	\$2,384,795.70	0.059%	Complete	FY2019
OUT	A	6.96	\$706,345.57	0.028%	Complete	FY2020
PMED	S	4.93	\$470,471.00	0.020%	Complete	FY2017
PMPS	S	3.43	\$348,494.00	0.014%	Complete	FY2017
PWED	S	3.36	\$249,583.00	0.013%	Complete	FY2017
PWED	S	105.78	\$1,829,917.00	0.423%	Complete	FY2018
PWED	S	38.01	\$1,825,876.96	0.152%	Complete	FY2019
PWED	S	118.03	\$354,700.00	0.472%	Complete	FY2020
PWET	S	10.91	\$324,787.00	0.044%	Complete	FY2014
PWET	S	43.68	\$1,698,114.00	0.175%	Complete	FY2015
PWET	S	20.07	\$1,296,310.00	0.080%	Complete	FY2016
PWET	S	101.8	\$4,498,225.00	0.408%	Complete	FY2017
PWET	S	4.84	\$603,415.00	0.019%	Complete	FY2018
PWET	S	133.8	\$2,986,823.35	0.536%	Complete	FY2019
PWET	S	8.2	\$613,991.00	0.033%	Complete	FY2020
SHST	A	17.6	\$318,000.00	0.070%	Complete	FY2015
SHST	A	21.36	\$530,934.00	0.086%	Complete	FY2016
SHST	A	102.57	\$1,201,254.65	0.411%	Complete	FY2017
SHST	A	0.54	\$150,348.85	0.002%	Complete	FY2018
SHST	A	6.6	\$42,492.00	0.026%	Complete	FY2019
SHST	A	800.97	\$4,674,973.00	3.206%	Complete	FY2020
SPSC	S	6.82	\$382,075.00	0.027%	Complete	FY2014
SPSC	S	8.76	\$950,979.00	0.035%	Complete	FY2015

SPSC	S	28.46	\$1,971,358.00	0.114%	Complete	FY2016
SPSC	S	37.89	\$1,887,740.00	0.152%	Complete	FY2017
SPSC	S	23.51	\$2,923,998.22	0.094%	Complete	FY2018
SPSC	S	26.09	\$3,324,463.61	0.104%	Complete	FY2019
SPSC	S	201.17	\$1,861,628.58	0.805%	Complete	FY2020
STRE	A	20.3	\$687,600.00	0.081%	Complete	FY2013
STRE	A	130.1	\$2,222,738.00	0.521%	Complete	FY2015
STRE	A	44.7	\$2,005,057.00	0.179%	Complete	FY2016
STRE	A	97.24	\$1,766,741.00	0.389%	Complete	FY2017
STRE	A	549.1	\$5,513,054.00	2.198%	Complete	FY2019
STRE	A	483.82	\$7,153,648.73	1.937%	Complete	FY2020
WEDW	S	30.96	\$1,822,308.00	0.124%	Complete	FY2017
WEDW	S	9.94	\$774,573.83	0.040%	Complete	FY2018
WEDW	S	28.07	\$853,415.00	0.112%	Complete	FY2019
WEDW	S	2.35	\$145,891.00	0.009%	Complete	FY2020
WPKT	S	15.27	\$164,010.00	0.061%	Complete	FY2018
WPWS	S	9.21	\$461,363.83	0.037%	Complete	FY2018
WPWS	S	18.65	\$2,041,529.00	0.075%	Complete	FY2020
WSHW	S	12.59	\$316,902.00	0.050%	Complete	FY2018
WSHW	S	4.5	\$581,276.00	0.018%	Complete	FY2020
SPSC	S	183.35	\$3,869,677.04	0.734%	Under Construction	FY2021
STRE	A	54.73	\$7,757,543.55	0.219%	Under Construction	FY2021
STRE	A	27.78	\$3,568,909.98	0.111%	Under Construction	FY2022
WEDW	S	2.67	\$32,298.10	0.011%	Under Construction	FY2021
WSHW	S	8.98	\$741,412.07	0.036%	Under Construction	FY2021
FBIO	S	7.35	\$956,379.64	0.029%	Planning	FY2023
FSND	S	6.44	\$447,847.11	0.026%	Planning	FY2021
FSND	S	25.94	\$1,572,082.44	0.104%	Planning	FY2024
GRNT		30	\$1,000,000.00	0.120%	Planning	FY2021
IBAS	S	6.39	\$657,263.47	0.026%	Planning	FY2022
IBAS	S	13.05	\$1,342,298.63	0.052%	Planning	FY2023
ITRN	S	2.64	\$655,832.01	0.011%	Planning	FY2021
ITRN	S	2.73	\$678,189.92	0.011%	Planning	FY2024
MIBR	S	4.86	\$1,046,609.81	0.019%	Planning	FY2024

MRNG	E	0.36	\$18,900.00	0.001%	Planning	FY2025
PWED	S	138.96	\$4,104,650.09	0.556%	Planning	FY2024
PWED	S	45.08	\$1,673,764.84	0.180%	Planning	FY2025
PWET	S	5.06	\$681,597.16	0.020%	Planning	FY2022
SEPC	A	67	\$1,708,000.00	0.268%	Planning	FY2021
SHST	A	211.1	\$3,410,819.70	0.845%	Planning	FY2021
SHST	A	19.65	\$3,599,807.31	0.079%	Planning	FY2023
SHST	A	119.52	\$3,016,832.84	0.478%	Planning	FY2024
SHST	A	40.07	\$4,705,639.67	0.160%	Planning	FY2025
SPSC	S	4.74	\$1,177,516.56	0.019%	Planning	FY2021
SPSC	S	17.79	\$2,049,907.04	0.071%	Planning	FY2022
SPSC	S	199.86	\$13,947,831.65	0.800%	Planning	FY2023
SPSC	S	43.2	\$3,015,926.84	0.173%	Planning	FY2024
SPSC	S	1.02	\$242,567.00	0.004%	Planning	FY2025
STRE	A	108.77	\$12,136,153.13	0.435%	Planning	FY2021
STRE	A	479.97	\$28,244,486.30	1.921%	Planning	FY2022
STRE	A	150.36	\$11,444,341.46	0.602%	Planning	FY2023
STRE	A	360.54	\$15,256,310.93	1.443%	Planning	FY2024
STRE	A	469.31	\$20,558,097.58	1.879%	Planning	FY2025
WEDW	S	9.25	\$130,953.64	0.037%	Planning	FY2022
WPWS	S	14.03	\$1,596,693.16	0.056%	Planning	FY2022
ESD	E	0.32	\$24,067.76	0.001%	Proposed	FY2023
GRNT		30	\$1,000,000.00	0.120%	Proposed	FY2022
GRNT		30	\$1,000,000.00	0.120%	Proposed	FY2023
GRNT		30	\$1,000,000.00	0.120%	Proposed	FY2024
GRNT		30	\$1,000,000.00	0.120%	Proposed	FY2025
IMPF	A	0.02	\$1,504.24	0.000%	Proposed	FY2023
MIBR	E	0.16	\$12,786.00	0.001%	Proposed	FY2023
PWET	S	10.38	\$2,254,612.01	0.042%	Proposed	FY2022
PWET	S	63.96	\$2,363,346.30	0.256%	Proposed	FY2023
SHST	A	45.88	\$531,808.00	0.184%	Proposed	FY2023
SHST	A	30.4	\$50,000.00	0.122%	Proposed	FY2024
SPSC	S	68.24	\$1,041,415.80	0.273%	Proposed	FY2023
STRE	A	111.06	\$16,637,063.38	0.445%	Proposed	FY2022

STRE	A	165	\$12,546,811.12	0.661%	Proposed	FY2023
STRE	A	388.95	\$22,878,306.90	1.557%	Proposed	FY2024
STRE	A	320.7	\$14,473,400.00	1.284%	Proposed	FY2025
WEDW	S	10.38	\$2,254,612.01	0.042%	Proposed	FY2022
WEDW	S	6.3	\$783,240.30	0.025%	Proposed	FY2023
WEDW	S	1.79	\$25,341.30	0.007%	Proposed	FY2025
Subtotal Capital Next Two Years (FY2021-FY2022)		1,403	\$92,013,197	5.6%		
Subtotal Capital Next Five Years (FY2021-FY2025)		4,226	\$236,925,456	16.9%		
Subtotal Capital All Years		7,698	\$313,506,150	30.8%		
Other						
APRP	E	5.39	\$0.00	0.022%	Complete	FY2017
FBIO	S	0.81	\$0.00	0.003%	Complete	FY2016
FBIO	S	0.27	\$0.00	0.001%	Complete	FY2017
IMPP	A	0.06	\$0.00	0.000%	Complete	FY2016
IMPP	A	0.2	\$0.00	0.001%	Complete	FY2017
MRNG	E	0.5	\$0.00	0.002%	Complete	FY2014
MRNG	E	0.06	\$0.00	0.000%	Complete	FY2017
MSGW	E	0.15	\$0.00	0.001%	Complete	FY2019
MSWB	E	8.06	\$0.00	0.032%	Complete	FY2015
MSWB	E	0.39	\$0.00	0.002%	Complete	FY2017
MSWG	E	0.08	\$0.00	0.000%	Complete	FY2017
OTH	S	0.94	\$0.00	0.004%	Complete	FY2018
SEPC	A	15.21	\$0.00	0.061%	Complete	FY2014
SEPC	A	12.48	\$0.00	0.050%	Complete	FY2015
SEPC	A	14.04	\$0.00	0.056%	Complete	FY2016
SEPC	A	18.72	\$0.00	0.075%	Complete	FY2017
SEPC	A	4.29	\$0.00	0.017%	Complete	FY2018
SEPC	A	13.65	\$0.00	0.055%	Complete	FY2019
SEPC	A	10.6	\$0.00	0.042%	Complete	FY2020
SEPD	A	27.3	\$0.00	0.109%	Complete	FY2014
SEPD	A	73.84	\$0.00	0.296%	Complete	FY2015

SEPD	A	61.62	\$0.00	0.247%	Complete	FY2016
SEPD	A	41.34	\$0.00	0.165%	Complete	FY2017
SEPD	A	43.68	\$0.00	0.175%	Complete	FY2018
SEPD	A	50.96	\$0.00	0.204%	Complete	FY2019
SEPD	A	46.5	\$0.00	0.186%	Complete	FY2020
SHST	A	4.28	\$0.00	0.017%	Complete	FY2012
SHST	A	113.92	\$0.00	0.456%	Complete	FY2014
SHST	A	93.37	\$0.00	0.374%	Complete	FY2015
SHST	A	215.14	\$0.00	0.861%	Complete	FY2016
SHST	A	100.78	\$0.00	0.403%	Complete	FY2017
SHST	A	29.6	\$0.00	0.118%	Complete	FY2018
SHST	A	34.48	\$0.00	0.138%	Complete	FY2019
SPSC	S	0.24	\$0.00	0.001%	Complete	FY2014
SPSC	S	3.27	\$0.00	0.013%	Complete	FY2015
SPSC	S	6.09	\$0.00	0.024%	Complete	FY2016
STRE	A	14	\$0.00	0.056%	Complete	FY2016
STRE	A	36.76	\$0.00	0.147%	Complete	FY2017
STRE	A	8.8	\$0.00	0.035%	Complete	FY2018
WEDW	S	0.67	\$0.00	0.003%	Complete	FY2019
WPKT	S	1.23	\$0.00	0.005%	Complete	FY2015
MSGW	E	1	\$0.00	0.004%	Under Construction	FY2021
SEPC	A	3.45	\$0.00	0.014%	Planning	FY2022
SEPC	A	3.45	\$0.00	0.014%	Planning	FY2023
SEPC	A	3.45	\$0.00	0.014%	Planning	FY2024
SEPC	A	3.45	\$0.00	0.014%	Planning	FY2025
SEPD	A	16	\$0.00	0.064%	Planning	FY2022
SEPD	A	16	\$0.00	0.064%	Planning	FY2023
SEPD	A	16	\$0.00	0.064%	Planning	FY2024
SEPD	A	16	\$0.00	0.064%	Planning	FY2025
SHST	A	100	\$0.00	0.400%	Planning	FY2023
STRE	A	20	\$0.00	0.080%	Planning	FY2024
SEPC	A	3.45	\$0.00	0.014%	Proposed	FY2021
SEPD	A	16	\$0.00	0.064%	Proposed	FY2021
SHST	A	17.44	\$0.00	0.070%	Proposed	FY2023

STRE	A	58	\$0.00	0.232%	Proposed	FY2023
Subtotal Other Next Two Years (FY2021-FY2022)		40	\$0	0.2%		
Subtotal Other Next Five Years (FY2021-FY2025)		294	\$0	1.2%		
Subtotal Other All Years		1,407	\$0	5.6%		
Total Next Two Years (FY2021-FY2022)		1,968	\$94,009,114	7.9%		
Total Next Five Years (FY2021-FY2025)		5,045	\$242,145,453	20.2%		
Total All Years		9,631	\$323,696,395	38.6%		

Check with MS4 Geodatabase:

Type, class, impervious acres, implementation cost and implementation status should match the various geodatabase tables for BMPs (AltBMPLine, AltBMPPoint, AltBMPPoly, and RestBMP)- aggregated by type and status.

Notes:

- 1. Use BMP domains from the MS4 Geodatabase.
- 2. Complete, Under Construction, Planning, or Proposed.
- 3. Use Fiscal Year (FY)
- 4. For street sweeping indicate the annual frequency that the streets are swept, and for storm drain or catch basin cleaning report the pounds of material removed.
- 5. Average IMP ACRES for Operational BMPs should be the average of BMP 1 + the average of BMP 2, etc.
IMPL COST is a summation and not an average.

Article 4-202.1(j)(1)(i)2: Projected annual and 5-year costs for the county or municipality to meet the impervious surface restoration plan requirements of its National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Phase I Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Permit.

DESCRIPTION	PAST UP THRU FY 2019	CURRENT YEAR FY 2020	PROJECTED YEAR 1 FY 2021	PROJECTED YEAR 2 FY 2022	PROJECTED YEAR 3 FY 2023	PROJECTED YEAR 4 FY 2024	PROJECTED YEAR 5 FY 2025	TOTAL COSTS
Operating Expenditures (costs)								
Street Sweeping Program	\$1,785,736	\$395,345	\$397,016	\$408,927	\$421,194	\$433,830	\$446,845	\$4,288,893
Inlet Cleaning	\$2,264,205	\$524,962	\$586,194	\$603,780	\$621,894	\$640,550	\$659,767	\$5,901,352
Support of Capital Projects	\$57,912,737	\$11,620,396	\$12,655,090	\$12,834,815	\$13,080,468	\$13,330,331	\$13,585,286	\$135,019,123
Debt Service Payment	\$17,060,669	\$6,928,119	\$8,567,100	\$9,621,623	\$11,455,605	\$13,526,443	\$15,818,619	\$82,978,179
Other (General Fund Costs Attributed to WPRF in Prior years)*	\$6,706,100	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$6,706,100
Capital Expenditures (costs)								
General Fund (Paygo)								\$0
WPR Fund (Paygo)								\$0
Debt Service	\$ 80,779,000	\$ 26,132,000	\$ 25,000,000	\$ 33,000,000	\$ 36,000,000	\$ 39,000,000	\$ 45,000,000	\$284,911,000
Grants & Partnerships	\$4,457,431	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$10,457,431
Other (please stipulate capital expenditure)*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$0
Subtotal Operation and Paygo:	\$85,729,447	\$19,468,822	\$22,205,400	\$23,469,145	\$25,579,161	\$27,931,155	\$30,510,517	\$234,893,648
Total Expenditures:	\$170,965,878	\$46,600,822	\$48,205,400	\$57,469,145	\$62,579,161	\$67,931,155	\$76,510,517	\$530,262,079

Total ISRP costs except debt service: \$447,283,900
Compare ISRP costs (except debt service) / total ISRP proposed actions: 138%

Check with MS4 Geodatabase:

The total current FY2020 expenditure should be less than the combined total of the "OP_COST" and "CAP_COST" fields in the Fiscal Analyses table of the geodatabase.
The total projected FY2021 expenditure should be less than the combined total of the "OP_BUDGET" and "CAP_BUDGET" fields in the Fiscal Analyses table of the geodatabase.
*Insert additional rows as needed.

Article 4-202.1(j)(1)(i)3: Projected annual and 5-year revenues or other funds that will be used to meet the cost for the county or municipality to meet the impervious surface restoration plan requirements under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Phase I Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Permit.

DESCRIPTION	PAST UP THRU FY 2019	CURRENT YEAR FY 2020	PROJECTED YEAR 1 FY 2021	PROJECTED YEAR 2 FY 2022	PROJECTED YEAR 3 FY 2023	PROJECTED YEAR 4 FY 2024	PROJECTED YEAR 5 FY 2025	TOTAL NEXT 2-YEARS FY 21-22 ¹	TOTAL
Annual Revenue ² Appropriated for ISRP	\$212,683,835	\$59,121,496	\$55,645,688	\$60,407,444	\$68,550,134	\$72,589,026	\$82,064,690	\$116,053,132	\$611,062,313
Annual Costs towards ISRP ³	\$170,965,878	\$46,600,822	\$48,205,400	\$57,469,145	\$62,579,161	\$67,931,155	\$76,510,517	\$105,674,545	\$530,262,079

Compare revenue appropriated / annual costs: 110%
WPRP 2020 Reporting Criteria: 100%

ISRP = Impervious Surface Restoration Program

Notes:

- 1. Article 4-202.1(j)(2): Demonstration that county or municipality has sufficient funding in the current fiscal year and subsequent fiscal year budgets to meet its estimated cost for the 2-year period immediately following the filing date of the FAP.
- 2. Revenue means "dedicated revenues, funds, or sources of funds (per Article 4-202.1(j)(4)(ii)).
- 3. See table of ISRP Cost.

Article 4-202.1(j)(1)(i)4: Any sources of funds that will be utilized by the county or municipality to meet the requirements of its National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Phase I
Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Permit.

SOURCE	PAST UP THRU FY 2019	CURRENT YEAR FY 2020	PROJECTED YEAR 1 FY 2021	PROJECTED YEAR 2 FY 2022	PROJECTED YEAR 3 FY 2023	PROJECTED YEAR 4 FY 2024	PROJECTED YEAR 5 FY 2025	TOTAL NEXT FIVE YEARS
Paygo Sources								
Stormwater Remediation Fees (WPR Fund)	\$ 116,656,619	\$ 23,506,597	\$ 23,569,400	\$ 23,979,080	\$ 25,429,136	\$ 26,968,832	\$ 28,600,446	\$ 128,546,893
Miscellaneous Fees (WPR Fund)								\$ -
General Fund	\$ 5,241,345	\$ 4,675,470	\$ 3,407,981	\$ -	\$ 3,598,830	\$ 3,016,833	\$ 4,705,640	\$ 14,729,284
Investment Income	\$ 2,110,537	\$ 842,790	\$ 807,100	\$ 838,364	\$ 842,169	\$ 833,362	\$ 808,605	\$ 4,129,600
Interfund Recoveries	\$ 3,925,684	\$ 1,093,932	\$ 770,000	\$ 990,000	\$ 1,080,000	\$ 1,170,000	\$ 1,350,000	\$ 5,360,000
Other Funds 3 (please stipulate funding source)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Subtotal Paygo Sources	\$ 127,934,185	\$ 30,118,789	\$ 28,554,481	\$ 25,807,444	\$ 30,950,134	\$ 31,989,026	\$ 35,464,690	\$ 152,765,776
Debt Service (paygo sources will be used to pay off debt service. Note that previous appropriations for debt service used for ISRP is listed in FY 2017).								
County Transportation Bonds								\$ -
General Obligation Bonds								\$ -
Revenue (WPRF/BWPR) Bonds	\$ 80,779,000	\$ 26,132,000	\$ 25,000,000	\$ 33,000,000	\$ 36,000,000	\$ 39,000,000	\$ 45,000,000	\$ 178,000,000
State Revolving Loan Fund	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Public-private partnership (debt service)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Subtotal Debt Service	\$ 80,779,000	\$ 26,132,000	\$ 25,000,000	\$ 33,000,000	\$ 36,000,000	\$ 39,000,000	\$ 45,000,000	\$ 178,000,000
Grants and Partnerships (no payment is expected)								
State funded grants	\$3,970,650	\$2,870,707	\$2,091,207	\$1,600,000	\$1,600,000	\$ 1,600,000	\$ 1,600,000	\$ 8,491,207
Federal funded grants								\$ -
Public-private partnership (matched grant)								\$ -
Subtotal Grants and Partnerships	\$ 3,970,650	\$ 2,870,707	\$ 2,091,207	\$ 1,600,000	\$ 1,600,000	\$ 1,600,000	\$ 1,600,000	\$ 8,491,207
Total Annual Sources of Funds	\$ 212,683,835	\$ 59,121,496	\$ 55,645,688	\$ 60,407,444	\$ 68,550,134	\$ 72,589,026	\$ 82,064,690	\$ 339,256,983
Percent of Funds Directed Toward ISRP								

Compare total permit term paygo ISRP costs / subtotal permit term paygo sources: 85%
Compare total permit term ISRP costs / total permit term annual sources of funds: 92%

* WPR Fund: Watershed Protection and Restoration Fund

Check with MS4 Geodatabase:

The total sources related to WPR Funds in Current FY2020 should match the "WPR_FUND" field of the geodatabase.

Article 4-202.1(j)(1)(i)5: Specific actions and expenditures that the county or municipality implemented in the previous fiscal years to meet its impervious surface restoration plan requirements under its National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Phase I Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Permit.

Baseline: 24,981 Requirement: 20%

REST BMP ID	REST BMP TYPE ¹	BMP CLASS	NUM BMP	IMP ACRES	BUILT DATE	IMPL COST	% ISRP Complete	IMPL STATUS ²	GEN COMMENTS
Operational Programs ³									
	VSS	A	275	0.55	6/30/2014	41,808.00	0.0%	Complete	FY2014
	VSS	A	2895	14.04	6/30/2015	167,914.00	0.1%	Complete	FY2015
	VSS	A	4908	122.676	6/30/2016	310,000.00	0.5%	Complete	FY2016 - Used for annual practice average credit
	VSS	A	7128	239.808	6/30/2017	437,012.80	1.0%	Complete	FY2017 - Used for annual practice average credit
	VSS	A	6779	144.32	6/30/2018	275,515.00	0.6%	Complete	FY2018 - Used for annual practice average credit
	VSS	A	6654	191.72	6/30/2019	401,932.00	0.8%	Complete	FY2019 - Maintenance of 4th Gen. MS4 Permit Credit
	VSS	A	6654	156.596	6/30/2020	395,345.00	0.6%	Complete	FY2020 - Maintenance of 4th Gen. MS4 Permit Credit
	CBC	A		0	6/30/2016	474,498.89	0.0%	Complete	FY2016
	CBC	A	241	96.476	6/30/2017	616,197.40	0.4%	Complete	FY2017 - Used for annual practice average credit
	CBC	A	108	43.132	6/30/2018	597,049.00	0.2%	Complete	FY2018 - Used for annual practice average credit
	CBC	A	289	115.456	6/30/2019	548,278.00	0.5%	Complete	FY2019 - Maintenance of 4th Gen. MS4 Permit Credit
	CBC	A	133	53.236	6/30/2020	589,889.00	0.2%	Complete	FY2020 - Maintenance of 4th Gen. MS4 Permit Credit
	SEPP	A	8287	248.6	6/30/2016	0.00	1.0%	Complete	FY2016 - Used for annual practice average credit
	SEPP	A	9417	282.5	6/30/2017	0.00	1.1%	Complete	FY2017 - Used for annual practice average credit
	SEPP	A	10994	329.8	6/30/2018	0.00	1.3%	Complete	FY2018 - Used for annual practice average credit
	SEPP	A	12910	387.3	6/30/2019	0.00	1.6%	Complete	FY2019 - Maintenance of 4th Gen. MS4 Permit Credit
	SEPP	A	15609	468.27	6/30/2020	0.00	1.9%	Complete	FY2020 - Maintenance of 4th Gen. MS4 Permit Credit
Average Operations Complete To Date ⁴			93,281	526		\$4,855,439	2.1%		
Capital Projects									
AA17RST000034	FBIO	S	1	3.78	2/1/2012	72,585	0.015%	Complete	Cypress Creek Park and Ride Bioretention
AA17RST000033	FBIO	S	1	0.46	2/1/2012	72,585	0.002%	Complete	Cypress Creek Recreation Bioretention

AA16RST000007	FBIO	S	1	0.58	12/1/2015	77,406	0.002%	Complete	Hillsmere - Beach Drive Kayak Area CPO / Bioretention
AA16RST000032	FBIO	S	1	2.53	4/19/2016	322,448	0.010%	Complete	Anne Arundel Community College Bioretention
AA16RST000033	FBIO	S	1	0.18	7/19/2016	134,477	0.001%	Complete	Grosvenor Lane Bioretention
AA17RST000028	FBIO	S	1	0.24	11/21/2016	161,026	0.001%	Complete	Dellwood Ct OtfI
AA18RST000020	FBIO	S	1	0.96	5/1/2018	377,100	0.004%	Complete	Twin Harbors Community Bioretention (Arnold/Magothy River)
AA18RST000013	FBIO	S	1	0.52	5/7/2019	181,434	0.002%	Complete	Key School
AA20RST000005	FBIO	S	1	0.55	5/26/2020	47,331	0.002%	Complete	Beechnut Kennels BMP
AA19APY000004	FPU	A	1	0.52	4/27/2019	72,948	0.002%	Complete	Ford Property Reforestation
AA20APY000002	FPU	A	1	1.01	12/23/2019	70,065	0.004%	Complete	8148 Jumpers Hole RD Reforestation
AA17RST000027	FSND	S	1	0.31	1/2/2017	161,026	0.001%	Complete	Dellwood Ct OtfI
AA18RST000012	FSND	S	1	3.06	5/7/2019	222,227	0.012%	Complete	Key School
AA16RST000011	IBAS	S	1	1.75	7/11/2016	287,557	0.007%	Complete	Gapgallion
AA16RST000020	IBAS	S	1	2.24	7/11/2016	210,217	0.009%	Complete	Merriweather #2
AA16RST000045	IBAS	S	1	4.46	11/22/2016	187,063	0.018%	Complete	Wellham Heights
AA16RST000041	IBAS	S	1	7.30	1/6/2017	285,766	0.029%	Complete	Ferndale Farms
AA16RST000037	IBAS	S	1	5.60	3/10/2017	471,842	0.022%	Complete	Linthicum Oaks #2
AA16RST000068	IBAS	S	1	6.47	4/28/2017	370,778	0.026%	Complete	Bodkin Creek Public Pnds Ph1
AA17RST000023	IBAS	S	1	27.23	4/27/2018	288,978	0.109%	Complete	Sawmill Crk Hollins Ferry Rd
AA16RST000042	IBAS	S	1	7.78	6/25/2018	359,070	0.031%	Complete	Patapsco Tdl Public Pnds Ph1
AA18RST000003	IBAS	S	1	3.53	9/17/2019	304,717	0.014%	Complete	Captains Walk
AA16RST000047	IBAS	S	1	3.68	11/25/2019	427,956	0.015%	Complete	Patapsco Nontidal Public Ponds Ph 6 Stormwater Retrofit
AA19APY000003	IMPF	A	1	0.12	4/27/2019	50,903	0.000%	Complete	Ford Property Impervious Removal
AA20APY000003	IMPF	A	1	0.04	12/1/2019	14,689	0.000%	Complete	8148 Jumpers Hole RD Impervious Surface Removal
AA14APY000001	IMPP	A	1	0.09	6/30/2014	189,350	0.000%	Complete	PEKIN ROAD IMPERVIOUS SURFACE REMOVAL
AA20APY000001	IMPP	A	1	0.02	2/5/2020	799,889	0.000%	Complete	Chestnut Hill Avenue Impervious Surface Removal
AA17RST000029	ITRN	S	1	0.22	3/7/2017	161,026	0.001%	Complete	Dellwood Ct OtfI
AA20RST000011	ITRN	S	1	0.15	4/23/2018	89,664	0.001%	Complete	14 Linda Ln
AA18RST000004	ITRN	S	1	4.90	6/15/2018	544,328	0.020%	Complete	HSIA Stormater BMP
AA19RST000006	ITRN	S	1	0.71	11/26/2019	1,468	0.003%	Complete	Broad Creek Health Dept gully Phase II
AA17RST000015	MRNG	E	1	0.19	12/1/2014	23,387	0.001%	Complete	Round Bay Community- Randell Road: Stormwater Mitigation Project
AA17RST000012	MRNG	E	1	0.26	7/1/2016	14,360	0.001%	Complete	Empowering Believers Rain Garden 6

AA17RST000014	MRNG	E	1	0.26	7/1/2016	14,360	0.001%	Complete	Empowering Believers Rain Garden 1
AA17RST000013	MRNG	E	1	0.51	7/1/2016	14,360	0.002%	Complete	Empowering Believers Rain Garden 2
AA17RST000019	MRNG	E	1	0.42	3/1/2017	15,000	0.002%	Complete	St. Anne's School of Annapolis Rain Garden
AA17RST000020	MSWB	E	1	0.61	8/17/2016	45,000	0.002%	Complete	Avalon Shores Fire Department Stormwater Wetland
AA18RST000042	MSWB	E	1	1.22	4/22/2019	7,842	0.005%	Complete	Berrywood Community Cattail Creek Restoration
AA20APY000688	OTH	A	1	3.33	6/30/2020	4,950	0.013%	Complete	Aquaculture Nutrient Credit Trading
AA16ALN000027	OUT	A	1	2.00	10/2/2013	60,360	0.008%	Complete	Tam Glade
AA15ALN000014	OUT	A	1	1.42	4/1/2015	288,978	0.006%	Complete	Southdown Shores Outfall Stabilization 3
AA15ALN000012	OUT	A	1	2.00	4/1/2015	121,366	0.008%	Complete	Southdown Shores Outfall Stabilization 1
AA15ALN000015	OUT	A	1	0.41	4/1/2015	396,280	0.002%	Complete	Southdown Shores Outfall Stabilization 4
AA15ALN000013	OUT	A	1	0.97	4/1/2015	316,902	0.004%	Complete	Southdown Shores Outfall Stabilization 2
AA16ALN000025	OUT	A	1	0.25	2/4/2016	40,480	0.001%	Complete	Seaborne Dr.
AA16ALN000023	OUT	A	1	0.30	3/26/2016	45,260	0.001%	Complete	1069 Locust Drive Outfall
AA16ALN000026	OUT	A	1	0.40	3/29/2016	16,060	0.002%	Complete	Arundel Ave.
AA16ALN000024	OUT	A	1	0.50	4/28/2016	12,320	0.002%	Complete	Puffin Ct
AA17ALN000015	OUT	A	1	0.90	3/22/2017	63,070	0.004%	Complete	WOODLAND MANOR DR
AA17ALN000012	OUT	A	1	0.70	5/3/2017	41,875	0.003%	Complete	Old Mill Rd at Patriot Ln
AA17ALN000014	OUT	A	1	0.50	5/18/2017	44,425	0.002%	Complete	Monaghan_7764
AA17ALN000013	OUT	A	1	0.40	6/20/2017	64,238	0.002%	Complete	680 209th St
AA18ALN000032	OUT	A	1	0.15	2/7/2018	25,591	0.001%	Complete	Sunrise Beach Rd
AA18ALN000033	OUT	A	1	0.50	2/22/2018	43,212	0.002%	Complete	756 N Mesa Rd
AA18ALN000034	OUT	A	1	0.20	2/28/2018	17,998	0.001%	Complete	Hawkins Rd
AA18ALN000035	OUT	A	1	0.95	3/1/2018	167,556	0.004%	Complete	Veterans Hwy @ Benfield
AA18ALN000036	OUT	A	1	0.30	3/26/2018	51,053	0.001%	Complete	Rutland Rd
AA18ALN000037	OUT	A	1	0.60	4/15/2018	171,934	0.002%	Complete	471 Blackshire Rd
AA18ALN000039	OUT	A	1	0.05	4/19/2018	72,492	0.000%	Complete	Steffey Dr 100
AA18ALN000038	OUT	A	1	0.60	4/19/2018	48,315	0.002%	Complete	Columbine Ct
AA18ALN000040	OUT	A	1	0.10	4/27/2018	59,902	0.000%	Complete	Polling House Rd 775
AA18ALN000041	OUT	A	1	0.35	5/15/2018	263,748	0.001%	Complete	1280 Rockhill Rd
AA18ALN000023	OUT	A	1	1.20	6/30/2018	33,950	0.005%	Complete	Bacon Ridge Groundwater Recharge Micro-BMP: Category 2
AA18ALN000024	OUT	A	1	0.80	6/30/2018	33,950	0.003%	Complete	Bacon Ridge Groundwater Recharge Micro-BMP: Category 2

AA19ALN000009	OUT	A	1	0.20	7/20/2018	249,878	0.001%	Complete	River Rd
AA19ALN000044	OUT	A	1	0.50	7/25/2018	61,390	0.002%	Complete	Starwood Dr
AA19ALN000052	OUT	A	1	0.36	7/30/2018	50,757	0.001%	Complete	Patuxent River Rd/Southern
AA19ALN000010	OUT	A	1	0.25	8/7/2018	23,948	0.001%	Complete	Sutterton Ct 844
AA19ALN000011	OUT	A	1	1.00	8/20/2018	321,820	0.004%	Complete	River Rd
AA19ALN000012	OUT	A	1	0.20	8/23/2018	24,087	0.001%	Complete	Dividing Rd
AA19ALN000054	OUT	A	1	0.80	8/27/2018	50,914	0.003%	Complete	Evergreen Rd
AA19ALN000049	OUT	A	1	0.50	8/28/2018	8,079	0.002%	Complete	Santa Maria Ln 691
AA19ALN000013	OUT	A	1	0.20	8/28/2018	199,110	0.001%	Complete	Patuxent River Rd
AA19ALN000014	OUT	A	1	0.30	8/28/2018	68,755	0.001%	Complete	Pindell Rd
AA19ALN000015	OUT	A	1	2.00	9/13/2018	42,824	0.008%	Complete	Mulberry Rd
AA19ALN000016	OUT	A	1	0.90	9/18/2018	90,944	0.004%	Complete	Coachway 858
AA19ALN000017	OUT	A	1	0.30	11/21/2018	182,147	0.001%	Complete	Upper Pindell Rd 1050
AA19ALN000018	OUT	A	1	0.72	11/26/2018	583,869	0.003%	Complete	8289 Elvaton Rd
AA19ALN000041	OUT	A	1	1.57	2/6/2019	102,398	0.006%	Complete	Cool Pond Park SPSC - Outfall Q13O022
AA19ALN000050	OUT	A	1	0.50	2/6/2019	5,314	0.002%	Complete	Saint Marks Dr 1800
AA19ALN000045	OUT	A	1	0.15	2/12/2019	23,329	0.001%	Complete	River Rd_1201
AA19ALN000040	OUT	A	1	2.00	3/5/2019	108,668	0.008%	Complete	Asbury Broadneck United Methodist Church SPSC
AA19ALN000047	OUT	A	1	0.10	3/14/2019	9,622	0.000%	Complete	Upton Scott Way 1602 (Phase 3)
AA19ALN000046	OUT	A	1	0.15	4/4/2019	72,756	0.001%	Complete	Via Amorosa 987
AA19ALN000056	OUT	A	1	0.10	4/10/2019	10,167	0.000%	Complete	Long Meadow Way_300
AA19ALN000053	OUT	A	1	1.50	4/22/2019	52,134	0.006%	Complete	Atwell Rd 4824
AA19ALN000055	OUT	A	1	0.20	4/22/2019	25,619	0.001%	Complete	Olympia Rd 3484
AA19ALN000048	OUT	A	1	0.25	4/30/2019	16,266	0.001%	Complete	Tanager Dr 1186
AA19ALN000024	OUT	A	1	0.71	7/3/2019	61,016	0.003%	Complete	Barrensdale Outfall Restoration
AA20ALN000019	OUT	A	1	0.15	9/9/2019	26,068	0.001%	Complete	Patuxent River Rd 3673
AA20ALN000020	OUT	A	1	0.15	9/23/2019	22,610	0.001%	Complete	Ohio Ave 7166
AA20ALN000021	OUT	A	1	0.30	10/15/2019	17,648	0.001%	Complete	Arbutus Rd
AA20ALN000022	OUT	A	1	0.25	10/23/2019	12,375	0.001%	Complete	Stuart Rd
AA20ALN000023	OUT	A	1	0.15	1/13/2020	84,218	0.001%	Complete	Old Dorsey Rd
AA20ALN000024	OUT	A	1	0.80	3/20/2020	22,364	0.003%	Complete	Lake View Dr/Pinecrest Dr

AA20ALN000025	OUT	A	1	0.50	3/31/2020	25,632	0.002%	Complete	Old Solomons Island Rd
AA20ALN000026	OUT	A	1	0.30	4/7/2020	25,842	0.001%	Complete	Master Derby Ct 405
AA20ALN000027	OUT	A	1	0.90	4/9/2020	62,394	0.004%	Complete	Race Rd Park Cir Dr Ph1
AA20ALN000028	OUT	A	1	0.60	4/20/2020	26,376	0.002%	Complete	Waters Ridge Ct 1601
AA20ALN000029	OUT	A	1	0.60	4/22/2020	22,558	0.002%	Complete	Lower Pindell Rd 931
AA20ALN000030	OUT	A	1	0.30	4/27/2020	83,565	0.001%	Complete	Patuxent River Rd
AA20ALN000031	OUT	A	1	0.40	4/29/2020	63,876	0.002%	Complete	Likes Rd 122
AA20ALN000032	OUT	A	1	0.15	5/18/2020	50,616	0.001%	Complete	Cardamon Dr 145
AA20ALN000033	OUT	A	1	0.20	5/28/2020	62,844	0.001%	Complete	Chapelgate Dr 601 Phase 3
AA20ALN000034	OUT	A	1	0.50	6/16/2020	36,345	0.002%	Complete	Severn Way Boat ramp Ph 3
AA16RST000029	PMED	S	1	4.93	1/20/2017	470,471	0.020%	Complete	Laurel Highlands
AA16RST000013	PMPS	S	1	3.43	5/30/2017	348,494	0.014%	Complete	Saint Andrews United Methodist Church
AA17RST000026	PWED	S	1	3.36	7/30/2016	249,583	0.013%	Complete	Fairfield Dr Otfl & BMP Retro
AA16RST000055	PWED	S	1	8.97	7/5/2017	480,151	0.036%	Complete	Patapsco Tdl Public Pnds Ph5
AA16RST000039	PWED	S	1	33.39	9/15/2017	799,634	0.134%	Complete	Annapolis Harbour Ctr Pd Retf
AA16RST000054	PWED	S	1	13.65	11/2/2017	399,659	0.055%	Complete	Patapsco Tdl Public Pds Ph6
AA18RST000053	PWED	S	1	49.78	6/30/2018	150,473	0.199%	Complete	Seven Oaks BMP 341 Pond Conversion
AA16RST000044	PWED	S	1	4.52	9/7/2018	417,136	0.018%	Complete	Patapsco Tdl Public Pnds Ph1
AA16RST000043	PWED	S	1	13.71	10/26/2018	596,701	0.055%	Complete	Patapsco Tdl Public Pnds Ph1
AA16RST000053	PWED	S	1	4.95	10/29/2018	386,714	0.020%	Complete	Windermere Estates / Section 2
AA16RST000066	PWED	S	1	14.83	6/1/2019	425,326	0.059%	Complete	Ridge Commons/ Lots 1-25
AA19RST000025	PWED	S	1	5.43	7/30/2019	177,350	0.022%	Complete	Central Services Garage Pond 4098 Opti Upgrade
AA19RST000026	PWED	S	1	112.60	8/1/2019	177,350	0.451%	Complete	South River Colony Pond 4063 Opti Upgrade
AA17RST000035	PWET	S	1	10.91	8/13/2013	324,787	0.044%	Complete	Peach Orchard SWM Retrofit
AA14RST000106	PWET	S	1	2.79	11/26/2014	125,876	0.011%	Complete	Mansion House Manor
AA14RST000105	PWET	S	1	2.35	11/26/2014	169,484	0.009%	Complete	Collington Off Jones Station
AA14RST000103	PWET	S	1	3.28	11/26/2014	45,084	0.013%	Complete	Berrywood Acres
AA14RST000107	PWET	S	1	2.56	11/26/2014	120,707	0.010%	Complete	Woodbridge Forest
AA14RST000098	PWET	S	1	1.70	11/26/2014	141,231	0.007%	Complete	Brittingham/Section 3
AA14RST000102	PWET	S	1	3.68	11/26/2014	76,182	0.015%	Complete	Kilmarnock Woods
AA14RST000101	PWET	S	1	2.23	11/26/2014	76,083	0.009%	Complete	Earleigh Heights Road

AA14RST000100	PWET	S	1	1.05	11/26/2014	128,675	0.004%	Complete	Brittingham II
AA15RST000097	PWET	S	1	4.78	12/3/2014	466,035	0.019%	Complete	Weathersfield
AA15RST000096	PWET	S	1	2.52	4/10/2015	31,225	0.010%	Complete	Brittingham I
AA15RST000089	PWET	S	1	6.16	4/10/2015	75,732	0.025%	Complete	Raintree Sec. 1
AA15RST000088	PWET	S	1	0.61	4/10/2015	27,832	0.002%	Complete	Grace Property/ Lots 1-5
AA15RST000087	PWET	S	1	0.83	4/10/2015	61,594	0.003%	Complete	Severndale Ground Storage Tank
AA15RST000085	PWET	S	1	5.17	4/10/2015	101,345	0.021%	Complete	B & A Trail Phase III
AA15RST000086	PWET	S	1	3.99	4/10/2015	51,029	0.016%	Complete	Berrywood West
AA16RST000023	PWET	S	1	3.06	8/16/2015	393,876	0.012%	Complete	Shipley-Fairmount/ 253-42
AA16RST000031	PWET	S	1	12.84	3/28/2016	429,619	0.051%	Complete	Anne Arundel Community College
AA16RST000012	PWET	S	1	0.92	5/10/2016	101,784	0.004%	Complete	Saint Joseph's Church
AA16RST000025	PWET	S	1	3.25	6/30/2016	371,031	0.013%	Complete	Wicklow Woods
AA16RST000024	PWET	S	1	3.66	7/11/2016	226,548	0.015%	Complete	Severn Hills
AA16RST000027	PWET	S	1	1.19	7/19/2016	84,856	0.005%	Complete	Chelsea Beach Lots 405A-405D
AA16RST000017	PWET	S	1	3.01	7/19/2016	315,316	0.012%	Complete	Chesterfield 4-1/ Phase E 4
AA15RST000094	PWET	S	1	1.11	7/19/2016	236,998	0.004%	Complete	Chelsea Beach
AA16RST000018	PWET	S	1	11.80	7/19/2016	230,836	0.047%	Complete	Deerfield
AA16RST000038	PWET	S	1	4.23	7/19/2016	339,092	0.017%	Complete	Hardesty Estates/ Section 6
AA15RST000091	PWET	S	1	0.96	7/19/2016	87,031	0.004%	Complete	Westridge Addition
AA16RST000028	PWET	S	1	2.50	8/3/2016	103,999	0.010%	Complete	Loch Haven Manor Lots 1-17
AA15RST000095	PWET	S	1	2.98	8/3/2016	111,847	0.012%	Complete	Coxby's Estates Section 3
AA15RST000090	PWET	S	1	1.20	8/3/2016	73,539	0.005%	Complete	Old Annapolis Neck
AA16RST000070	PWET	S	1	15.58	8/3/2016	400,681	0.062%	Complete	Courts of Four Seasons
AA16RST000014	PWET	S	1	2.92	8/15/2016	367,340	0.012%	Complete	Inverness Woods
AA15RST000093	PWET	S	1	1.58	8/15/2016	54,234	0.006%	Complete	Western Dist. Police Station
AA16RST000026	PWET	S	1	1.36	10/19/2016	157,043	0.005%	Complete	Shipley-Fairmount/ 253-42
AA16RST000071	PWET	S	1	1.82	10/19/2016	322,362	0.007%	Complete	Garland Park
AA17RST000025	PWET	S	1	4.46	10/24/2016	312,398	0.018%	Complete	Sappington Hill
AA16RST000010	PWET	S	1	0.36	3/27/2017	76,077	0.001%	Complete	Crofton South
AA16RST000015	PWET	S	1	1.56	3/27/2017	123,547	0.006%	Complete	Kellwood Estates
AA16RST000035	PWET	S	1	37.06	4/28/2017	754,381	0.148%	Complete	Annapolis Landing

AA16RST000052	PWET	S	1	2.48	5/10/2017	120,100	0.010%	Complete	Bodkin Creek Public Pnds Ph1
AA16RST000030	PWET	S	1	0.38	10/13/2017	201,415	0.002%	Complete	Wise Metals
AA16RST000034	PWET	S	1	0.77	10/16/2017	111,689	0.003%	Complete	Sun Valley Apartments
AA16RST000021	PWET	S	1	1.25	11/13/2017	70,437	0.005%	Complete	Sturbridge #3
AA16RST000019	PWET	S	1	1.66	11/13/2017	122,002	0.007%	Complete	Glen Eden
AA16RST000022	PWET	S	1	0.77	11/13/2017	97,872	0.003%	Complete	Sturbridge #4
AA18RST000036	PWET	S	1	0.26	7/1/2018	30,000	0.001%	Complete	United Church of Christ Pond Retrofit
AA18RST000011	PWET	S	1	31.14	7/20/2018	778,493	0.125%	Complete	AJC Garfunkel Pond
AA18RST000005	PWET	S	1	24.12	8/31/2018	502,220	0.097%	Complete	Walmart Arundel Mills Pond Retrofit
AA16RST000074	PWET	S	1	14.40	11/30/2018	850,547	0.058%	Complete	Bay Green Drive Pond
AA18RST000010	PWET	S	1	63.88	12/10/2018	825,563	0.256%	Complete	Arundel Mills Limited Partnership Pond
AA18RST000019	PWET	S	1	8.20	12/1/2019	613,991	0.033%	Complete	Sappington Hill Pond Retrofit
AA15ALN000008	SHST	A	1	17.60	6/1/2015	318,000	0.070%	Complete	Pines on the Severn Living Shoreline
AA16ALN000018	SHST	A	1	6.68	9/15/2015	9,000	0.027%	Complete	Tomkies Living Shoreline
AA16ALN000019	SHST	A	1	7.20	9/30/2015	5,000	0.029%	Complete	Twin Harbors Living Shoreline
AA16ALN000009	SHST	A	1	7.48	12/7/2015	516,934	0.030%	Complete	Quiet Waters Shoreline Protection
AA17ALN000059	SHST	A	1	83.09	1/1/2017	772,055	0.333%	Complete	Thomas Point Living Shoreline
AA17ALN000003	SHST	A	1	19.48	4/12/2017	429,200	0.078%	Complete	Brewers Pond Shoreline Protection
AA18ALN000004	SHST	A	1	0.54	1/30/2018	150,349	0.002%	Complete	Stony Crk Otfll Locust Dr
AA18ALN000013	SHST	A	1	6.60	1/9/2019	42,492	0.026%	Complete	Berrywood Community Cattail Creek Restoration
AA18ALN000011	SHST	A	1	120.33	9/20/2019	86,665	0.482%	Complete	Turnbull Estates Shoreline Project
AA17ALN000018	SHST	A	1	87.51	11/27/2019	2,233,476	0.350%	Complete	Fort Smallwood Shoreline Protection: Reach 3, Stone breakwater beach nourishment
AA18ALN000003	SHST	A	1	466.41	12/2/2019	1,990,868	1.867%	Complete	Jack Creek Park Phase II Shoreline Stabilization
AA18ALN000012	SHST	A	1	126.73	2/28/2020	363,964	0.507%	Complete	Kyle Point Living Shoreline
AA17RST000049	SPSC	S	1	3.80	7/25/2013	267,992	0.015%	Complete	Dunkeld Manor SWM Retrofit
AA17RST000051	SPSC	S	1	3.02	6/30/2014	114,083	0.012%	Complete	Windswept Estates Pond Retrofit
AA14RST000099	SPSC	S	1	0.12	11/26/2014	81,603	0.000%	Complete	part of 24
AA14RST000108	SPSC	S	1	0.12	11/26/2014	1,559	0.000%	Complete	part of 2478
AA15RST000101	SPSC	S	1	0.59	4/1/2015	482,816	0.002%	Complete	Old Bay Ridge Rd/Abandoned RR Embankment Sinkhole
AA15RST000102	SPSC	S	1	7.93	6/24/2015	385,001	0.032%	Complete	Olde Severna Park Outfall Retrofit Birch Court

AA16RST000093	SPSC	S	1	1.04	10/13/2015	55,494	0.004%	Complete	Camp Woodlands Pre-Treatment
AA16RST000088	SPSC	S	1	1.61	11/6/2015	384,849	0.006%	Complete	Buttonwood Trail Otfl
AA16RST000090	SPSC	S	1	0.87	2/16/2016	360,492	0.003%	Complete	Picture Springs Branch Otfl
AA16RST000016	SPSC	S	1	14.64	2/17/2016	328,074	0.059%	Complete	Hospital Dr. Pond 3 Retrofit
AA16RST000089	SPSC	S	1	2.46	3/4/2016	742,449	0.010%	Complete	Cinnamon Lane Otfl
AA16RST000094	SPSC	S	1	7.85	6/30/2016	100,000	0.031%	Complete	Annapolis Harbour Center SPSC
AA15RST000098	SPSC	S	1	19.12	8/3/2016	249,994	0.077%	Complete	Denington Lane Outfall
AA15RST000092	SPSC	S	1	2.58	8/3/2016	160,093	0.010%	Complete	1510 Knollwood Road
AA16RST000072	SPSC	S	1	2.98	12/9/2016	310,117	0.012%	Complete	Oakleaf Villa I
AA17RST000017	SPSC	S	1	0.26	1/1/2017	55,255	0.001%	Complete	Bonaparte Rd Bioswale and CPO Project
AA17RST000016	SPSC	S	1	0.43	3/1/2017	102,390	0.002%	Complete	Coventry Court Dry Channel RSC- Category 2
AA16RST000086	SPSC	S	1	8.62	4/15/2017	485,312	0.035%	Complete	Pinewood Rd Sd(D537923-Design)
AA16RST000082	SPSC	S	1	3.91	5/9/2017	524,579	0.016%	Complete	Hospital Drive Extension
AA17RST000050	SPSC	S	1	4.21	7/16/2017	425,189	0.017%	Complete	Grays Luck SWMP Retrofit
AA16RST000085	SPSC	S	1	2.09	9/1/2017	201,549	0.008%	Complete	Wil-o-Brook Drive
AA17RST000018	SPSC	S	1	1.44	11/29/2017	299,953	0.006%	Complete	Winchester on the Severn Dry Channel RSC
AA16RST000058	SPSC	S	1	4.69	12/8/2017	559,093	0.019%	Complete	Briarwood
AA16RST000056	SPSC	S	1	3.68	2/21/2018	830,487	0.015%	Complete	Lp-Pc Public Ponds
AA16RST000046	SPSC	S	1	1.47	2/28/2018	308,777	0.006%	Complete	Bodkin Creek Public Pnds Ph3
AA18RST000024	SPSC	S	1	5.35	5/1/2018	198,950	0.021%	Complete	Killarney House & Neighbors Beards Creek Community BMPs
AA18RST000021	SPSC	S	1	0.59	5/15/2018	100,000	0.002%	Complete	Broad Creek Health Dept gully - Trib 4-8
AA18RST000007	SPSC	S	1	2.07	7/16/2018	634,392	0.008%	Complete	MR-OF-04 Retro/Shopping Center Outfall (Cape St. Clair)
AA16RST000087	SPSC	S	1	2.89	10/11/2018	825,901	0.012%	Complete	Cape St Claire Fire Station SPSC
AA16RST000083	SPSC	S	1	15.89	11/30/2018	938,555	0.064%	Complete	Bay Green Drive SPSC
AA18RST000009	SPSC	S	1	2.89	1/10/2019	483,725	0.012%	Complete	MR-OF-04 Retro/Delso Court
AA18RST000044	SPSC	S	1	0.51	3/5/2019	27,829	0.002%	Complete	Asbury Broadneck United Methodist Church SPSC
AA18RST000006	SPSC	S	1	1.84	3/11/2019	414,061	0.007%	Complete	Woods Landing Outfall SPSC - X16O009
AA19RST000002	SPSC	S	1	11.06	7/3/2019	950,481	0.044%	Complete	Barrensdale Outfall Restoration
AA19RST000005	SPSC	S	1	178.55	11/26/2019	369,278	0.715%	Complete	Broad Creek Health Dept gully Phase II
AA20RST000012	SPSC	S	1	0.71	12/15/2019	14,044	0.003%	Complete	Heilman Property SPSC
AA18RST000008	SPSC	S	1	3.64	3/17/2020	366,282	0.015%	Complete	Cape St Claire Outfall SPSC - W15O010

AA20RST000004	SPSC	S	1	7.21	5/19/2020	161,544	0.029%	Complete	Circle Drive Dry Channel RSC
AA14ALN000003	STRE	A	1	20.30	3/14/2013	687,600	0.081%	Complete	Cypress Creek Stream Restoration
AA18ALN000020	STRE	A	1	60.00	8/20/2014	67,901	0.240%	Complete	Bacon Ridge Stream Restoration 1
AA16ALN000014	STRE	A	1	22.00	10/28/2014	150,000	0.088%	Complete	Church Creek Wetland
AA15ALN000004	STRE	A	1	4.50	11/1/2014	277,679	0.018%	Complete	Buena Vista Outfall Restoration Phase 2
AA16ALN000013	STRE	A	1	12.74	11/4/2014	375,000	0.051%	Complete	Poplar Point Wetland (Church Creek Gully)
AA14ALN000001	STRE	A	1	10.00	12/9/2014	371,573	0.040%	Complete	Haskell Drive Stream Restoration
AA14ALN000002	STRE	A	1	10.00	12/11/2014	504,240	0.040%	Complete	Forest Drive Stream Restoration
AA15ALN000002	STRE	A	1	5.00	3/24/2015	312,335	0.020%	Complete	Leeds Road
AA15ALN000003	STRE	A	1	5.86	4/1/2015	164,010	0.023%	Complete	South Down Shores Stream Restoration
AA16ALN000007	STRE	A	1	6.00	12/20/2015	258,343	0.024%	Complete	Duvall Creek Outfall Retrofit
AA16ALN000022	STRE	A	1	16.54	3/1/2016	595,477	0.066%	Complete	Cell 9 stream restoration
AA16ALN000005	STRE	A	1	22.16	5/4/2016	1,151,237	0.089%	Complete	Warehouse Creek Otfll Retrofit
AA16ALN000006	STRE	A	1	20.00	7/1/2016	430,251	0.080%	Complete	Dividing Creek Strm Rstn
AA16ALN000021	STRE	A	1	74.24	11/28/2016	1,000,000	0.297%	Complete	North Branch Muddy Creek
AA17ALN000006	STRE	A	1	3.00	2/21/2017	336,490	0.012%	Complete	Four Seasons stream repair
AA18ALN000008	STRE	A	1	11.03	9/10/2018	397,610	0.044%	Complete	Cowhide Branch Lower Stream Restoration
AA18ALN000028	STRE	A	1	8.00	10/1/2018	3,063,022	0.032%	Complete	Rutland Road Fish Passage
AA18ALN000014	STRE	A	1	47.41	1/9/2019	305,215	0.190%	Complete	Berrywood Community Cattail Creek Restoration
AA19ALN000004	STRE	A	1	160.03	3/4/2019	67,901	0.641%	Complete	Bacon Ridge Stream Restoration 2
AA18ALN000017	STRE	A	1	322.62	4/5/2019	1,679,306	1.291%	Complete	Marley Creek - Oakview Village
AA17ALN000011	STRE	A	1	64.99	12/18/2019	1,883,955	0.260%	Complete	MR-Cat Branch Stream Restoration
AA17ALN000009	STRE	A	1	146.12	3/27/2020	4,371,049	0.585%	Complete	Pt Furnace Crk Str Rstn Ph2
AA18ALN000006	STRE	A	1	88.66	6/18/2020	520,158	0.355%	Complete	UPAX-Mount Airy Court
AA19ALN000006	STRE	A	1	76.45	6/24/2020	165,704	0.306%	Complete	Gravelly "Grand Canyon" Stream Restoration Project
AA21ALN000002	STRE	A	1	107.60	6/25/2020	212,783	0.431%	Complete	Gravelly "Grand Canyon" Stream Restoration Project
AA16RST000036	WEDW	S	1	14.06	10/22/2016	455,727	0.056%	Complete	Linthicum Oaks #1
AA16RST000040	WEDW	S	1	3.85	1/6/2017	776,740	0.015%	Complete	Valentine Creek
AA16RST000067	WEDW	S	1	13.05	1/31/2017	589,841	0.052%	Complete	New Cut Farms
AA16RST000051	WEDW	S	1	5.30	12/15/2017	378,294	0.021%	Complete	Bodkin Creek Public Pnds Ph3
AA16RST000048	WEDW	S	1	4.63	1/11/2018	396,280	0.019%	Complete	Outing Glen

AA19RST000016	WEDW	S	1	1.44	5/3/2019	89,664	0.006%	Complete	Broadneck Park - Wetland
AA16RST000061	WEDW	S	1	26.63	5/24/2019	763,751	0.107%	Complete	Patapsco Non-Tidal Public Pds Ph1
AA18RST000002	WEDW	S	1	2.35	12/18/2019	145,891	0.009%	Complete	Cat Branch Outfalls Retrofit
AA18RST000034	WPKT	S	1	15.27	4/9/2018	164,010	0.061%	Complete	TriState Marine Stormwater Retrofit System
AA16RST000050	WPWS	S	1	9.21	10/31/2017	461,364	0.037%	Complete	Bodkin Creek Public Pnds Ph3
AA17RST000010	WPWS	S	1	18.65	9/5/2019	2,041,529	0.075%	Complete	Mill Race Pond Retrofit
AA18RST000001	WSHW	S	1	12.59	2/26/2018	316,902	0.050%	Complete	Jabez SWM BMP 33
AA16RST000062	WSHW	S	1	4.50	8/28/2019	581,276	0.018%	Complete	Fairbanks Dr Pond Retrofit
Subtotal Capital Complete To Date			257	3,472		\$76,580,694	13.9%		
							0.000%		
AA16RST000081	APRP	E	1	0.33	9/1/2016	\$0	0.001%	Complete	Northrup Grumman pervious pavement - 2
AA17RST000030	APRP	E	1	1.52	9/1/2016	\$0	0.006%	Complete	Northrup Grumman pervious pavement - 3A-1
AA16RST000080	APRP	E	1	1.38	9/1/2016	\$0	0.006%	Complete	Northrup Grumman pervious pavement - 3B
AA16RST000078	APRP	E	1	0.82	9/1/2016	\$0	0.003%	Complete	Northrup Grumman pervious pavement - 1
AA16RST000079	APRP	E	1	1.26	9/1/2016	\$0	0.005%	Complete	Northrup Grumman pervious pavement - 3A-2
AA18RST000052	APRP	E	1	0.08	5/1/2017	\$0	0.000%	Complete	Edgewater Beach Permeable Paving
AA16RST000006	FBIO	S	1	0.46	12/2/2015	\$0	0.002%	Complete	Hillsmere Community Pool Bioretention
AA18RST000025	FBIO	S	1	0.07	4/1/2016	\$0	0.000%	Complete	Sylvan Shores Stormwater Infrastructure Upgrade - System B, Bioretention 1
AA18RST000026	FBIO	S	1	0.13	4/1/2016	\$0	0.001%	Complete	Sylvan Shores Stormwater Infrastructure Upgrade - System B, Bioretention 2
AA18RST000027	FBIO	S	1	0.16	4/1/2016	\$0	0.001%	Complete	Sylvan Shores Stormwater Infrastructure Upgrade - System B, Bioretention 3
AA18RST000035	FBIO	S	1	0.27	5/1/2017	\$0	0.001%	Complete	Wee Lad & Lassie Early Learning Center Rain Garden
AA17APY000477	IMPP	A	1	0.06	6/30/2016	\$0	0.000%	Complete	Avalon Shores Fire Department Stormwater Wetland
AA18APY001015	IMPP	A	1	0.20	6/30/2017	\$0	0.001%	Complete	Gingerville Woods HOA Tennis Court Removal
AA16RST000008	MRNG	E	1	0.50	10/1/2013	\$0	0.002%	Complete	Historic London Town Step Pools and Rain Garden
AA20RST000006	MRNG	E	1	0.02	7/16/2016	\$0	0.000%	Complete	Burley Creek Community Association Raingarden
AA17RST000031	MRNG	E	1	0.04	9/1/2016	\$0	0.000%	Complete	Northrup Grumman raingarden
AA18RST000043	MSGW	E	1	0.15	10/1/2018	\$0	0.001%	Complete	CAT- South
AA16RST000003	MSWB	E	1	0.82	12/1/2014	\$0	0.003%	Complete	Arundel on the Bay Bioswale
AA16RST000002	MSWB	E	1	0.10	12/1/2014	\$0	0.000%	Complete	Arundel on the Bay Bioswale

AA16RST000004	MSWB	E	1	7.14	12/1/2014	\$0	0.029%	Complete	Arundel on the Bay Bioswale
AA16RST000075	MSWB	E	1	0.38	9/1/2016	\$0	0.002%	Complete	Northrup Grumman bioswale -1
AA18RST000032	MSWB	E	1	0.02	5/1/2017	\$0	0.000%	Complete	Edgewater Beach Bioswale
AA18RST000031	MSWG	E	1	0.08	5/1/2017	\$0	0.000%	Complete	Edgewater Beach Grass Swale
AA18RST000033	OTH	S	1	0.94	5/15/2018	\$0	0.004%	Complete	Broad Creek Health Department StormTech BMP
	SEPC	A	36	15.21		\$0	0.061%	Complete	2014
	SEPC	A	23	12.48		\$0	0.050%	Complete	2015
	SEPC	A	20	14.04		\$0	0.056%	Complete	2016
	SEPC	A	29	18.72		\$0	0.075%	Complete	2017
	SEPC	A	10	4.29		\$0	0.017%	Complete	2018
	SEPC	A	22	13.65		\$0	0.055%	Complete	2019
	SEPC	A	28	10.60		\$0	0.042%	Complete	2020
	SEPD	A	105	27.30		\$0	0.109%	Complete	2014
	SEPD	A	284	73.84		\$0	0.296%	Complete	2015
	SEPD	A	237	61.62		\$0	0.247%	Complete	2016
	SEPD	A	159	41.34		\$0	0.165%	Complete	2017
	SEPD	A	168	43.68		\$0	0.175%	Complete	2018
	SEPD	A	196	50.96		\$0	0.204%	Complete	2019
	SEPD	A	180	46.50		\$0	0.186%	Complete	2020
AA17ALN000056	SHST	A	1	4.28	1/1/2012	\$0	0.017%	Complete	1555 Widows Mite Rd Rock Revetment
AA14ALN000005	SHST	A	1	12.64	7/1/2013	\$0	0.051%	Complete	Triton Beach Breakwaters
AA14ALN000004	SHST	A	1	18.72	7/1/2013	\$0	0.075%	Complete	Beverly Triton Park Breakwaters
AA17ALN000031	SHST	A	1	3.76	1/1/2014	\$0	0.015%	Complete	5187 Chesapeake Avenue Living Shoreline
AA17ALN000047	SHST	A	1	1.60	1/1/2014	\$0	0.006%	Complete	835 Valentine View Rock Revetment
AA17ALN000043	SHST	A	1	6.80	1/1/2014	\$0	0.027%	Complete	4512 Forest Point Road Living Shoreline
AA18ALN000045	SHST	A	1	16.40	3/1/2014	\$0	0.066%	Complete	2811 Deepwater Trl, Edgewater
AA18ALN000042	SHST	A	1	3.20	3/1/2014	\$0	0.013%	Complete	Magothy Beach Improvement Association Living Shoreline
AA18ALN000043	SHST	A	1	11.20	3/1/2014	\$0	0.045%	Complete	Londontowne Section 3 Living Shoreline
AA18ALN000044	SHST	A	1	23.00	3/1/2014	\$0	0.092%	Complete	Cheston Point Living Shoreline
AA18ALN000048	SHST	A	1	16.60	3/1/2014	\$0	0.066%	Complete	Londontowne Section 2 Shoreline - Delmar RD
AA15ALN000009	SHST	A	1	16.60	7/1/2014	\$0	0.066%	Complete	Popham Creek Living Shoreline

AA15ALN000011	SHST	A	1	5.00	7/1/2014	\$0	0.020%	Complete	Bear Neck Creek Living Shoreline
AA16ALN000016	SHST	A	1	25.56	7/30/2014	\$0	0.102%	Complete	Lake Ogleton (Annapolis Cove) Living Shoreline
AA17ALN000019	SHST	A	1	6.41	5/27/2015	\$0	0.026%	Complete	603 Lakeland Rd S Living Shoreline
AA15ALN000010	SHST	A	1	38.40	6/1/2015	\$0	0.154%	Complete	YMCA Camp Letts Living Shoreline
AA17ALN000020	SHST	A	1	1.40	6/16/2015	\$0	0.006%	Complete	1301 Wrenn Cir Living Shoreline
AA17ALN000021	SHST	A	1	3.60	7/15/2015	\$0	0.014%	Complete	1024 Sandpiper Ln Living Shoreline
AA16ALN000017	SHST	A	1	19.60	8/15/2015	\$0	0.078%	Complete	Cloverlea Boat Club Living Shoreline
AA17ALN000057	SHST	A	1	6.40	10/9/2015	\$0	0.026%	Complete	5044 Lerch Dr Rock Revetment
AA17ALN000002	SHST	A	1	12.00	12/30/2015	\$0	0.048%	Complete	Section 5 Living Shoreline
AA17ALN000053	SHST	A	1	3.00	1/1/2016	\$0	0.012%	Complete	266 Providence Rd Rock Revetment
AA17ALN000023	SHST	A	1	1.35	1/1/2016	\$0	0.005%	Complete	2756 Poplar Ln Living Shoreline
AA17ALN000024	SHST	A	1	4.80	1/1/2016	\$0	0.019%	Complete	1107 Bay Front Ave Living Shoreline
AA17ALN000025	SHST	A	1	4.80	1/1/2016	\$0	0.019%	Complete	1916 Hidden Point Rd Living Shoreline
AA17ALN000051	SHST	A	1	2.28	1/1/2016	\$0	0.009%	Complete	508 Overhill Drive Rock Revetment
AA17ALN000050	SHST	A	1	15.29	1/1/2016	\$0	0.061%	Complete	5905 Deale Beach Rd Living Shoreline
AA17ALN000049	SHST	A	1	1.20	1/1/2016	\$0	0.005%	Complete	1084 Trails End Rd Living Shoreline
AA17ALN000048	SHST	A	1	4.48	1/1/2016	\$0	0.018%	Complete	271 Long Point Rd Living Shoreline
AA17ALN000052	SHST	A	1	2.20	1/1/2016	\$0	0.009%	Complete	513 Bayberry Drive Living Shoreline
AA17ALN000039	SHST	A	1	2.00	1/1/2016	\$0	0.008%	Complete	3741 Parke Drive Living Shoreline
AA17ALN000054	SHST	A	1	2.88	1/1/2016	\$0	0.012%	Complete	663 Shore Road Rock Revetment
AA17ALN000028	SHST	A	1	19.68	1/1/2016	\$0	0.079%	Complete	1832, 1828, 1829 Cove Point Rd Living Shoreline
AA17ALN000034	SHST	A	1	3.60	1/1/2016	\$0	0.014%	Complete	258 Long Point Rd Rock Revetment
AA17ALN000030	SHST	A	1	4.60	1/1/2016	\$0	0.018%	Complete	1020 Plum Creek Drive Living Shoreline
AA17ALN000035	SHST	A	1	2.00	1/1/2016	\$0	0.008%	Complete	190 Doncaster Road Rock Revetment
AA17ALN000029	SHST	A	1	4.16	1/1/2016	\$0	0.017%	Complete	2674 Claibourne Court Living Shoreline
AA17ALN000032	SHST	A	1	9.60	1/1/2016	\$0	0.038%	Complete	854, 855, 858 Wilson Rd N Rock Revetment
AA17ALN000038	SHST	A	1	5.60	1/1/2016	\$0	0.022%	Complete	751 Parkers Creek Rd Living Shoreline
AA17ALN000037	SHST	A	1	13.56	1/1/2016	\$0	0.054%	Complete	1556 And 1557 Widows Mite Rd Living Shoreline
AA17ALN000036	SHST	A	1	5.20	1/1/2016	\$0	0.021%	Complete	4800 Westward View Rd Living Shoreline
AA17ALN000033	SHST	A	1	0.60	1/1/2016	\$0	0.002%	Complete	838 Childs Point Road Rock Revetment
AA17ALN000027	SHST	A	1	17.96	1/1/2016	\$0	0.072%	Complete	33 Stahl Point Rd Living Shoreline

AA17ALN000058	SHST	A	1	6.37	1/1/2016	\$0	0.025%	Complete	Atlanta Rd Rock Revetment
AA18ALN000046	SHST	A	1	18.40	3/1/2016	\$0	0.074%	Complete	Tanyard Cove North Homeowners Association
AA18ALN000047	SHST	A	1	11.20	3/1/2016	\$0	0.045%	Complete	1405 Sharps Point Road
AA17ALN000022	SHST	A	1	4.53	5/13/2016	\$0	0.018%	Complete	2835 White House Rd Living Shoreline
AA17ALN000044	SHST	A	1	2.20	6/10/2016	\$0	0.009%	Complete	1845 Poplar Ridge Rd Rock Revetment
AA17ALN000026	SHST	A	1	6.20	12/6/2016	\$0	0.025%	Complete	111 Park Ave Living Shoreline
AA17ALN000055	SHST	A	1	14.40	1/1/2017	\$0	0.058%	Complete	1290 Turkey Point Rd Living Shoreline
AA17ALN000001	SHST	A	1	4.96	1/1/2017	\$0	0.020%	Complete	Living Shoreline at The Preserve at Broad Creek and Broadview Estates
AA17ALN000041	SHST	A	1	8.00	1/1/2017	\$0	0.032%	Complete	1700 Beech Lane Rock Revetment
AA17ALN000042	SHST	A	1	7.60	1/1/2017	\$0	0.030%	Complete	906 Shore Drive Living Shoreline
AA17ALN000046	SHST	A	1	2.54	1/1/2017	\$0	0.010%	Complete	1441 Pleasant Lake Rd Rock Revetment
AA17ALN000045	SHST	A	1	19.20	1/1/2017	\$0	0.077%	Complete	511 Deale Rd Rock Revetment
AA17ALN000040	SHST	A	1	11.20	1/1/2017	\$0	0.045%	Complete	308 Boxwood Grove Lane Living Shoreline
AA17ALN000004	SHST	A	1	26.68	6/29/2017	\$0	0.107%	Complete	Turkey Point Island Living Shoreline Project - Phase I
AA18ALN000010	SHST	A	1	29.60	5/1/2018	\$0	0.118%	Complete	Twin Harbors Community Living Shoreline (Arnold/Magothy River)
AA18ALN000025	SHST	A	1	12.00	8/30/2018	\$0	0.048%	Complete	Arundel on the Bay Living Shoreline at 1345 Magnolia Avenue
AA19ALN000028	SHST	A	1	10.80	4/30/2019	\$0	0.043%	Complete	Sherwood Forest Club Living Shoreline
AA19ALN000026	SHST	A	1	11.68	6/6/2019	\$0	0.047%	Complete	Arlington Echo Living Shoreline
AA18RST000051	SPSC	S	1	0.24	3/1/2014	\$0	0.001%	Complete	32 Wilelinor Drive Outfall
AA16RST000073	SPSC	S	1	3.27	3/9/2015	\$0	0.013%	Complete	Maryland Therapeutic Riding Center
AA16RST000091	SPSC	S	1	0.47	11/1/2015	\$0	0.002%	Complete	Annapolis Corporate Park SPSC #1
AA16RST000001	SPSC	S	1	3.41	12/15/2015	\$0	0.014%	Complete	Preserve at Broad Creek Pond Retrofit & SPSC
AA16RST000092	SPSC	S	1	2.21	12/28/2015	\$0	0.009%	Complete	Annapolis Corporate Park SPSC #2
AA18ALN000009	STRE	A	1	14.00	12/30/2015	\$0	0.056%	Complete	Annapolis Corporate Park Stream Restoration
AA16ALN000030	STRE	A	1	16.54	3/10/2017	\$0	0.066%	Complete	Annapolis Harbour Center Stream Restoration
AA17ALN000005	STRE	A	1	20.22	4/2/2017	\$0	0.081%	Complete	Navy Dairy Farm Stream Restoration
AA18ALN000027	STRE	A	1	8.80	6/30/2018	\$0	0.035%	Complete	Oakview Village Southern Tributary
AA18RST000037	WEDW	S	1	0.11	12/21/2018	\$0	0.000%	Complete	Holly Hill Harbor Community Park Stormwater Retrofits
AA18RST000038	WEDW	S	1	0.13	12/21/2018	\$0	0.001%	Complete	Holly Hill Harbor Community Park Stormwater Retrofits
AA18RST000039	WEDW	S	1	0.24	12/21/2018	\$0	0.001%	Complete	Holly Hill Harbor Community Park Stormwater Retrofits
AA18RST000040	WEDW	S	1	0.09	12/21/2018	\$0	0.000%	Complete	Holly Hill Harbor Community Park Stormwater Retrofits

AA18RST000041	WEDW	S	1	0.11	12/21/2018	\$0	0.000%	Complete	Holly Hill Harbor Community Park Stormwater Retrofits
AA16RST000005	WPKT	S	1	1.23	12/1/2014	\$0	0.005%	Complete	Arundel on the Bay Pocket Wetland
Subtotal Other Complete To Date			1,597	1,114		\$0	4.5%		
Total Complete to Date			95,135	5,112		\$81,436,134	20.5%		

Check with MS4 Geodatabase:

Rest BMP ID, type, class, number of BMPs, impervious acres, built date, implementation cost and implementation status should match the various geodatabase tables for BMPs (AltBMPLine, AltBMPPoint, AltBMPPoly, and RestBMP)-- aggregated by type and status.

Notes:

- 1. Use BMP domains from the MS4 Geodatabase.
- 2. Complete, Under Construction, Planning, or Proposed.
- 3. For street sweeping indicate the annual frequency that the streets are swept, and for storm drain or catch basin cleaning report the pounds of material removed.
- 4. Average IMP ACRES for Operational BMPs should be the average of BMP 1 + the average of BMP 2, etc.
IMPL COST is a summation and not an average.